Transverse PDFs at NNLO

Thomas Lübbert

ITP Zürich

collaborators: Thomas Gehrmann, Li Lin Yang

arXiv:1209.0682 + in preparation

Radcor 2013 23.09.2013

Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

TPDF at NNLO

23.09.2013 1 / 21

ELE NOR



1 Considered problem: Resummation of log $\frac{q_T}{M}$

2 Approach: TPDFs



3 Contribution: Calculation to NNLO in α_s

q_T spectrum, $par{p} o Z + R$

- Consider production of massive, color neutral final state at hadron collider,
- inv. mass *M*, transverse momentum *q_T*.
- E.g. $p + \bar{p} \rightarrow Z + X$.

q_T spectrum, $p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z + R$

- Consider production of massive, color neutral final state at hadron collider.
- inv. mass M, transverse momentum q_T .
- E.g. $p + \bar{p} \rightarrow Z + X$.
- Focus of talk: $q_T^2 \ll M^2$.
- \Rightarrow Need to resum log $\frac{q_T}{M}$
 - resummed result [Becher, Neubert, Wilhelm]



TPDF at NNLO

Notation

Directions of incoming hadrons and outgoing vector boson:



• With n^2 , $\bar{n}^2 = 0$, $n \cdot \bar{n} = 2$; $-q_{\perp}^2 = q_T^2 > 0$. • Decompose $v^{\mu} = (\bar{n}v)\frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + (nv)\frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + v_{\perp}^{\mu}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Notation

Directions of incoming hadrons and outgoing vector boson:



• With
$$n^2, \bar{n}^2 = 0, n \cdot \bar{n} = 2; -q_{\perp}^2 = q_T^2 > 0.$$

• Decompose
$$v^{\mu}=(\bar{n}v)\frac{n^{\mu}}{2}+(nv)\frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2}+v^{\mu}_{\perp}$$
.

- Expansion parameter $\lambda = \frac{q_T}{M}$.
- Regions: collinear (c): $p_c = (\bar{n}p, np, p_{\perp}) \sim M(1, \lambda^2, \lambda)$ anti-collinear (\bar{c}): $p_{\bar{c}} \sim M(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$ soft (s): $p_s \sim M(\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)$

SCET, for each region, fields with corresponding momentum scaling.

Factorized differential cross section

For $q_T \ll M$, $d\sigma$ can be factorized:

$$\begin{split} \frac{d^2 \sigma_V}{dq_T^2 dy} &- \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{q_T^2}{M^2}\right) = \frac{\pi \alpha_{ew}^2}{N_c s} \left| C_V(-M^2,\mu) \right|^2 \int d^2 \mathbf{x}_\perp \, e^{-iq_\perp \cdot \mathbf{x}_\perp} \sum_q g_q^2 \\ &\times \left[\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{x}_\perp,\mu) \mathcal{B}_{q/N_1}(z_1,\mathbf{x}_\perp,\mu) \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{q}/N_2}(z_2,\mathbf{x}_\perp,\mu) + (q\leftrightarrow \bar{q}) \right]_{M^2}, \end{split}$$

- $C_V(-M^2,\mu)$ hard function.
- $S(x_{\perp}, \mu)$ soft function.
- $\mathcal{B}_{q/N_1}(z_1, x_{\perp}, \mu)$ transverse PDF, collinear.
- $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{q}/N_2}(z_2, x_{\perp}, \mu)$ TPDF, anti-collinear.
- For last three operator definitions via SCET [Becher, Neubert].

•
$$z_{1,2} = \sqrt{\tau} e^{\pm y}$$
, $\tau = (M^2 + q_T^2)/s$.

Factorized differential cross section, pictorial



TPDF at NNLO

23.09.2013 6 / 21

Transverse PDF

- Collinear (c) quark and gluon fields: $\psi_c(x)$, $A_c^{\mu}(x)$.
- Wilson line $W_c(x) = P \exp\left[ig_s \int_{-\infty}^0 ds \ \bar{n} \cdot A_c(x+s\bar{n})\right]$
- Gauge invariant combination $\chi(x) = (W_c^{\dagger}\psi_c)(x)$.

• TPDF (c):

$$\mathcal{B}_{q/N}(z, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mu) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dt \ e^{-izt\bar{n}\cdot p} \sum_{\mathbf{X}_{c}} \frac{\mathbf{\vec{p}}_{\alpha\beta}}{2} \langle N(p) | \ \bar{\chi}_{\alpha}(t\bar{n} + \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) | \mathbf{X}_{c} \rangle \langle \mathbf{X}_{c} | \ \chi_{\beta}(0) | N(p) \rangle.$$

• In contrast to normal collinear PDF:

$$\begin{split} \phi_{q/N}(z,\mu) &= \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathrm{d}t \; e^{-izt\bar{n}\cdot p} \sum_{X_c} \frac{\vec{p}_{\alpha\beta}}{2} \left\langle N(p) \right| \bar{\chi}_{\alpha}(t\bar{n}) \left| X_c \right\rangle \left\langle X_c \right| \chi_{\beta}(0) \left| N(p) \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

• Anti-collinear $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{q}/N_2}$ correspondingly with $n \leftrightarrow \bar{n}, q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ 三回 ののの

Gluons

For processes initiated by gluon-gluon-fusion, analogous factorization formula holds with [Becher,Neubert,Wilhelm]:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}_{g/N}^{\mu\nu}(z, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mu) &= \\ &- \frac{z\bar{n} \cdot p}{2\pi} \int \mathrm{d}t \ e^{-izt\bar{n} \cdot p} \sum_{X_c} \left\langle \mathcal{N}(p) \right| \mathcal{A}_{c\perp}^{\mu,a}(t\bar{n} + \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) \left| X_c \right\rangle \left\langle X_c \right| \mathcal{A}_{c\perp}^{\nu,a}(0) \left| \mathcal{N}(p) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} \mathcal{B}_{g/N}(z, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mu) + \left(\frac{g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} - \frac{\mathbf{x}_{\perp}^{\mu}\mathbf{x}_{\perp}^{\nu}}{\mathbf{x}_{\perp}^{2}} \right) \mathcal{B}_{g/N}^{\prime}(z, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mu) \,, \end{split}$$

gauge invariant

$$\mathcal{A}_{c\perp}^{\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{g_s} \big[W_c^{\dagger} (i D_{c\perp}^{\mu} W_c) \big](x)$$

constructed from c gluon fields.

Projectors to ${\mathcal B}$ and ${\mathcal B}'$ proportional to extracted tensors.

▲ Ξ ▶ ▲ Ξ ▶ Ξ Ξ

TPDFs, framework

Regularization

- For $\mathcal{B}_{i/N}$ replace hadron N by parton k \Rightarrow perturbatively calculable \mathcal{B}_{ik} .
- Naive definition of individual TPDFs problematic: Rapidity singularities.
 - \Rightarrow Need regulator in addition to dim. reg..

○오오 비로 세종 ▲ 종 ▲ 종 ▲

Regularization

- For $\mathcal{B}_{i/N}$ replace hadron N by parton k \Rightarrow perturbatively calculable \mathcal{B}_{ik} .
- Naive definition of individual TPDFs problematic: Rapidity singularities.
 - \Rightarrow Need regulator in addition to dim. reg..
- We chose analytic regulator α [Becher, Bell],

for each emitted parton:

$$\int d^d k \, \delta^+(k^2) \to \int d^d k \, \delta^+(k^2) \left(\frac{\nu_+}{n \cdot k}\right)^{\alpha}$$

• Mass independent.
No new denominators.
$$S(x_{\perp}, \mu) = 1.$$

Can expand in α (for NNLO only need up to α^{0}).

TPDF at NNLO

Collinear anomaly

- Individual $\mathcal{B}, \bar{\mathcal{B}}$ contain poles in α .
- Poles cancel in $\mathcal{B}\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.
- Obtain dependence on M^2 .
- Due to broken rescaling invariance.
- [Becher, Neubert]: 'Collinear anomaly'.

ELE NOR

Collinear anomaly

- Individual $\mathcal{B}, \bar{\mathcal{B}}$ contain poles in α .
- Poles cancel in $\mathcal{B}\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.
- Obtain dependence on M^2 .
- Due to broken rescaling invariance.
- [Becher, Neubert]: 'Collinear anomaly'.

Refactorize $\mathcal{B}\overline{\mathcal{B}}$:

$$\begin{split} \left[\mathcal{B}_{i/k}(z_1, x_{\perp}, \mu) \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{i}/j}(z_2, x_{\perp}, \mu)\right]_{\underline{M^2}} &= \left(\frac{x_T^2 \underline{M^2}}{4e^{-2\gamma_e}}\right)^{-F_{i\bar{i}}(x_{\perp}, \mu)} \\ &\times B_{i/k}(z_1, x_{\perp}, \mu) B_{\bar{i}/j}(z_2, x_{\perp}, \mu) \,. \end{split}$$

• Defines TPDFs *B*, independent of hard scale *M*². *F* parametrizes *M*² dependence.

Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

Matching kernel

M

- Perturbatively calculate $B_{i/k}$ and $\phi_{j/k}$.
- For $x_T^{-2} \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$, have relation

atching kernel
$$I_{i \leftarrow j}$$

 $B_{i/k}(\rho, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mu) = \sum_{j} \int_{\rho}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} I_{i/j}(z, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mu) \phi_{j/k}(\rho/z, \mu) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{2} x_{T}^{2})$

 $=\sum_{j}\left(\mathit{I}_{i/j}\otimes\phi_{j/k}
ight)_{
ho}$, in short notation.

• Extract $I_{i/j}$ from calculation of $B_{i/k}$ and $\phi_{k/j}$.

Matching kernel

- Perturbatively calculate $B_{i/k}$ and $\phi_{j/k}$.
- For $x_T^{-2} \gg \Lambda_{
 m QCD}^2$, have relation

Matching kernel
$$I_{i \leftarrow j}$$

 $B_{i/k}(\rho, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mu) = \sum_{j} \int_{\rho}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} I_{i/j}(z, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}, \mu) \phi_{j/k}(\rho/z, \mu) + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{2} x_{T}^{2})$

- $=\sum_{j}\left(\textit{I}_{i/j}\otimes\phi_{j/k}
 ight)_{
 ho}$, in short notation.
- Extract $I_{i/j}$ from calculation of $B_{i/k}$ and $\phi_{k/j}$.
- Renormalization: $I_{i/j}^{(b)}(z) = Z_i^B \sum_k \left(I_{i/k}^{(r)} \otimes (Z^{\phi})_{k/j}^{-1} \right)_z$
- \Rightarrow Obtain $I_{i/j}^{(r)}$, Z_i^B and $\phi_{i/j}^{(r)} \Rightarrow P_{i/j}$.

• Last two from UV/IR poles of $I_{i/i}^{(b)}$.

Overview: Perturbative determination

• Aim:
$$d\sigma/dq_T$$
 at N²LO+N³LL for $q_T \ll M$.

• Calculate $\mathcal{B}_{i/j}$ and $\phi_{i/j}$ to N²LO regulated by $\alpha \& \epsilon$.

•
$$\mathcal{B}_{i/j}\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\bar{i}/k} = (\dots M^2)^{-F_{i\bar{i}}}B_{i/j}B_{\bar{i}/k}. \alpha \to 0$$
.

- Obtain $I_{i/j}$ from $B_{i/k} = \sum_j I_{i/j} \otimes \phi_{j/k}$.
- Renormalize $I_{i/j}$ and $F_{i\bar{i}}$. $\epsilon \to 0$.
- With $I_{i/j}^{(2)}$ obtained new generic ingredients for N³LL resummation.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

RG evolution & application

- $\S~$ In factorized result each function depends only on its typical mass $M_{\rm typical}$ and $\mu.$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Consistently determine each function in fixed order perturbation theory at $\mu \sim \textit{M}_{typical}.$
- $\rightarrow\,$ Subsequently evolve to common scale μ_m with RG evol. eqns.
- \Rightarrow Resums logarithms.



▲ Ξ ▶ ▲ Ξ ▶ Ξ Ξ

Perturbative calculation

Expansion to NNLO:

$$f = \sum_{n=0,1,2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n f^{(n)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$$

LO trivial:

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)}_{i/j}(z), \, \phi^{(0)}_{i/j}(z), \, I^{(0)}_{i/j}(z) = \delta_{ij}\delta(1-z)$$

NLO: example diagram

00000

General gauge: Wilson line W \Rightarrow any number of gluons can couple to \otimes . Choose: LCG $\Rightarrow W = 1$.

$$\frac{\alpha_{s}}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{B}_{i/j}^{(1)}, \phi_{i/j}^{(1)} \right) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d} k}{(2\pi)^{d}} (2\pi) \delta^{+}(k^{2}) \left(\frac{\nu_{+}}{n \cdot k} \right)^{\alpha} \delta\left(\bar{n} \cdot [k - (1 - z)p] \right) \left(e^{ik_{T} \cdot x_{T}}, 1 \right) \mathcal{M}$$

I= nan

Perturbative calculation

Expansion to NNLO:

$$f = \sum_{n=0,1,2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n f^{(n)} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$$

LO trivial:

$$\mathcal{B}_{i/j}^{(0)}(z), \ \phi_{i/j}^{(0)}(z), \ I_{i/j}^{(0)}(z) = \delta_{ij}\delta(1-z)$$

NLO: example diagram

0000



$$\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} \left(\mathcal{B}_{i/j}^{(1)}, \phi_{i/j}^{(1)} \right) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d k}{(2\pi)^d} (2\pi) \delta^+(k^2) \left(\frac{\nu_+}{n \cdot k} \right)^\alpha \delta\left(\bar{n} \cdot [k - (1-z)p] \right) \left(e^{ik_T \cdot x_T}, 1 \right) \mathcal{M}$$

Solve in closed form. Extract *I*. With $L_{\perp} = \log\left(\frac{x_{T}^{2}\mu^{2}}{4e^{-2\gamma_{E}}}\right)$ and $P_{gg}^{(0)}(z) = \left[1/(1-z)\right]_{+} + 1/z - 2 + z - z^{2}$ have e.g. : $I_{q/q}^{(1)}(z, L_{\perp}) = C_{a}\left[-4L_{\perp}P_{gg}^{(0)}(z) - \delta(1-z)(\zeta_{2} - L_{\perp}^{2})\right].$

Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

Example diagrams at NNLO

Virtual-Real contribution:

Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

TPDF at NNLO

. . .

23.09.2013 15 / 21

三日 のへの

< A

Example diagrams at NNLO



Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

TPDF at NNLO

23.09.2013 15 / 21

Example integral

After parametrization and some work a typical class of integrals for double real emission reads:

$$\sim \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}y \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{d}t \, y^{1-a_{2}-a_{3}-a_{5}-\epsilon} (1-y)^{1-a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{6}-\epsilon} \left\{ 1-(1-z)(1-y) \right\}^{-a_{7}} \\ \times (1-t)^{1-2a_{2}-2\epsilon} {}_{2}F_{1}(1-a_{2}-\epsilon,1-a_{2}-2\epsilon;1-\epsilon;t) \left[t^{-a_{3}-\epsilon} \left\{ 1-y(1-t) \right\}^{b} \right] \\ + (1-t)^{-a_{1}-\epsilon} \left\{ 1-(1-y)(1-t) \right\}^{b} \right], \ b = -2+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+2\epsilon \,.$$

exponent	<i>a</i> 1	a 3	a 5	<i>a</i> 6	
integer part	n_1	<i>n</i> 3	n ₅	n ₆	-
regulator part	α	α	$-\epsilon - m\alpha$	ϵ ,	m = 2, 0

Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

TPDF at NNLO

23.09.2013 16 / 21

Results to NNLO

NNLO results

- Determined $I_{i/j}$, $\phi_{i/j}$, $F_{i\bar{i}}$, Z_i^B up to α_s^2 for all $i, j \in \{g, q_f, q_{f'}, \bar{q}_f, \bar{q}_{f'}\}$.
- Published so far $q \leftarrow q$, [Gehrmann, TL, Yang] 1209.0682.

$$\frac{d}{d\log\mu}I_{g/g}(z,L_{\perp},\alpha_s) = \left[\Gamma^{A}_{\mathsf{cusp}}(\alpha_s)L_{\perp} - 2\gamma^{g}(\alpha_s)\right]I_{g/g}(z,L_{\perp},\alpha_s) - \sum_{k}2\left(I_{g/k}\otimes P_{kg}\right)_{z}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Results to NNLO

Example: Scale independent part of $I_{g/g}^{(2)}$

$$\begin{split} I_{g/g}^{(2)}(z,0) &= C_a^2 \bigg[\delta(1-z) \bigg(\frac{1214}{81} - \frac{67}{6} \zeta_2 - \frac{77}{9} \zeta_3 + \frac{25}{4} \zeta_4 \bigg) \\ &+ P_{gg}^{(0)}(z) \bigg(8H_{0,1,0} + 8H_{0,1,1} - 8H_{1,0,0} + 8H_{1,0,1} + 8H_{1,1,0} - 4H_{0,0,0} + 52\zeta_3 - \frac{808}{27} \bigg) \\ &+ P_{gg}^{(0)}(-z) \bigg(8H_{-1,0,0} + 16H_{0,-1,0} - 16H_{-1,-1,0} - 4H_{0,0,0} - 8H_{0,1,0} - 8\zeta_2H_{-1} + 4\zeta_3 \bigg) \\ &- 16(1+z)H_{0,0,0} + \frac{50 - 22z + 88z^2}{3} H_{0,0} - \frac{701 + 149z + 536z^2}{9} H_0 - \frac{2z}{3} H_1 \\ &+ \bigg(- 32[1-z] + \frac{88}{3} [1/z - z^2] \bigg) (H_{1,0} + \zeta_2) + \frac{232}{3} - \frac{784}{9z} - \frac{248}{3} z + \frac{844}{9} z^2 \bigg] \\ &+ C_a T_f N_f \bigg[\delta(1-z) \bigg(\frac{28}{9} \zeta_3 + \frac{10}{3} \zeta_2 - \frac{328}{81} \bigg) + \frac{224}{27} P_{gg}^{(0)}(z) \\ &+ \bigg(\frac{8}{3} (1+z)H_{0,0} + \frac{4}{3} zH_1 + \frac{52 + 40z}{9} H_0 - 8(1-z) + \frac{260}{27z} - \frac{332}{27} z^2 \bigg) \bigg] \\ &+ C_f T_f N_f \bigg[8(1+z)H_{0,0,0} + (12 + 4z)H_{0,0} + 24(1+z)H_0 + 64(1-z) - \frac{8}{3}(1/z - z^2) \bigg] \,, \end{split}$$

where
$$P_{gg}^{(0)}(z) = [1/(1-z)]_{+} + 1/z - 2 + z - z^{2}$$
,
and HPL $H_{\{m\}} \equiv H(\{m\}, z)$.

Checks

- $H(\{n\}, z)$ up to weight 3.
- α^{-n} cancel in $\mathcal{B}\overline{\mathcal{B}}$.
- Consistent renormalization with same Z_i^B , $(Z_{i/i}^{\phi})^{-1}$ for all functions.
- $P_{i/j} \Leftarrow \phi_{i/j} \Leftarrow (Z^{\phi}_{i/j})^{-1}$ agree with literature.

•
$$I_{i/j}(z, L_{\perp}, \alpha_s)$$
 obey RG evol. eq.:

$$\frac{d}{d\log\mu}I_{i/j}(z,L_{\perp},\alpha_s) = \left[\Gamma_{\mathsf{cusp}}^i(\alpha_s)L_{\perp} - 2\gamma^i(\alpha_s)\right]I_{i/j}(z,L_{\perp},\alpha_s) \\ -\sum_k 2\left(I_{i/k}\otimes P_{k/j}\right)_z$$

• $F_{q\bar{q}}$, F_{gg} agree with [Becher,Neubert].

• Using our results combined with known C_V , C_t and C_H , confirmed $\mathcal{H}_{q\bar{q}\leftarrow i\bar{j}}^{DY(2)}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{gg\leftarrow i\bar{j}}^{H(2)}$ in [Catani,Cieri,de Florian,Ferrera,Grazzini].

Results to NNLO

Note on $I'_{g/j}$

- Recall: $I_{g/j}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} I_{g/j} + \left(\frac{g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}}{d-2} \frac{x_{\perp}^{\mu}x_{\perp}^{\nu}}{x_{\perp}^{2}}\right) I_{g/j}'$.
- Discussion above for $I_{g/j} = g_{\perp\mu\nu} I_{g/j}^{\mu\nu}$.
- Similar for 2nd function $I'_{g/j}$.
- Found: $I_{g/j}^{\prime(0)} = 0$, $I_{g/j}^{\prime(1)}$ agrees with [Becher,Bell,Neubert].
- Sufficient for $d\sigma_H/dq_T$ at N²L0+N³LL.
- General case, need $I_{g/j}^{\prime(2)}$.
- Work in progress.
- $\rightarrow\,$ Publication in preparation.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Conclusions

- q_T resummation requires TPDFs.
- Can calculate partonic TPDFs and matching kernels perturbatively.
- Definition & calculation suffer from rapidity singularities.
- NNLO calculation using analytic regularization completed for all $I_{i/j}$.
- Generic ingredients to obtain $d\sigma/dq_T$ at small q_T to N²LO+N³LL precision for processes with color neutral final state.

(日) (周) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○)

Appendix

Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

TPDF at NNLO

23.09.2013 22 / 21

RG evolution equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\log\mu}C_V(-M^2,\mu) &= \left[\Gamma_{\mathrm{cusp}}^F(\alpha_s)\log\frac{-M^2}{\mu^2} + 2\gamma^q(\alpha_s)\right]C_V(-M^2,\mu),\\ \frac{d}{d\log\mu}F_{q\bar{q}}(x_{\perp},\mu) &= 2\Gamma_{\mathrm{cusp}}^F(\alpha_s(\mu)),\\ \frac{d}{d\log\mu}I_{q\leftarrow q}(z,x_{\perp},\mu) &= \left[\Gamma_{\mathrm{cusp}}^F(\alpha_s)\log\frac{x_T^2\mu^2}{4e^{-2\gamma e}} + 2\gamma^q(\alpha_s)\right]I_{q\leftarrow q}(z,x_{\perp},\mu)\\ &- \sum_k 2\int_z^1\frac{d\xi}{\xi}I_{q\leftarrow k}(\xi,x_{\perp},\mu)P_{k\leftarrow q}(z/\xi,\mu),\end{aligned}$$

where $P_{k\leftarrow q}$ are DGLAP kernels from RG evol. eq. of usual PDFs. Taken together, differential cross section RG invariant.

Rephrased factorization formula

At $\Lambda \ll q_T \ll M$ rephrased factorization formula [Becher, Neubert]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^3\sigma}{dM^2dq_T^2dy} &= \frac{\alpha^2}{3N_cM^2s} \sum_{i,j=q,\bar{q},g} \sum_q e_q^2 \int_{\xi_1}^1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \int_{\xi_2}^1 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} \int_{g}^{2\lambda_\perp} e^{-iq_\perp \cdot x_\perp} \\ &\times \left[\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{q\bar{q}\leftarrow ij}(z_1,z_2,x_\perp,M^2,\mu) \phi_{i/N_1}(\xi_1/z_1,\mu) \phi_{j/N_2}(\xi_2/z_2,\mu) + (q\leftrightarrow\bar{q}) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{C}_{q\bar{q}\leftarrow ij}(z_1,z_2,x_{\perp},M^2,\mu) \\ &= |C_V(-M^2,\mu)|^2 \left(\frac{x_T^2M^2}{4e^{-2\gamma_e}}\right)^{-F_{q\bar{q}}(x_{\perp},\mu)} I_{q\leftarrow i}(z_1,x_{\perp},\mu) I_{\bar{q}\leftarrow j}(z_2,x_{\perp},\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

23.09.2013 24 / 21

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Appendix

Relation to formula by Collins, Soper, Sterman

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{C}_{q\bar{q}\leftarrow ij}(z_1,z_2,x_{\perp},M^2,\mu) \\ &= |C_V(-M^2,\mu)|^2 \left(\frac{x_T^2M^2}{b_0^2}\right)^{-F_{q\bar{q}}(x_{\perp},\mu)} I_{q\leftarrow i}(z_1,x_{\perp},\mu) I_{\bar{q}\leftarrow j}(z_2,x_{\perp},\mu) \,, \end{split}$$

compare this to [Collins, Soper, Sterman, 1985]:

$$= \exp\left\{-\int_{\mu_{b}}^{M^{2}} \frac{d\bar{\mu}^{2}}{\bar{\mu}^{2}} \left[\log\frac{M^{2}}{\bar{\mu}^{2}}A(\alpha_{s}(\bar{\mu})) + B(\alpha_{s}(\bar{\mu}))\right]\right\}$$
$$\times C_{qi}(z_{1}, \alpha_{s}(\mu_{b}))C_{\bar{q}j}(z_{2}, \alpha_{s}(\mu_{b})),$$

 x_T dependence via $\mu_b = b_0 \frac{x_T}{\tau}^{-1} (b_0 = 2e^{-\gamma_e}).$

Relations:

•
$$C_{qi}(z, \alpha_s(\mu_b)) = \left| C_V(-\mu_b^2, \mu_b) \right| I_{q/i}(z, x_\perp, \mu_b),$$

• A & B related to F and RG evol. eq. of $F \& C_V$.

Dictionary CSS vs BN

Using
$$b_0 = 2e^{-\gamma_e}$$
, $\mu_b = b_0 x_T^{-1}$ and $\bar{x}_T = b_0 \bar{\mu}^{-1}$:
 $C_{qi}(z, \alpha_s(\mu_b)) = |C_V(-\mu_b^2, \mu_b)| I_{q/i}(z, \bar{x}_\perp, \mu_b)$,
 $A(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})) = \Gamma_{cusp}^F(\alpha_s) - \bar{\mu}^2 \frac{dF_{q\bar{q}}(\bar{x}_\perp, \bar{\mu})}{d\bar{\mu}^2}$,

$$B(\alpha_s(\bar{\mu})) = 2\gamma^q(\alpha_s) + F_{q\bar{q}}(\bar{x}_\perp,\bar{\mu}) - \bar{\mu}^2 \frac{d\log|C_V(-\bar{\mu}^2,\bar{\mu})|^2}{d\bar{\mu}^2},$$

where Γ_{cusp}^{F} and γ^{q} appear in RG evol. eq. of C_{V} , F & I.

Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

TPDF at NNLO

23.09.2013 26 / 21

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Appendix

NLO results

$$\begin{split} \phi^{(1)}(z,\mu) &= 0 \text{ in dim. reg.} \qquad \qquad L_{\perp} = \log\left(\frac{x_{T}^{2}\mu^{2}}{4e^{-2\gamma_{E}}}\right) \\ \mathcal{B}^{(1)}_{i/j}(z,x_{\perp},\mu) &= e^{(\epsilon+\alpha)L_{\perp}-(\epsilon+2\alpha)\gamma_{E}}\frac{\Gamma(-\epsilon-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left(\frac{\bar{n}\cdot\bar{p}}{\mu}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right)^{\alpha}(1-z)^{\alpha}f_{i/j}(z,\epsilon) \ , \\ \bar{\mathcal{B}}^{(1)}_{\bar{i}/\bar{j}}(z,\perp,\mu) &= e^{\epsilon L_{\perp}-\epsilon\gamma_{E}}\Gamma(-\epsilon)\left(\frac{\mu}{n\cdot\bar{p}}\right)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\nu}{\mu}\right)^{\alpha}(1-z)^{-\alpha}f_{i/j}(z,\epsilon) \ , \\ f_{q/q}(z,\epsilon) &= C_{F}(1-z)^{-1}\left[4z+2(1-\epsilon)(1-z)^{2}\right] \ , \\ f_{q/g}(z,\epsilon) &= 2T_{F}\left[1-\frac{2}{1-\epsilon}z(1-z)\right] \ , \\ f_{g/g}(z,\epsilon) &= C_{F}\left[2\frac{1+(1-z)^{2}}{z}-2\epsilon z\right] \ , \ \ 'g_{\perp}\text{-part'} \ , \\ f_{g/g}(z,\epsilon) &= C_{A}(1-z)^{-1}\left[4\frac{\left(1-z+z^{2}\right)^{2}}{z}\right] \ , \ \ 'g_{\perp}\text{-part'}. \end{split}$$

Thomas Lübbert (ITP Zürich)

23.09.2013 27 / 21

Appendix

Another example: Scale independent part of $I_{q/q}^{(2)}$

$$\begin{split} l_{q/q}^{(2)}(z,0) &= \\ \delta(1-z) \bigg[C_F^2 \frac{5\zeta_4}{4} + C_F C_A \bigg(\frac{3032}{81} - \frac{67\zeta_2}{6} - \frac{266\zeta_3}{9} + 5\zeta_4 \bigg) + C_F T_F n_f \bigg(-\frac{832}{81} + \frac{10\zeta_2}{3} + \frac{28\zeta_3}{9} \bigg) \bigg] \\ &+ P_{qq}^{(0)}(z) \bigg[C_F \bigg(12\zeta_3 + 4H_0 + \frac{3}{2}H_{0,0} + 4H_{0,1,0} + 2H_{0,1,1} - 2H_{1,0,0} + 4H_{1,0,1} + 4H_{1,1,0} \bigg) \\ &+ C_A \bigg(\zeta_3 - \frac{202}{27} - \frac{38}{9}H_0 - \frac{11}{6}H_{0,0} - H_{0,0,0} - 2H_{0,1,0} - 2H_{1,0,1} - 2H_{1,1,0} \bigg) \\ &+ T_F n_f \bigg(\frac{56}{27} + \frac{10}{9}H_0 + \frac{2}{3}H_{0,0} \bigg) \bigg] + P_{qg}^{(0)}(z)C_F \bigg[-\frac{68}{27} + \frac{4\zeta_2}{3} + \frac{32}{9}H_0 - \frac{4}{3}H_{0,0} + \frac{4}{3}H_{1,0} \bigg] \\ &+ P_{gq}^{(0)}(z)T_F \bigg[\frac{86}{27} - \frac{4\zeta_2}{3} - \frac{4}{3}H_{1,0} \bigg] + C_F^2 \bigg[(2 - 24z)H_0 + (3 + 7z)H_{0,0} + 2(1 + z)H_{0,0,0} \\ &+ 2zH_1 + (1 - z) \Big(6\zeta_2 - 22 + 4H_{0,1} + 12H_{1,0} \Big) \bigg] + C_F C_A \bigg[(2 + 10z)H_0 - 4zH_{0,0} - 2zH_1 \\ &+ (1 - z) \bigg(\frac{44}{3} - 6\zeta_2 - 4H_{1,0} \bigg) \bigg] + C_F T_F \bigg[\frac{-50 + 38z}{9} + \frac{20 + 8z}{9}H_0 + \frac{2 - 22z}{3}H_{0,0} \\ &+ 4(1 + z)H_{0,0,0} \bigg] - \frac{4}{3}C_F T_F n_f(1 - z) \,, \end{split}$$
where $P_{qq}^{(0)}(z) = 2C_F \big[(1 + z^2)/(1 - z) \big]_+, P_{qg}^{(0)}(z) = 2T_F \big[z^2 + (1 - z)^2 \big], P_{gq}^{(0)}(z) = 2C_F \big[1 + (1 - z)^2 \big]/z \,. \end{split}$

and $H_{\{m\}} \equiv H(\{m\}, z).$

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三回日 のへで