O(4) scaling analysis in two-flavor QCD at finite temperature and density with improved Wilson quarks

S. Ejiri (Niigata), R. Iwami (Niigata), K. Kanaya (Tsukuba, CiRfse), H. Ohno (Tsukuba, CCS), A. Uji (Niigata), T. Umeda (Hiroshima), N. Wakabayashi (Niigata), S. Yoshida (CCNU)

We study scaling behavior of a chiral order parameter in the low density region, performing a simulation of two-flavor lattice QCD with improved Wilson quarks. It has been confirmed that the scaling behavior of the chiral order parameter defined by a Ward-Takahashi identity agrees with the scaling function of the three-dimensional O(4) spin model at zero chemical potential. We discuss the scaling properties of the chiral phase transition at finite density, applying the reweighting method and calculating derivatives of the chiral order parameter with respect to the chemical potential. In the comparison between the scaling functions of the O(4) spin model and QCD at low density, there is a fit parameter which can be interpreted as the curvature of the chiral phase transition curve in the QCD phase diagram with respect to temperature and chemical potential. We determine the curvature of the phase boundary by the fitting. The physical scale is set by the gradient flow.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quark mass dependence of QCD phase transition

Expected nature of phase transitions

Nf=2 QCD and O(4) spin model: same universality class?



3-4. Scaling plot at $\mu=0$ and O(4) scaling function We fit the data of $\langle \overline{\psi}\psi \rangle^{WI}$ by the O(4) scaling function.



We conform scaling behavior of a chiral order parameter at $\mu=0$, performing a simulation of Nf=2 lattice QCD with improved Wilson quarks. This scaling behavior agrees with the scaling function of the 3d O(4)spin model at $\mu=0$.

2. WILSON QUARK SIMULATION

Iwasaki improved gauge + Clover improved Wilson quark action



| β | κ | β | κ | β | κ |
|------|---------------|------|----------|------------|----------------------------------|
| 1.50 | 0.150290 | 1.50 | 0.143480 | 1.50 | 0.148750 |
| 1.55 | 0.150251 | 1.55 | 0.143654 | 1.55 | 0.148500 |
| 1.60 | 0.150030 | 1.60 | 0.143749 | 1.60 | 0.148800 |
| 1.65 | 0.149328 | 1.65 | 0.143416 | 1.65 | 0.147900 |
| 1.70 | 0.148086 | 1.70 | 0.142871 | 1.70 | 0.147100 |
| 1.75 | 0.146763 | 1.75 | 0.142105 | 1.75 | 0.145800 |
| 1.80 | 0.145127 | 1.80 | 0.141139 | 1.80 | 0.144100 |
| 1.85 | 0.143502 | 1.85 | 0.140070 | : n | $m_{\rm PS}/m_{\rm V} = 0.65$ |
| 1.90 | 0.141849 | 1.90 | 0.138817 | : n | $m_{\rm PS}/m_{\rm V} = 0.80$ |
| 1.95 | 0.140472 | 1.95 | 0.137716 | | $m_{\rm res}/m_{\rm res} = 0.70$ |
| 2.00 | 0 1 2 0 4 1 1 | 2 00 | 0 126021 | · · · · | -P5, my 0.70 |

#conf.=500-5500, #noise=150 for each color and spin indices

J. Engels, L. Fromme, M. Seniuch, Nucl. Phys. B 675 (2003) 533. $t/h^{1/\gamma}$ Consistent with O(4) scaling function.

3-5. Derivatives of the chiral order parameter at $\mu=0$

3-5-1. method 1 (reweighting method)

We fit the data of $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle^{WI}$ at $\mu \neq 0$ by $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle^{WI}(\mu) = x + y(\mu/T)^2$, where *x* and *y* are the fit parameters. (The first derivative is zero due to the symmetry: $\mu \rightarrow -\mu$)



3-5-2. method 2 (Tayler method)

We calculate the expectation value of the following operators and obtain the derivatives.



3-6. Relationship c and c' 3-6-1. Scale setting by using O(a²) improved gradient flow



3. SCALING BEHAVIOR OF CHIRAL ORDER PARAMETER AT $\mu \neq 0$

3-1. O(4) scaling test for N_f=2 QCD

3-D O(4) spin model: magnetization(*M*), external field(*h*), reduced temperature(*t*)

: chiral order parameter($\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$), ud-quark mass(m_{ud}), critical β (β_{ct}) Nf=2 QCD



 $\langle \partial_{\mu}A_{\mu}(x)P(y)\rangle - 2m_{ud}a\langle P(x)P(y)\rangle = \delta(x-y)\langle \bar{\psi}\psi(x)\rangle, \ P = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\psi, \ A_{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi,$

We calculate scales $(\sqrt{t_{1.5}}/a, \sqrt{t_{2.5}}/a, \sqrt{t_{3.5}}/a)$ for each simulation parameter by using O(a²) improved gradient flow. Then, we take extra simulations to estimate the scale in chiral limit (cyan table).



The scale $\sqrt{t_X}/a$ means the value at which $\langle t^2 E(t) \rangle|_{t=t_X} = X$. Changing the hopping parameter κ , we will estimate the relationship between these scale $\sqrt{t_X}/a$ and gauge coupling β .

3-6-2. Beta function in chiral limit

Method 1

Method 2

3-8. Summary

We calculate scales $(\sqrt{t_{1.5}}/a, \sqrt{t_{2.5}}/a, \sqrt{t_{3.5}}/a)$ in the chiral limit (left) and



3-7. Curvature of critical line in the chiral limit $\frac{T_c(\mu_B)}{T_c} = 1 - \kappa \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\mu_B^4), \ \kappa : \text{the curvature of critical line}$

| J. Guenther et al, N _f =2 | +1+1, | physical point ⊢ <mark>_</mark> , chiral limit, N _f =2+1 ⊢ _ | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| (m _{ud} ,m _s :physical, m _c /m | ı _s =11.85) ⊢ | chiral limi | t, N _f =2 ⊢▲ | | |
| L. Cosm (m _{PS} =16 | ai et al, N _f =2+1, 50MeV, m _{ud} /m _s =1 | 1/20) | | | |

n.

0.025

$$2m_{ud}a = -m_{\pi} \frac{\langle A_4(t)P(0)\rangle}{\langle P(t)P(0)\rangle}, \ \langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle^{WI} = \frac{2m_{ud}a(2\kappa)^2}{N_s^3 N_t} \sum_{x,y} \langle P(x)P(y)\rangle$$

Because the chiral symmetry is explicitly broken for Wilson quarks, we define $m_a a$ and $\langle \overline{\psi} \psi \rangle^{WI}$ by WT identities.

3-3. Reweighting method for the chiral order parameter at $\mu \neq 0$

 $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi\rangle^{WI} = \frac{2m_{ud}a(2\kappa)^2}{N_s^3 N_t} \sum_{x,y} \langle P(x)P(y)\rangle = \frac{2m_{ud}a(2\kappa)^2}{N_s^3 N_t} \sum_{x,y} \langle \operatorname{tr}\left(M^{-1}\gamma_5 M^{-1}\gamma_5\right)\rangle$ The expectation value at $\mu \neq 0$ is computed by the reweighting method with $O(\mu^3)$ error. $\langle \operatorname{tr} \left(M^{-1} \gamma_5 M^{-1} \gamma_5 \right) \rangle_{(\beta,\mu)} = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}U \operatorname{tr} \left(M^{-1} \gamma_5 M^{-1} \gamma_5 \right) \left(\det M(\mu) \right)^{N_f} e^{-S_g(\beta)} = \frac{ \langle \operatorname{tr} \left(M^{-1} \gamma_5 M^{-1} \gamma_5 \right) e^{N_f \left[\ln \det M(\mu) - \ln \det M(0) \right]} \rangle_{(\beta_0,0)} }{ \langle e^{N_f \left[\ln \det M(\mu) - \ln \det M(0) \right]} \rangle_{(\beta_0,0)} }$ $\ln \det M(\mu) - \ln \det M(0) = \mu \frac{\partial \ln \det M}{\partial \mu} + \frac{\mu^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \ln \det M}{\partial \mu^2} + \mathcal{O}(\mu^3)$ $\mu \frac{\partial \ln \det M}{\partial \mu} = \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu} \right), \ \mu \frac{\partial^2 \ln \det \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu^2} = \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu^2} \right) + \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu} \right), \ \frac{\partial \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \right)}{\partial \mu} = -2 \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \right) \\ \left(\frac{\partial^2 \mathrm{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \right)}{\partial \mu^2} = -2 \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu^2} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \right) + 4 \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \right) \\ + 4 \operatorname{tr} \left(\mathbf{M}^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mu} \mathbf{M}^{-1} \gamma_5 \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{$

• These operators can be calculated by the random noise method.



We calculated the chiral order parameter and its second derivative performing a simulation of Nf=2 QCD with Wilson quarks and compared the results with an expected scaling function.

- The scaling behavior is roughly consistent with our expectation. 3)
- The curvature of the critical line in the (T, μ) plane was estimated.

presented by Takashi Umeda (Hiroshima Univ.)