



# LHCb recent highlights and prospects M. Needham PPAP meeting 22<sup>nd</sup> July 2014 On behalf of LHCb UK



### Outline

- Introduction
- Selected (recent) physics highlights
  - CKM measurements
  - Charm
  - Rare decays
  - Exotic spectroscopy
  - W production
- Run 2 preparation
- The upgrade

Tried to focus on analyses/areas with strong UK participation

Many things not covered



### Physics Goals



Standard Model completed by discovery of Higgs Boson

But many reasons to believe SM is not final word

e.g. CP violation in SM not enough to explain absence of anti-matter in visible universe

Precision indirect searches provide telescope to scales of O(100) TeV

Complement direct searches





#### **Physics Goals**

Search for New Physics and CP violation in rare processes using b and c quark decays

$$\mathbf{w}_{\mathbf{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix} = V_{CKM} \begin{pmatrix} d \\ s \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n=4}^{N} O(\lambda^n)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \sum_{n=4}^{N} O(\lambda^n)$$



#### **Physics Goals**

Despite progress from b factories still room for New Physics amplitude at level of 10 % in  $B_d$  mixing (Similar story in  $B_s$  sector)



5



But also....



#### The LHCb Detector



*LHCb* 



#### LHCb-UK

Birmingham, Bristol, Cambridge, Edinburgh, Glasgow, Imperial, Liverpool, Manchester, Oxford, RAL, Warwick

Collaboration 700 authors, 20 % UK affiliated

New spokeperson from UK (G. Wilkinson)

Two previous physics coordinators from UK

30 % of physics groups convenors from UK

UK led construction and now operation of Vertex detector + RICH. Current project leaders both from UK

UK provides appropriate Tier-1 data storage for LHCb



#### Run 1 Overview

#### $\sim 3.3 \text{ fb}^{-1} \text{ of data collected}$

# Essentially all data physics quality



Also data taken in pA run and also at 2.76 TeV pp



#### **Detector Performance**

Detector performance close to design expectations ! Superb foundation to do good physics





### Scientific Output

- Already 196 papers submitted or published papers
  - 200 mark will be reached tommorrow morning
  - Harvest of Run 1 data will continue at 1-2 papers per week





### The angle $\gamma$



#### To uncover New Physics important to overconstrain CKM matrix parameters



Least well directly measured CKM angle. Uncertainty around 8<sup>0</sup>

Theoretically clean measurement with  $B \rightarrow DK$  decays

 $|\delta\gamma| \le \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ 

Brod+Zupan arXiv:1308.5663

- Key part of LHCb physics program: many analyses ongoing
- Many B decay modes to open charm contributing





Updates on many measurements with full Run 1 dataset in progress Further improvement already with Run 1 data ! 4° precision by end Run 2

14



Observable CP violating phase  $\phi_s$  (analogous to sin2 $\beta$  in B<sup>0</sup> case ): SM expectation  $\phi_s \sim -0.036$  rad. Can be enhanced in New Physics models

Measured  $\phi_s$  in J/ $\psi \phi$ , J/ $\psi \pi \pi$  modes New measurement in J/ $\psi \pi \pi$  mode  $0.070 \pm 0.068 \pm 0.008$  rad (LHCb-Paper-2014-019)

Update in  $J/\psi \Phi$  mode with 3 fb<sup>-1</sup> coming soon precision ~ 0.05 rad level Run 2 precision ~ 0.015 rad





CP violation in  $B_s \rightarrow \phi \phi$ 

 $\overline{s}$ 

 $\overline{S}$ 

s



UFLed

 $_{\phi}$  Gluonic penguin decay

Standard Model cancellation  $\phi$  between decay + mixing phases  $\phi_s^{eff}$ is negligible.

#### $\phi_s^{eff} = -0.17 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.03$ rad

Result consistent with Standard Model

Already interesting sensitivity reached for flagship upgrade precision measurement

LHCB-PAPER-2014-026







Wide program in charm rare decays and CP violation searches Huge statistics allowing huge progress e.g. CP violation in Charm mixing

 $A_{\Gamma}(\pi\pi) = (0.44 \pm 1.06 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$  $A_{\Gamma}(KK) = (-0.35 \pm 0.65 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-3}$ 

Phys. Rev. Lett.112 (2014) 041801 1fb<sup>-1</sup> result. 3fb<sup>-1</sup> update to come



#### $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ and friends LHC

20





 $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu \mu$  and similar rare decays gives access to wide range of observables sensitive each sensitive to different NP effects

> Discrepancy for P5' observable using 1fb<sup>-1</sup> of data compared to expectation

 $3.7 \sigma$  local significance (probability probability that at least one bin varies by this much is 0.5 %)

Update with 3 fb<sup>-1</sup> soon. How will this evolve with more data with Run 2?



### $B^0 \rightarrow K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$ and friends

Result attracted a lot of theory attention, range of interpretations – QCD related or New Physics

Descotes-Genon et al perform global fit 4.5σ discrepancy from SM ? [PRD 88 074002 (2013)]

Altmannshofer and Straub 3σ discrepancy. Flavour changing Z'boson [EPJC 74 2646 (2013)]



The size of form factor power corrections is currently under extensive study within phenomenology community (e.g. Jaeger et al, JHEP05(2013)043)

Zwicky/Lyon (arXiv:1406.0566) Anomaly related to discrepancies in B<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  K<sup>+</sup>µµ at high q<sup>2</sup>?





Wealth of other observables to probe, e.g.  $R_K$  which should be 1 in SM [lepton universality]





#### Exotic Spectroscopy

#### Why do quarks come in twos or threes?

A SCHEMATIC MODEL OF BARYONS AND MESONS \*

M. GELL-MANN California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California

Received 4 January 1964

A simpler and more elegant scheme can be constructed if we allow non-integral values for the charges. We can dispense entirely with the basic baryon b if we assign to the triplet t the following properties: spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z = -\frac{1}{3}$ , and baryon number  $\frac{1}{3}$ . We then refer to the members  $u_3^2$ ,  $d^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ , and  $s^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  of the triplet as "quarks" 6) q and the members of the anti-triplet as anti-quarks  $\bar{q}$ . Baryons can now be constructed from quarks by using the combinations (q q q),  $(q q \bar{q} \bar{q})$ , etc., while mesons are made out of  $(q \bar{q})$ ,  $(q q \bar{q} \bar{q})$ , etc. It is assuming that the lowest

Hot topic in recent years @ b-factories and BES

Many candidates claimed – e.g. X(3872),  $Z(3900)^+$ . But no unambigous candidate

One candidate  $Z(4430)^+$  claimed by Belle in  $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)K\pi$  decay chain but not seen by Babar.

Charged state, confirmation provides irefutable evidence for four quark states



### $Z(4430)^+$ LHCB-PAPER-2014-014



- Full angular analysis
- $J^P = 1^+$ , rules out cusp interpretation
- Phase shift consistent with resonant behaviour



Four quark states exist: elucidate nature with Run 2 data



#### $Z(4430)^{+}$



#### Quarks bonding differently at LHCb

don't fully understand our universe The strong force binds guarks together to form hadrons. Until last Monday, only two types of hadron were known, but the LHCb experiment at CERN has just proved there is a third way



**Existence of Exotic Hadrons** Apr 10, 2014 by Sci-News.com

« PREVIOUS | NEXT »

Published in Physics Tagged as

Evotio hodro

CERN

Scientists from CERN's Large Hadron Collider beauty collaboration have confirmed the unambiguous observation of the long-sought exotic hadron Z(4430), a particle that cannot be classified within the traditional quark model.

23



#### **Electroweak Physics**

- Program of W and Z cross-section measurements
- Unique access to small x-region unexplored by previous experiments
- Test Standard Model and constrain PDF sets
- Measurements complement ATLAS + CMS





Run 2: New kinematic regime + higher cross-section !



### Run II

Detector performed well in Run 1. Work to consolidate this for Run 2

Extend physics program: Herschel forward shower counters

Main challenge:

b cross-section will go up factor by factor 1.8

Charm always benefits from more bandwidth

Want to profit from increased cross-section, and increase the physics reach



More selective trigger, using more RICH information and data parking strategy needed



# Run II: Physics

- Collect around 5 6 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Aim for 1 fb<sup>-1</sup> already in 2015
- Statistical power of data will more than double !

Lots of exciting physics !

Revisit B(d,s)  $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ . Is ratio consistent with SM ?

 $R_{K}$  and P5 anomalies in B  $\rightarrow$  K\*ll

 $\gamma$  with 4° precision !

Electroweak + exotic searches





### Run II: Trigger

Data from first part of fill will be buffered in HLT farm allowing time to perform online calibration and alignment of full detector. Data quality online will be close to offline – trigger selects the events we want



Turbo stream concept for charm: write out microDST/ntuple for analysis at trigger level



System being prepared to define how often and when alignment/calibration triggered triggered

	Granularity	Update type	DB slice size (kB)	Events needed	
VELO alignment	fill-by-fill	Update once parameters exceed tolerance	80	50k tracks traversing VELO + 50k collisions	
Tracker alignment	fill-by-fill	Update once parameters exceed tolerance	250	20k D⁰→Кп	
RICH Mirror Alignment	fill-by-fill	Synchronous with tracker (if needed)	207	A sample of tracks fully covering the mirror area	
Muon Alignment	fill-by-fill	Synchronous with tracker (if needed)		20k J/ψ→μμ	
RICH Refractive Index	run-by-run	run-by-run	3	tracks	
HPD Image Calibration	run-by-run	run-by-run	386	tracks	
OT drift time	every 1-2 weeks	Synchronous with tracker (if needed)	300	10k to monitor 100k-1M to align	
Calorimeter	fill-by-fill	fill-by-fill	N/A	undeltax	

e.g. Velo half alignment stable fill-to-fill To better than 10 µm





### The Upgrade

# Beyond LS2 dataset doubling time lengthens

- Detector reaching end of design life
- Gain statistics run at higher luminosity (10<sup>33</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)

#### Limited by L0 hadron trigger

- Replace front-end electronics to allow full detector readout at 40 MHz crossing rate
- Full software trigger

Increase of statistics available at the LHCb upgrade





#### Upgrade Trigger





New challenges for reconstruction and computing !





#### Pixel Detector







Most advanced pixel detector ever constructed

55  $\mu$ m x 55  $\mu$ m pixels

Radiation dose  $10^{16}$  protons/cm<sup>2</sup>

Detector 5 mm from LHC beam

#### Microchannel cooling





### RICH



#### RICH Kaon ID - Upgrade Versus Current Detector



Challenge of high occupancy enviroment

Optimized RICH 1 layout - new mechanics, mirrors, ...

New photodetectors (R11265 from Hammatsu)

New readout electronics







#### Upgrade Reach

Type	Observable	Current	LHCb	Upgrade	Theory
		precision	2018	$(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty
$B_s^0$ mixing	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ \phi)$	0.10 [9]	0.025	0.008	$\sim 0.003$
	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ f_0(980))$	$0.17 \ [10]$	0.045	0.014	$\sim 0.01$
	$A_{ m fs}(B^0_s)$	$6.4 \times 10^{-3} \ [18]$	$0.6 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.2 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.03\times10^{-3}$
Gluonic	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0  o \phi\phi)$	—	0.17	0.03	0.02
penguin	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})$	—	0.13	0.02	< 0.02
	$2\beta^{\text{eff}}(B^0 \to \phi K^0_S)$	$0.17 \ [18]$	0.30	0.05	0.02
Right-handed	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\gamma)$	—	0.09	0.02	< 0.01
currents	$ au^{\mathrm{eff}}(B^0_s  o \phi \gamma) /  au_{B^0_s}$	—	5%	1%	0.2%
Electroweak	$S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \text{GeV}^2/c^4)$	0.08 [14]	0.025	0.008	0.02
penguin	$s_0 A_{\rm FB}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25%[14]	6%	2%	7%
	$A_{\rm I}(K\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 {\rm GeV^2/c^4})$	$0.25 \ [15]$	0.08	0.025	$\sim 0.02$
	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25%[16]	8%	2.5%	$\sim 10 \%$
Higgs	$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s  o \mu^+ \mu^-)$	$1.5 \times 10^{-9} [2]$	$0.5 \times 10^{-9}$	$0.15 \times 10^{-9}$	$0.3 \times 10^{-9}$
penguin	$\mathcal{B}(B^0  o \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s  o \mu^+ \mu^-)$	—	$\sim 100 \%$	$\sim 35\%$	$\sim 5\%$
Unitarity	$\gamma \ (B \to D^{(*)} K^{(*)})$	$\sim 10  12^{\circ} [19, 20]$	4°	0.9°	negligible
triangle	$\gamma \ (B_s^0 \to D_s K)$	—	$11^{\circ}$	$2.0^{\circ}$	negligible
angles	$\beta~(B^0  o J/\psi~K^0_S)$	$0.8^{\circ} \ [18]$	$0.6^{\circ}$	$0.2^{\circ}$	negligible
Charm	$A_{\Gamma}$	$2.3 \times 10^{-3} [18]$	$0.40\times10^{-3}$	$0.07\times10^{-3}$	_
CP violation	$\Delta A_{CP}$	$2.1 \times 10^{-3} [5]$	$0.65 \times 10^{-3}$	$0.12 \times 10^{-3}$	_







#### Upgrade Reach

#### arXiv:1309.2293



#### New Physics in B<sub>s</sub> mixing







#### Summary

- LHCb had a very successful Run 1
  - 197 papers so far across wide range of topics
  - More to come updates on  $a_s^f$ ,  $\phi_s$ ,  $\sin 2\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\Delta A_{CP}$ , B->K<sup>\*</sup>µµ,...
- Looking forward to exciting Run 2
  - Collect 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> with twice b cross-section
    - $\sim 12.5$  kHz rate to disk, twice Run 1 rate
  - Double precision. Do anomalies from Run 1 persist ?
  - Online alignment and calibration in real time
- Upgrade for Run 3 to collect 50 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data
  - Running at  $10^{33}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>
  - Readout of full detector at 40 MHz, 100 kHz to disk
  - Order of magnitude increase in precision



+ observation of several new particles + 50 new decay modes







#### Run 1: Trigger

LHCb Trigger Group, JINST 8, P04022 [arXiv:1211.3055]





Upgrade

#### Numbers prepared for ECFA workshop

			LHC era		HL-LHC era	
		Run 1	$\operatorname{Run} 2$	$\operatorname{Run} 3$	Run 4	Run $5+$
$\frac{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)}$	CMS	> 100%	71%	47%	•••	21%
	LHCb	220%	110%	60%	40%	28%
$q_0^2 A_{\rm FB}(K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-)$	LHCb	10%	5%	2.8%	1.9%	1.3%
	Belle II		50%	7%	5%	
$\phi (D0) (I/a/a)$	ATLAS	0.11	0.05 - 0.07	0.04 - 0.05	•••	0.020
$\psi_s(D_s \rightarrow J/\psi\psi)$	LHCb	0.05	0.025	0.013	0.009	0.006
$\phi_s(\bar{B}^0_s \to \bar{\phi}\phi)$	LHCb	0.18	$\bar{0}.\bar{1}2$	0.04	$0.02\bar{6}$	0.017
	LHCb	$7^{\circ}$	$4^{\circ}$	$1.7^{\circ}$	$1.1^{\circ}$	$0.7^{\circ}$
Ŷ	Belle II		$11^{\circ}$	$2^{\circ}$	$1.5^{\circ}$	
$A_{-}(D^{0} \setminus K^{+}K^{-})$	LHCb	$3.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.2 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.9 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.5 \times 10^{-4}$	$0.3 \times 10^{-4}$
$A_{\Gamma}(D \to K^+ K^-)$	Belle II		$18 \times 10^{-4}$	$4-6 \times 10^{-4}$	$3 - 5 \times 10^{-4}$	
$t \setminus aZ$	ATLAS	•••	•••	$23 \times 10^{-5}$	•••	$4.1 - 7.2 \times 10^{-5}$
$\iota  ightarrow q \Sigma$	CMS	$100 \times 10^{-5}$	•••	$27 \times 10^{-5}$	•••	$10 \times 10^{-5}$
$t \to q\gamma$	ĀTLĀS			$7.8 \times 10^{-5}$		$1.3 - 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/ECFA/PhysicsGoalsPerformanceReachHeavyFlavour

### **Bd** mixing in generic models



Run I era

Run II era



#### The angle $\gamma$

Lots of other beautiful results coming out now or soon, e.g.  $3fb^{-1}$  update for B  $\rightarrow$  DK with D  $\rightarrow$  K<sub>s</sub> $\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ 





#### Upgrade Reach

Upgraded detector will have a rich physics program building on studies with current detector

- Precision tests of CP violation and CKM parameters in b decays
- Rare b and c decays
- High statistics charm
- Spectroscopy and b-hadron measurements
- Electroweak
- Quarkonia
- Exotic searches

Full software trigger. Flexible response to discoveries



### Run II

Assume 1870 colliding bunches at  $\mu$  ~1.35 (2012 was  $\mu$  ~ 1.6)

Allowing for increased multiplicity data rates similar to 2012

As in 2012 will level luminosity

Aim to collect 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data at luminosity of  $4 \times 10^{32}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>

