

# Charmed meson physics from three-flavour lattice QCD



S. Collins (Regensburg U.), K. Eckert (Münster U.), J. Heitger (Münster U.), S. Hofmann (Regensburg U.), W. Söldner (Regensburg U.)





## Motivation for distance preconditioning method

In order to extract charmed observables such as meson masses and the leptonic decay constants  $f_{\rm D}$  and  $f_{\rm D_s}$  given by the non-perturbative QCD matrix elements  $\langle 0|\overline{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 c|{\rm D}_q(p)\rangle = {\rm i}f_{{\rm D}_q}\,p_\mu$ ,  $q={\rm d},{\rm s}$  it is imperative to efficiently compute the propagator of the heavy charm-quark with sufficient accuracy. Numerically one checks if the condition

$$\left| \sum_{y} (D[U] + m_0)_{x,y} S^n(y) - \eta_t(x) \right| < r_{gl}$$

# **Observables & strategies**

• Average O(a) improved bare PCAC quark masses of flavours r and s :

$$\frac{1}{2}(m_{rr} + m_{ss})(x_0) = m_{rs}(x_0) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(\partial_0 + \partial_0^*)f_{AP}(x_0) + c_A a \partial_0^* \partial_0 f_{PP}(x_0)}{2f_{PP}(x_0)}$$

• Pseudoscalar (PS) meson mass derived from spectral decomposition for infinite T:

$$f_{\mathsf{PP}}(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \exp(-E_i x_0)$$
 with  $E_1 = m_{\mathsf{PS}}$ ,  $E_{i\geq 2}$ : excited states

is satisfied, where D[U] is the discretized lattice Dirac operator,  $m_0$  is the quark mass in lattice units,  $S^n(y)$  is the approximate solution at the n-th iteration of the solver procedure,  $\eta_t(x)$  is a stochastic noise source located on a single time-slice t and  $r_{gl}$  is the **global** numerical accuracy one likes to achieve.

- Problem: time-slices  $y_0$  far away from source at  $x_0$  exponentially suppressed by factor  $\propto \exp(-my_0)$
- Contributions to norm negligible for heavy quarks
- Solutions for large time extents  $|x_0 y_0|$  increasingly inaccurate
- Proposed improvement: implement Distance Preconditioning [1] via diagonal preconditioning matrix P:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & p_T \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad p_i = \exp\left(\alpha_0 \cdot |x_0 - y_{0_i}|\right)$$

• Instead of original system consider preconditioned system:

 $AS = \eta$  with  $A = (D[U] + m_0) \longrightarrow A'S' = \eta' \Leftrightarrow (PAP^{-1})(PS) = (P\eta)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  solve for PS and scale with  $P^{-1}$  to obtain original solution S

#### **Computational details & techniques**

• Chosen method for extraction of bare pseudoscalar decay constant:

 $f_{PS}^{bare} = 2\sqrt{2c_1}m_{rs}m_{PS}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$  and  $f_{PS} = f_{PS}^{bare} \times renormalization constant$ 

### Numerical tests of distance preconditioning



First numerical tests were performed on several Coordinated Lattice Simulations ensembles (https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CLS/WebHome) with tree level improved Lüscher-Weisz gauge action [2] & Sea of  $N_{\rm f} = 2 + 1$  (2 light mass degenerate + strange) non-perturbatively O(a) improved Wilson quarks on three representative ensembles:

id	H105r002	U101r001	H200r001
$T  imes L^3$	$96 \times 32^3$	$128 \times 24^3$	$96 \times 32^3$
eta	3.4	3.4	3.55
$a[{ m fm}]$	0.086	0.086	0.064
$\kappa_{ m l}$	0.136970	0.136970	0.137
$\kappa_{ m s}$	0.13634079	0.13634079	0.137
$m_{\pi}[{ m MeV}]$	280	280	420
$m_{K}[\mathrm{MeV}]$	460	460	420

- Simulations performed using openQCD code [3], with overall computational setup described in detail in [4]
- Two-point correlation functions of the **pseudoscalar** density  $P^{rs} = \overline{\psi}_r \gamma_5 \psi_s$  and the time component of the **axial** vector current  $A_0^{rs} = \overline{\psi}_r \gamma_0 \gamma_5 \psi_s$  are constructed from two mass non-degenerate valence quarks r and s as

$$\begin{split} f_{\rm PP}^{rs}(x_0) &= -a^3 \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle P^{rs}(x) P^{sr}(0) \rangle \ , \ f_{\rm AP}^{rs}(x_0) = -a^3 \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle A_0^{rs}(x) P^{sr}(0) \rangle \\ \bullet \mbox{ Using 16 } U(1) \ \mbox{noise sources } \eta_t(x) &= \delta_{t,x_0} \exp(i\phi(\vec{x})) \ \mbox{located on randomly chosen} \\ time slices t \ [5] \ \mbox{so that solving the Dirac equation once for each noise vector } \zeta_t^r &= Q^{-1}(m_{0,r})\eta_t \ = \ a^{-1}(D + m_{0,r})^{-1}\gamma_5\eta_t \ \mbox{suffices to estimate the two-point functions} \\ projected onto zero momentum \end{split}$$

Method also applicable for larger time-extents (10 configurations of U101, top left) and different choice of parameters (50 configurations of H200r001, top right).



 $a^{3} f_{\rm XP}^{rs}(x_{0}) = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\zeta_{t}^{r}(x_{0}+t,\vec{x})]^{\dagger} \Gamma \zeta_{t}^{s}(x_{0}+t,\vec{x}) \rangle \ , \ \Gamma = \mathbf{1}/\gamma_{0} \text{ for } {\rm X} = {\rm P}/{\rm A}$ 

Unmodified solver setup: locally deflated Schwarz preconditioned general conjugate residual solver (DFL\_SAP\_GCR) for light and strange quarks, conjugate gradient on the normal equations solver (CGNE) and DFL\_SAP\_GCR solver for heavy charm quarks
 Modified solver setup: DFL\_SAP\_GCR solver for l,s, distance preconditioned CGNE solver (CGNE\_DP) & distance preconditioned SAP\_GCR solver (SAP\_GCR\_DP) for h

#### References

[1] G.M. de Divitiis, R. Petronzio, N. Tantalo, Phys.Lett. B 692 (2010) 157-160, arXiv:1006.4028.

- [2] M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, Commun.Math.Phys. 97 (1985) 59, doi:10.1007/BF01206178.
- [3] M. Lüscher and S. Schaefer, JHEP 1107 (2011) 036, arXiv:1105.4749.

[4] M.Bruno et al., JHEP 1502 (2015) 043, arXiv:1411.3982.

[5] R. Sommer, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 42 (1995) 186, hep-lat/9411024; M. Foster and C. Michael, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 074503, hep-lat/9810021.

Considerable accuracy gain from unmodified solver setup (50 configurations of H105r002, top left) to modified setup for heavy-strange (with  $r_{gl} = 10^{-4}$ ,  $\alpha_0 = 0.7$ ,  $r_{loc} < 10^{-10}$ , top right). Sample run with 200 configurations of H105r002 shows increased accuracy for  $m_{eff}(x_0)$  in the PS channel for heavy-strange (bottom-left) and heavy-light with source at  $x_0 = 1$  (bottom-middle) and at  $x_0 = 30$  (bottom-right).



Outlook: increase of statistics and ensembles, streamlining of fitting procedure, smearing techniques to allow for an additional method for extraction of  $f_{D_{(s)}}$