Progress on three-particle quantization condition



Steve Sharpe University of Washington



In collaboration with Raul Briceño and Max Hansen

S. Sharpe, "Progress on three-particle quantization condition" 7/26/16 @ Lattice 2016, Southampton 1 /18

Outline

- Motivation
- Original formalism (relativistic, model independent)
- Extensions underway
- A new test: volume dependence of Efimov-like 3-particle bound state (compared to NRQM)

The fundamental issue

- Lattice QCD can calculate energy levels of multipleparticle systems in a box
- How are these related to scattering amplitudes?



Potential applications

• Studying resonances with three particle decay channels

 $\omega(782) \to \pi\pi\pi \qquad K^* \longrightarrow K\pi\pi \qquad N(1440) \to N\pi\pi$

- Calculating weak decay amplitudes/form factors involving 3 particles, e.g. K→πππ
- Determining NNN interactions
 - Input for effective field theory treatments of larger nuclei & nuclear matter
 - Similarly, $\pi\pi\pi$, $\pi K\overline{K}$, ... interactions needed for study of pion/kaon condensation

Previous result [Hansen & SS 1408.5933]



• Superficially similar to 2-particle form ...

 $\det\left[F_2^{-1} + \mathcal{K}_2\right] = 0$

... but F₃ lives in a larger space, and contains both kinematical, finite-volume quantities (F₂ & G) and the dynamical, infinite-volume quantity \mathcal{K}_2

Previous result [Hansen & SS 1504.04248]



- Result is an integral equation giving \mathcal{M}_3 in terms of $\mathcal{K}_{df,3}$
- Confirms that finite volume spectrum is determined by infinite-volume scattering amplitudes [Polejaeva & Rusetsky, 14] in a relativistic analysis

Limitations of previous result

- I. Assumes Z_2 symmetry: no $2\leftrightarrow 3$, $I\leftrightarrow 2$, ... vertices
- 2. No resonances allowed in two-particle subchannels in kinematic range considered

Extensions underway [Briceño, Hansen & SS]

• Conjectured result without Z₂ symmetry (derivation nearly complete):

$$\det \left[1 - \begin{pmatrix} F_2 & 0 \\ 0 & F_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}_2 & \mathcal{K}_{23} \\ \mathcal{K}_{32} & \mathcal{K}_{df,3} \end{pmatrix} \right] = 0$$

Lüscher zeta-function $3 \leftrightarrow 2$ K-matr

3↔2 K-matrix; Requires no long-distance subtraction

- Relation of K-matrices to \mathcal{M}_2 , \mathcal{M}_{23} , \mathcal{M}_{32} & \mathcal{M}_3 to be determined
- Including above-threshold 2-particle K-matrix poles:
 - Approach based on factorization of poles in early stages

Testing the formalism

- Threshold expansion [Hansen & SS, 1602.00324]
 - Matches I/L³—I/L⁵ terms from NRQM [Beane, Detmold & Savage 07; Tan 08]
 - Matches I/L^3 — I/L^6 terms from relativistic ϕ^4 theory up to $O(\lambda^3)$ [Hansen & SS, 1509.07929]
- New result presented here for finite-volume dependence of Efimov-like 3-particle bound state: [Hansen & SS, in prep.]
 - Matches NRQM result [Meissner, Rios & Rusetsky, 1412.4969]

NRQM result

[Meissner, Rios & Rusetsky, 1412.4969 + erratum]

- Assumes two-body potential, unitary limit (scattering length $a \rightarrow \infty$), P=0
- Aim to reproduce exponent, leading power & overall constant from relativistic formalism

• Assume K_{df,3}=0 (no local 3-particle interaction)

$$\det \left[F_3^{-1} + \mathcal{K}_{df,3} \right] = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow F_3 \longrightarrow \infty$$

$$F_3 = \frac{F_2}{2\omega L^3} \left[\frac{1}{3} - \mathcal{M}_{2,L}F_2 - \mathcal{D}_L^{(u,u)} \frac{F_2}{2\omega L^3} \right] \qquad \Rightarrow D_L^{(u,u)} \longrightarrow \infty$$

 \mathcal{M}_2 and F_2 do not diverge below threshold

• Assume K_{df,3}=0 (no local 3-particle interaction)



• Assume K_{df,3}=0 (no local 3-particle interaction)



Assume pure s-wave 2-particle interaction (same approx. as [MRR])



• Dominant FV corrections to $\mathcal{D}_{L^{(u,u)}}$



 Replace sums with integrals + sum-integrals (non-trivial since loops not independent)

$$i\mathcal{D}_{L}^{s,(u,u)}(\vec{p},\vec{k}) \approx i\mathcal{D}^{s,(u,u)}(\vec{p},\vec{k}) + \int_{r,t} \frac{1}{2\omega_{r}} \frac{1}{2\omega_{t}} i\mathcal{D}^{s,(u,u)}(\vec{p},\vec{r}) iI(\vec{r},\vec{t}) i\mathcal{D}^{s,(u,u)}(\vec{t},\vec{k}) + \dots$$

$$iI(\vec{p},\vec{k}) \equiv \left[\frac{1}{L^3}\sum_s -\int_s\right] \frac{1}{2\omega_s} iG^{s,\infty}(\vec{p},\vec{s})i\mathcal{M}_2^s(\vec{s})iG^{s,\infty}(\vec{s},\vec{k})$$

$$i\mathcal{D}_{L}^{s,(u,u)}(\vec{p},\vec{k}) \approx i\mathcal{D}^{s,(u,u)}(\vec{p},\vec{k}) + \int_{r,t} \frac{1}{2\omega_{r}} \frac{1}{2\omega_{t}} i\mathcal{D}^{s,(u,u)}(\vec{p},\vec{r}) iI(\vec{r},\vec{t}) i\mathcal{D}^{s,(u,u)}(\vec{t},\vec{k}) + \dots$$

• Infinite-volume bound state \Rightarrow pole in $\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}$

$$i\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}(\vec{p},\vec{k}) = -i\frac{\Gamma(\vec{p})\overline{\Gamma}(\overline{k})}{E^2 - E_B^2} + \text{non-pole}$$

• Insert into $\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}$ L, and resum:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{D}_{L}^{s,(u,u)}(\vec{p},\vec{k}) &\approx -\frac{\Gamma^{(u)}(\vec{p})}{E^{2} - E_{B}^{2}} \left[1 + \frac{J}{E^{2} - E_{B}^{2}} + \frac{J^{2}}{(E^{2} - E_{B}^{2})^{2}} + \dots \right] \ \overline{\Gamma}^{(u)}(\vec{k}) \\ J &= \int_{r,t} \frac{\overline{\Gamma}^{(u)}(\vec{r})}{2\omega_{r}} I(\vec{r},\vec{t}) \frac{\Gamma^{(u)}(\vec{t})}{2\omega_{t}} \end{split}$$

• Leads to pole in $\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}L$ at shifted energy

$$\Delta E_L = J/(2E_B)$$

S. Sharpe, "Progress on three-particle quantization condition" 7/26/16 @ Lattice 2016, Southampton 13/18

Reproducing MRR: step 4
$$J = -\left[\frac{1}{L^3}\sum_{s} -\int_{s}\right] \left(\int_{r} \frac{\overline{\Gamma}^{(u)}(\vec{r}) \ G^{s,\infty}(\vec{r},\vec{s})}{2\omega_{r}}\right) \left(\frac{\mathcal{M}_{2}^{s}(\vec{s})}{2\omega_{s}}\right) \left(\int_{t} \frac{G^{s,\infty}(\vec{s},\vec{t}) \ \Gamma^{(u)}(\vec{t})}{2\omega_{t}}\right)$$

• Can simplify using analog of Bethe-Salpeter equation

$$i\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}(\vec{p},\vec{k}) = i\mathcal{M}_2(\vec{p})iG^{\infty}(\vec{p},\vec{k})i\mathcal{M}_2(\vec{k}) + \int_s \frac{1}{2\omega_s}i\mathcal{M}_2(\vec{p})iG^{\infty}(\vec{p},\vec{s})i\mathcal{D}^{(u,u)}(\vec{s},\vec{k})$$
$$\Rightarrow \qquad \Gamma^{(u)}(\vec{p}) = -\mathcal{M}_2(\vec{p})\int_s \frac{1}{2\omega_s}G^{\infty}(\vec{p},\vec{s}) \ \Gamma^{(u)}(\vec{s})$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad J = -\left[\frac{1}{L^3}\sum_{s} -\int_{s}\right]\bar{\Gamma}^{(u)}(s)\frac{1}{2\omega_s\mathcal{M}_2^s(s)}\Gamma^{(u)}(s) = 2E_B\Delta E_L$$

General result valid for any bound state if $\mathcal{K}_{df,3}=0$

$$J = -\left[\frac{1}{L^3}\sum_s -\int_s\right]\bar{\Gamma}^{(u)}(s)\frac{1}{2\omega_s\mathcal{M}_2^s(s)}\Gamma^{(u)}(s) = 2E_B\Delta E_L$$

- To evaluate need to know the form of Γ for Efimov bound state
- Can show (for instantaneous V_{ij}, by extending [Feldman & Fulton, 82])

$$\Gamma = 4\sqrt{3}m^2 \left(E_B - 3m - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m} \right) \psi$$
 Schrödinger wavefunction

$$\Gamma^{(u)} = 4\sqrt{3}m^2 \left(E_B - 3m - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m} \right) \phi_3$$
 on shell

$$\psi = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \phi_3$$
 Fadeev wavefunction

$$\Gamma^{(u)} = 4\sqrt{3}m^2 \left(E_B - 3m - \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\vec{p}_i^2}{2m} \right) \phi_3 \Big|_{\text{on shell}}$$

• Using standard result for ϕ_3 for Efimov bound state find

known constant

$$\Gamma^{(u)}(\vec{s}) = \frac{c_{\Gamma}}{\sqrt{1 + \vec{s}^2/s_{\rm th}^2}} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(1 + \vec{s}^2/s_{\rm th}^2)\right]$$

• Also need \mathcal{M}_2 in unitary limit

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{M}_2^s(s)} = \frac{\kappa}{32\pi m} \left[\sqrt{1 + \vec{s}^2 / s_{\rm th}^2} - 1 / (\kappa a) \right]$$

S. Sharpe, "Progress on three-particle quantization condition" 7/26/16 @ Lattice 2016, Southampton 16/18

$$J = -\left[\frac{1}{L^3}\sum_s -\int_s\right]\bar{\Gamma}^{(u)}(s)\frac{1}{2\omega_s\mathcal{M}_2^s(s)}\Gamma^{(u)}(s) = 2E_B\Delta E_L$$

S

 $is_{
m th}$

• Evaluate sum-integral difference using Poisson summation formula

$$\begin{split} J &= -c_{\Gamma}^2 \frac{\kappa}{64\pi m^2} 6 \int \frac{d^3 s}{(2\pi)^3} e^{iL\hat{x}\cdot\vec{s}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+s^2/s_{\rm th}^2}} \\ &= -c_{\Gamma}^2 \frac{\kappa}{64\pi m^2} 6 e^{-s_{\rm th}L} \left(\frac{s_{\rm th}}{2\pi L}\right)^{3/2} \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(1/[\kappa L])\right] \end{split}$$

• Final result agrees with NRQM!

$$\Delta E_L = -A^2 (\kappa^2/m) \frac{e^{-s_{\rm th}L}}{(\kappa L)^{3/2}} \times 36 \cdot 3^{3/4} \pi^{7/2} C_0 \sinh^2(\pi s_0/2) \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(1/[\kappa L])\right]$$

Completing the check

- $\mathcal{K}_{df,3}$ =0 approximation cannot exactly reproduce NRQM, since introduces dependence on cutoff function
- We think it is straightforward to relax this approximation while maintaining the final result