Looking forward to new lattice inputs for flavour phenomenology Durham Matthew Kirk

Duality Violation

Current results from theory and experiment (ATLAS, CMS, LHCb) on $\Delta\Gamma_s$ constrain quark-hadron duality violation to around 30% [1]. From this result, we can quantify whether deviations of a_{sl} from theory could be explained by duality violation, or whether they would be unambiguous signs of NP.

B Meson Lifetime Ratio

Very strong NP bounds can be obtained using the lifetime ratio $\tau(B_s)/\tau(B_d)$, as there is strong cancellation in the SM calculation. The most recent theory calculation of $\tau(B_s)/\tau(B)$



The future scenarios assume a reduction in the theory error – we need lattice contributions for dimension-6 and dimension-7 operators (some in progress – see talk by M. Wingate at Heavy Flavour 2016, Lattice 2016).

Improved dimension-6 operators

is 1.00050 ± 0.00108 . Around 80% of this error comes from lattice calculation of colour-suppressed bag parameters $\epsilon_{1,2}$. $\left\langle B \left| (\overline{b}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma^{5})T^{a}q) \otimes (\overline{q}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma^{5})T^{a}b) \right| B \right\rangle = f_{B}^{2}M_{B}^{2}\epsilon_{1}$ $\left\langle B \left| (\overline{b}(1-\gamma^{5})T^{a}q) \otimes (\overline{q}(1-\gamma^{5})T^{a}b) \right| B \right\rangle = f_{B}^{2}M_{B}^{2}\epsilon_{2}$ Last result comes from 2001 proceedings [2]: $\epsilon_{1} = -0.02 \pm 0.02$ $\epsilon_{2} = 0.03 \pm 0.01$

New results for these parameters are urgently needed.

Charm Lifetimes

The status of the Heavy Quark Expansion (HQE) in charm sector is almost unknown – an ideal testing ground is charm meson lifetimes. The most recent results are very promising [4]

$$\frac{\tau(\mathrm{D}^{+})}{\tau(\mathrm{D}^{0})}\Big|_{\mathrm{HQE}} = 2.2 \pm 1.7 \qquad \frac{\tau(\mathrm{D}^{+})}{\tau(\mathrm{D}^{0})}\Big|_{\mathrm{exp.}} = 2.536 \pm 0.019$$

No lattice calculations of the lifetime matrix elements are available, leading to huge hadronic uncertainties seen above. Some work has been done for charm mixing matrix elements [5] – however calculation of lifetime matrix elements is crucial for precision tests of the HQE.

Most recent lattice calculation from earlier this year [3]. As an example, look at $\Delta\Gamma_{\!s}$



Lattice has allowed us to reduce the theory error by around 1/3 – but the central value has shifted away from experiment. Calculations by more lattice groups essential for assessing this.



[1] T. Jubb, M. Kirk, A. Lenz and G. Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, arXiv:1603.07770 [hep-ph].

[2] D. Becirevic, PoS HEP 2001 (2001) 098 [hep-ph/0110124].

[3] A. Bazavov *et al.* [Fermilab Lattice and MILC Collaborations], Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.11, 113016 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.113016 [arXiv:1602.03560 [hep-lat]].

[4] A. Lenz and T. Rauh, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 034004 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.034004 [arXiv:1305.3588 [hep-ph]].
[5] N. Carrasco *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 90, no. 1, 014502 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.014502 [arXiv:1403.7302 [hep-lat]].
[6] C. C. Chang *et al.* [Fermilab Lattice and MILC Collaborations], PoS LATTICE 2014 (2014) 384 [arXiv:1411.6086 [hep-lat]].



