A variational method for spectral functions

Daniel Robaina

Institute for Nuclear Physics

Johannes Gutenberg University, Mainz

In collaboration with Tim Harris and Harvey Meyer

July 26th 2016







Outline

- Usual GeVP: short review
- Backus-Gilbert method
- One variational example in frequency space: ↑-channel from NRQCD
- Conclusions

GeVP in configuration space

- What can one do in order to resolve better a dense spectrum of states??
- Well established technique to reliably extract energy-levels from Euclidean Correlators in Lattice QCD.
- of special importance for states living near a multi-particle production threshold.



Data from Mohler et. al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111** 222001 (2013) "D_{s0} (2317) Meson and D-Meson-Kaon scattering from Lattice QCD"

A short reminder ...

The central ideal consists in replacing the Euclidean correlation function by a matrix of correlators

$$G(au)
ightarrow G_{ij}(au) = \left\langle O_i(au) O_j^{\dagger}(0)
ight
angle$$

where $\{O_i(\tau)\}_{i=1,...,N}$ is a set of operators with common quantum numbers:

- one can choose different gamma structures, covariant derivatives, different smearings, multi particle operators, ... in order to construct the basis. The only restriction is that they have to couple to the same energy states.
- "the more linearly independent they are chosen at the beginning, the better the subspace will be spanned."

Where is the gain?

Using the spectral decomposition we can write

$$G_{ij}(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{ij}^{(n)} e^{-E_n \tau}, \qquad Z_{ij} = Z_i^{(n)} Z_j^{(n)*}, \qquad Z_i^{(n)} = \langle 0 | O_i(0) | n \rangle$$

- Notice that $G = G^{\dagger}$ by construction and has therefore N^2 real d.o.f.
- On the other hand, Z has 2N 1 real d.o.f. because it is the direct product of one complex vector and its h.c. (rank(Z) = 1, this will be important later on.)
- Therefore, a counting of accessible d.o.f. tells us that

$$#d.o.f.[G_{ij}(\tau)] = N^2 N_T, \qquad #d.o.f.\left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} Z_{ij}^{(n)} e^{-E_n \tau}\right] = (2N-1+1)N$$

as we increase the value of N we are constraining more the problem than if we considered a single operator. It is common to set N = N.

GeVP in coordinate space

Once the matrix $G_{ij}(\tau)$ is constructed, one solves the Generalized eigenvalue Problem

$$G_{ij}(\tau)v_j^{(n)}(\tau,\tau_0) = \lambda^{(n)}(\tau,\tau_0)G(\tau_0)_{ij}v_j^{(n)}(\tau,\tau_0), \qquad n = 1,...,N$$

with $G(\tau_0)$ acting like a metric in this subspace. Assuming non-degenerate eigenvalues, their form is shown to be

$$\lambda^{(n)}(\tau, \tau_0) = e^{-E_n \tau} + O(e^{-(E_{N+1}-E_n)\tau}), \qquad \text{if } \tau_0 \ge \frac{\tau}{2}$$

Blossier et. al [0902.1265]

and the energy-levels are extracted in the usual way

$$E_{eff,n}(au+a/2) = \log\left(rac{\lambda^{(n)}(au)}{\lambda^{(n)}(au+a)}
ight)$$

Spectral functions and their importance

- Spectral functions contain all information for a given a channel.
- Relevant at T ≠ 0, they encode real-time properties of the medium (diffusion of conserved charges, differential production rates, ...)
- Formally, it is defined as

$$\rho(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \ e^{i\omega t} \ \text{Tr}\left\{\hat{\rho}[O(t), O^{\dagger}(0)]\right\}, \qquad \hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta \hat{H}}$$

 and its relation to the euclidean correlator G(τ) is defined via an integral equation. For the case of symmetric correlators one has,

$${\cal G}(au) = \int_0^\infty d\omega
ho(\omega) {\cal K}(\omega, au), \qquad {\cal K}(\omega, au) = {\cosh(\omega(eta/2- au))\over \sinh(\omegaeta/2)} \stackrel{eta
ightarrow\infty}{
ightarrow} e^{-\omega au}$$

The numerical inversion of the last equation is numerically an ill-posed problem. Regularization needed!

Talk of Mr. Haitao SHU on 28/7 at 15:00, Stochastic approaches to extract spectral functions from Euclidean correlators

The Backus-Gilbert method: an (old) linear method

Recently used in: B. Brandt, A. Francis, H. Meyer, DR [1506.05732] A. Francis, O. Kaczmarek, M. Laine, T. Neuhaus, H. Ohno [1508.04543] B. Brandt, A. Francis, B. Jäger, H. Meyer [1512.07249]

$$G(au) = \int_0^\infty d\omega
ho(\omega) K(\omega, au)$$

Define an estimator $\hat{\rho}(\bar{\omega})$ which is a "filtered" version of the true spectral function (it is just a linear combination of the input):

$$\hat{\rho}(\bar{\omega}) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \hat{\delta}(\bar{\omega}, \omega) \rho(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_T} q_\alpha(\bar{\omega}) G(\tau_\alpha) \qquad \text{(linear method)}$$

The coefficients $q_{\alpha}(\bar{\omega})$ also define the resolution function:

$$\hat{\delta}(\bar{\omega},\omega) = \sum_{lpha=1}^{N_T} q_{lpha}(\bar{\omega}) \mathcal{K}(\omega,\tau_{lpha})$$

Variational method in frequency space

1 Construct from the matrix of euclidean correlators $G_{ij}(\tau)$, the matrix of spectral functions estimators $\hat{\rho}_{ij}(\bar{\omega})$ via the BG-method.

• ... we use the same common resolution function $\forall i, j$

 $(i, j \text{ label the operator basis. } \alpha, \beta \text{ label the time slices}).$

2 Solve the Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

$$\hat{\rho}_{ij}(\bar{\omega})v_j^{(n)}(\bar{\omega},\tau_0) = \lambda^{(n)}\underbrace{G_{ij}(\tau_0)}_{\text{metric}}v_j^{(n)}(\bar{\omega},\tau_0)$$

■ *G*(*τ*₀) serves to the purpose of having a reference value such that overall normalization factor of operators are irrelevant.

One example: the Υ - channel from NRQCD

- From perturbative results we know that these type of spectral functions go like $\sim \omega^{1/2}$ for $\omega \to \infty$. Burnier et. al [0711.1743].
- We therefore use a reweighting function to write

$$G(\tau) = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{\rho(\omega)}{\sqrt{\omega}}\right) \underbrace{\left(e^{-\omega\tau}\sqrt{\omega}\right)}_{K(\tau,\omega)}$$
$$\hat{\rho}(\bar{\omega}) = \int_0^\infty d\omega \hat{\delta}(\bar{\omega},\omega) \left(\frac{\rho(\omega)}{\sqrt{\omega}}\right)$$

Similar, to other methods that attempt to solve inverse-problems, the Backus-Gilbert method has a regulator ξ which trades off resolving power vs. error:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \hat{\rho}(\bar{\omega}) &= \sqrt{q_{\alpha}(\bar{\omega})S_{\alpha\beta}q_{\beta}(\bar{\omega})}, \qquad (\text{error on } \hat{\rho}(\bar{\omega})) \\ \Gamma(\bar{\omega}) &= q_{\alpha}(\bar{\omega})\underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} d\omega K(\tau_{\alpha},\omega)(\omega-\bar{\omega})^{2}K(\tau_{\beta},\omega)}_{W_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{\omega})} q_{\beta}(\bar{\omega}) \quad (\text{width of } \hat{\delta}(\bar{\omega},\omega)) \\ \hline W_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{\omega}) \xrightarrow{\text{reg. }} \xi W_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{\omega}) + (1-\xi)S_{\alpha\beta}, \qquad \text{tuning of } \xi \text{ necessary.} \end{split}$$

Preliminary results: Υ from NRQCD

Three different operators were considered: a point source (p), a gaussian smeared (x) and an "excited" (e) one.



Eigenvalues from the GeVP



- Hierarchy between eigenvalues indicates a rank = 1 structure for the Z matrix ...
 - ...it is the case if the width of the resolution function is smaller than the separation between states (enough resolving power).
 - ...also when the basis of operators is not linearly independent enough (say all operators couple very strongly to one and the same state)

A perturbative inspired mock-data study

Consider the Euclidean Lagrangian density with $\mathbb{Z}_2\text{-symmetry:} \phi\mapsto -\phi$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial K)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_K^2 K^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_\phi^2 \phi^2 + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{g}{2} K \phi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 + \frac{\lambda_k}{4!} K^4 + \frac{g_K}{3!} K^3 + \frac{h}{4} K^2 \phi^2$$

(a similar model was already used in L. Lellouch, M. Lüscher [hep-lat/0003023] in the context of finite volume $K \rightarrow \pi\pi$ transitions)

and consider the operators:

$$O^{(1)}(x_0) = \int d^3x \ e^{i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{K}(x_0, \mathbf{x}), \quad O^{(2)}(y_0) = \int d^3y \ d^3z \ e^{i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{z})} \phi(y_0, \mathbf{y}) \phi(y_0, \mathbf{z})$$

that create/annihilate a K-particle at time x₀ with momentum p and 2φ-particles at time y₀ with back-to-back momentum k.
The goal is to calculate the matrix of euclidean correlators

$$G_{ij}(x_0 - y_0) = \left\langle O^{(i)}(x_0) O^{(j)\dagger}(y_0) \right\rangle, \quad i, j = 1, 2$$

to some useful order in the couplings and feed it through the method to check our expectations.

The idea is ...

 $\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{(1)}(x_0,\mathbf{p})\mathcal{O}^{(1)}(y_0,\mathbf{k})\right\rangle = \frac{e^{-\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{(\Lambda)}|x_0-y_0|}}{2\omega}L^3\delta_{\mathbf{p},-\mathbf{k}} + \dots$ $\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{(1)}(x_0, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{O}^{(2)}(y_0, \mathbf{k}) \right\rangle = g \frac{L^3 \delta_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{0}}}{4\omega_k^K(\omega_k^\pi)^2 ((\omega_k^K)^2 - 4(\omega_k^\pi)^2)} \left(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^K e^{-2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^\pi |x_0 - y_0|} \right)$ $-2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi}e^{-\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{K}|x_{0}-y_{0}|}\right)+\dots$ $\left\langle \mathcal{O}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_{0},\mathbf{p})\mathcal{O}^{(2)}(\mathbf{y}_{0},\mathbf{k})\right\rangle =\frac{L^{6}}{4(\omega_{\mathbf{x}}^{\pi})^{2}}e^{-2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pi}|\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{y}_{0}|}(\delta_{\mathbf{p},-\mathbf{k}}+\delta_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{k}})$ $+g^{2}\frac{L^{3}}{4\omega_{\pi}^{2}\omega_{\pi}^{\pi}}\left(\frac{2e^{-m_{K}|x_{0}-y_{0}|}}{m_{K}(4(\omega_{\pi}^{\pi})^{2}-m_{L}^{2})(4(\omega_{\pi}^{\pi})^{2}-m_{L}^{2})}\right)$ + $\frac{e^{-2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi}|x_0-y_0|}}{4\omega_{\mathbf{k}}((\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi})^2 - (\omega_{\mathbf{n}}^{\pi})^2)(4(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi})^2 - m_{\nu}^2)}$ $+ \frac{e^{-2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pi}|\mathbf{x}_{0}-\mathbf{y}_{0}|}}{4\omega_{\mathbf{b}}((\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pi})^{2}-(\omega_{\mathbf{L}}^{\pi})^{2})(4(\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pi})^{2}-m_{K}^{2})}\right)$ + (t, u-channels) $+\lambda \frac{L^3}{4\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pi}\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi}} \left(\frac{e^{-2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pi}|x_0-y_0|}}{4\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pi}((\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi})^2 - (\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pi})^2)} - \frac{e^{-2\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi}|x_0-y_0|}}{4\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi}((\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pi})^2 - (\omega_{\mathbf{p}}^{\pi})^2)_{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{k}}}} \right)$

Conclusions

- Backus-Gilbert method proves to be useful compared to other reconstruction methods.
- GeVP analysis helps in the identification of states \rightarrow hierarchy in the eigenvalues (rank = 1).
- Possibility of defining optimal operators that strongly overlap to local regions in frequency.

$$O^{(\text{opt})}(\bar{\omega}, x) = v_i^{(n)}(\bar{\omega})O_i(x)$$

Can we use this method to identify and resolve resonances?

- Perturbative calculations may help checking our expectations. (tree-level results completed).
- Currently constructing a QCD dataset both at finite temperature as well as zero temperature with \sim 6 operators.