# |Vcb| from $\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+} \ell^- \bar{\nu}$ zero-recoil form factor using 2+1+1 flavour HISQ and NRQCD

## Outline of Talk

- Phenomenological Motivation
- Overview of lattice methodology
- Results
- Future work

### -Motivation

## The CKM Matrix

 $\mathcal{T}^{\mu,+} = \overline{n}_{\tau}^{i} \gamma^{\mu} V^{ij} d^{j}$ 

After EW symmetry breaking the standard model in the mass basis contains the flavour changing current

$$V_{cb} = (42.46 \pm 0.88) \times 10^{-3} \text{(inclusive)}$$

$$(39.45 \pm 1.42_{\text{exp}} \pm 0.88_{\text{th}}) \times 10^{-3} (B \to D)$$

$$(38.94 \pm 0.49_{\text{exp}} \pm 0.58_{\text{th}}) \times 10^{-3} (B \to D^*) \models$$

Exclusive results use lattice QCD. Here we focus on the decay to D\*.

$$\bar{B}^0 \to D^{*+} l^- \bar{\nu}$$



#### -Motivation

## The CKM Matrix

The determination using exclusive decays uses fits to experimental data for the differential decay rate

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{d\omega} \left(\bar{B} \to D^* \ell \bar{\nu}_\ell\right) = \frac{G_F^2 m_B^5}{48\pi^3} |V_{cb}|^2 (\omega^2 - 1)^{1/2} P(\omega)|\eta_{EW} \mathcal{F}(\omega)|^2$$

One output of such fits is the factor

$$\eta_{EW} \mathcal{F}(1) |V_{cb}|$$

The electroweak factor, accounting for box diagrams, can be calculated perturbatively with good precision. The remaining parameter is the zero recoil form factor.

### -Motivation

## The CKM Matrix

The axial and vector matrix elements we are interested in can be parametrised in terms of the four form factors

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V(p',\epsilon) | \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5} Q | P(p) \rangle &= \\ & A_{0}(q^{2}) 2 M_{V} \epsilon^{*} \cdot q / q^{2} q^{\mu} \\ & + A_{1}(q^{2}) (M_{P} + M_{V}) \Big[ \epsilon^{*\mu} - \epsilon^{*} \cdot q / q^{2} q^{\mu} \Big] \\ & - A_{2}(q^{2}) \frac{\epsilon^{*} \cdot q}{M_{B} + M_{V}} \Big[ p^{\mu} + p'^{\mu} - \frac{M_{B}^{2} - M_{V}^{2}}{q^{2}} q^{\mu} \Big] \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle V(p',\epsilon) | \bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} Q | P(p) \rangle = V_0(q^2) \varepsilon^{\mu\eta\rho\kappa} \epsilon_{\eta} p'_{\rho} p_{\kappa} / (M_P M_V)$$

In the zero recoil limit only the  $A_1$  term remains. It is only non-zero for spatial currents.

-Methodology Lattice Actions

Bottom quark - NRQCD

• Non-relativistic effective theory

DAMTP, University of Cambridge HPQCD

### -Methodology Lattice Actions

Bottom quark - NRQCD

- Non-relativistic effective theory
- Improved through  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s\Lambda/M_B)$

### -Methodology Lattice Actions

Bottom quark - NRQCD

- Non-relativistic effective theory
- Improved through  ${\cal O}(\alpha_s\Lambda/M_B)$
- Simple time evolution equation fast and simple to compute propagators

# Lattice Actions

Bottom quark - NRQCD

- Non-relativistic effective theory
- Improved through  ${\cal O}(\alpha_s\Lambda/M_B)$
- Simple time evolution equation fast and simple to compute propagators

Charm, up and down quarks - HISQ

• 2+1+1 flavours in the sea

# Lattice Actions

Bottom quark - NRQCD

- Non-relativistic effective theory
- Improved through  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s \Lambda/M_B)$
- Simple time evolution equation fast and simple to compute propagators

Charm, up and down quarks - HISQ

- 2+1+1 flavours in the sea
- Physical, relativistic charm
- Light quarks going down to physical masses

# Lattice Actions

Bottom quark - NRQCD

- Non-relativistic effective theory
- Improved through  ${\cal O}(\alpha_s\Lambda/M_B)$
- Simple time evolution equation fast and simple to compute propagators

Charm, up and down quarks - HISQ

- 2+1+1 flavours in the sea
- Physical, relativistic charm
- Light quarks going down to physical masses
- Improved through order  $a^2$  and  $(am)^4$  at leading order

# **Perturbative Matching**

Use of NRQCD means we must use several currents to match to the continuum to given order in  $\Lambda/m_b$ :

$$\langle c(p) | \mathcal{J}^i | b(q) \rangle_{\text{cont}} = \langle c(p) | C_n J_n^i | b(q) \rangle_{\text{Latt}} + \mathcal{O}(a^2, ...)$$

Here we use currents matched through  $O(\alpha_s, \Lambda_{QCD}/m_b, \alpha_s/(am_b), \alpha_s\Lambda_{QCD}/m_b)$  which requires the currents - Christopher Monahan, Junko Shigemitsu, Ron Horgan, 1211.6966

$$J_0^i(x) = \bar{c}\gamma^i\gamma^5 Q$$
  
$$J_1^i(x) = -\frac{1}{2am_b}\bar{c}\gamma^i\gamma^5\gamma\cdot\Delta Q$$

However, at zero recoil Luke's theorem states that the  $1/m_b$  corrections vanish. Hence we only need the leading order current and its matching coefficient – though we compute higher order currents as well.

### We compute the correlation functions

$$C_{B2pt}(t)_{ij} = \langle \mathcal{O}(t)_{Bi} \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(0)_{Bj} \rangle$$
  

$$C_{D^*2pt}^{\mu\nu}(t)_{ij} = \langle \mathcal{O}^{\mu}(t)_{D^*i} \mathcal{O}^{\dagger\nu}(0)_{D^*j} \rangle$$
  

$$C_{3pt}^{\mu\kappa}(T, t, 0)_{ij} = \langle \mathcal{O}^{\mu}(T)_{D^*i} \mathcal{J}^{\kappa}(t) \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}(0)_{Bj} \rangle$$

where each operator is projected onto zero spatial momentum, and i and j label different smearings.

$$\mathcal{O}(x)_{Bi} = \sum_{y} \bar{u}(x)\Delta_{i}(x-y)\gamma^{5}Q_{b}(y)$$
$$\mathcal{O}^{\mu}(x)_{D^{*}i} = \sum_{y} \bar{u}(x)\Delta_{i}(x-y)\gamma^{\mu}c(y+\mu)$$



DAMTP, University of Cambridge HPQCD

### J. Harrison

Use Bayesian fitting, minimise modified  $\chi^2$  including priors for parameters

$$\chi^2(p) = \sum_{t,t'} \Delta C(t,p) \sigma_{tt'}^{-2} \Delta C(t',p) + \sum_i (p^i - p^i_{\text{prior}})^2 / \sigma_{p^i_{\text{prior}}}^2$$

- Constrained Curve Fitting, G.P. Lepage et al. 0110175

Allows for a very general fit function. Parameters which are not strongly determined by the data default to their prior value.



DAMTP, University of Cambridge HPQCD

J. Harrison

# -Results

$L_x \times L_t$	$a(\mathrm{fm})$	$M_{\pi}(MeV)$	$\chi^2/dof$	${\boldsymbol{\zeta}} J_{ m latt}^0$ >	$\mathcal{F}(1)$	$m_{D^*}(MeV)$
$16 \times 48$	0.1474	302.4	0.93	0.926(14)	0.833(12)	2059.5(4.7)
$24 \times 48$	0.1463	215.5	1	0.960(24)	0.865(22)	2057.7(3.3)
$32 \times 48$	0.1450	133.0	1	0.922(17)	0.828(16)	2077.1(4.2)
$32 \times 64$	0.1195	216.5	1	0.912(11)	0.854(11)	2022.0(3.2)
$48 \times 64$	0.1189	132.7	0.98	0.9356(94)	0.8730(89)	2036.1(3.3)
$32 \times 96$	0.0884	306.1	0.94	0.869(11)	0.842(11)	2035.5(3.7)
$64 \times 96$	0.08787	128.4	1	0.908(12)	0.882(11)	2004.5(3.6)

## -Results Chiral Continuum Limit

### **Preliminary!**

Once we have determined the currents on each lattice, must extrapolate to the continuum. Simultaneously fit pion mass dependence using chiral P.T. result.

$$\mathcal{F}(1) = 1 + \delta_a^B B + \delta_a^C C \frac{\Delta_{m_c}^2}{64\pi^2 f^2} \times \left( \ln\left[ \left(\frac{M_\pi}{10m_s^{\text{phys}}}\right)^2 \right] + F\left[\frac{-\Delta_{m_c}}{M_\pi}\right] \right) + e_1 \alpha_s^2 J_{\text{latt}}^0 + e_2 \alpha_s J_{\text{latt}}^1 + e_4 \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_b^2}$$



-Results

$$V_{cb}$$
 and  $h_{A1}(1)$ 

Preliminary!		Uncertainty	Partial error (%) $V_{cb}$
	inclusive avg.	a	0.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This work	$M_\pi^{ m phys}$	0.00
		$M_{\pi}$	0.00
,	$\operatorname{HPQCD} B \to D$	$m_s^{ m val}$	0.00
		$m_c^{ m val}$	0.00
	Fermilab $B \to D^*$	$lpha_s J^{(1)}$	0.07
		Isospin effects	0.25
·	Fermilab $B \rightarrow D$	Priors	1.37
37 38 39 40 41 42 43		$(\Lambda_{QCD}/M_b)^2$	1.47
$V_{cb}$		$lpha_s^2 J^{(0)}$	4.71
$h_{A_1}(1) = 0.900(46)$	)(11)	Total systematic	5.13
		Statistical	1.23
$V_{-1} = 39.5(20)(5)(5)$	$\times 10^{-3}$	Experiment	1.27
$C_0 = 00.0(20)(0)(0)$		total	5.42
$h_{A_1}(1) = 0.900(46)$	$HPQCD B \rightarrow D$ Fermilab $B \rightarrow D^*$ Fermilab $B \rightarrow D$ $)(11)$ $\times 10^{-3}$	$\begin{array}{c} m_s^{\rm val} \\ m_c^{\rm val} \\ \alpha_s J^{(1)} \\ {\rm Isospin \ effects} \\ {\rm Priors} \\ (\Lambda_{QCD}/M_b)^2 \\ \alpha_s^2 J^{(0)} \\ \hline {\rm Total \ systematic} \\ \hline {\rm Statistical} \\ \hline {\rm Experiment} \\ \hline {\rm total} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.00\\ 0.07\\ 0.25\\ 1.37\\ 1.47\\ 4.71\\ 5.13\\ 1.23\\ 1.27\\ 5.42\end{array}$

### DAMTP, University of Cambridge HPQCD

# -Future work

- Recent confirmation of R(D\*) deviation of ~2 $\sigma$  by LHCb run 1 from standard model prediction

## -Future work Future work

- Recent confirmation of R(D\*) deviation of ~2 $\sigma$  by LHCb run 1 from standard model prediction
- Work currently being done to constrain leading  $\alpha_s^2$  error using heavy-HISQ extrapolation.

## -Future work Future work

- Recent confirmation of R(D\*) deviation of ~ $2\sigma$  by LHCb run 1 from standard model prediction
- Work currently being done to constrain leading  $\alpha_s^2$  error using heavy-HISQ extrapolation.
- Increase precision of V<sub>cb</sub> result

## -Future work Future work

- Recent confirmation of R(D\*) deviation of ~ $2\sigma$  by LHCb run 1 from standard model prediction
- Work currently being done to constrain leading  $\alpha_s^2$  error using heavy-HISQ extrapolation.
- Increase precision of V<sub>cb</sub> result
- $B_s \to D_s^*$

Thank you for listening

DAMTP, University of Cambridge HPQCD

## Backup slides

DAMTP, University of Cambridge HPQCD

# -Backup slides

Including oscillations in fits, the full form of the fit functions are

$$C_{B2pt}(t)_{ij} = \sum_{n,a=0,1}^{\infty} (-1)^{at} \frac{Z_{B_ai}^{n1/2} Z_{B_aj}^{n1/2}}{2M_{B_a}^n} e^{-M_{B_a}^n t}$$

$$C_{D^*2pt}^{\mu\nu}(t)_{ij} = \sum_{n,s,a=0,1}^{\infty} (-1)^{at} \frac{Z_{D_a^*i}^{n1/2} Z_{D_a^*j}^{n1/2}}{2M_{D_a^*}^n} \epsilon_s^{\mu} \epsilon_s^{\nu*} e^{-M_{D_a^*}^n t}$$

$$C_{3pt}^{\mu\kappa}(T,t,0)_{ij} = \sum_{ab=0,1}^{\infty} \sum_{nm,s} \frac{Z_{B_bj}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2M_{B_b}^n} \frac{Z_{D_a^*i}^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2M_{D_a^*}^n} \epsilon_s^{\mu} \epsilon_s^{\kappa*}$$

$$\times (M_{B_b}^n + M_{D_a^*}^m) A_{1ba}^{nm}(q^2) e^{-M_{D_a^*}^m (T-t) - M_{B_b}^n t} (-1)^{a(T-t) + bt}$$