An application of stochastic LapH method to Hadron interaction in lattice QCD



Daisuke KAWAI (Kyoto U.) For HAL QCD Collaboration

LATTICE 2016

2016/7/28 @Univ. of Southampton

Lattice QCD uncovered a lot of important properties of hadron from the first principle calculation.

Lattice QCD uncovered a lot of important properties of hadron from the first principle calculation.

HALQDC collaboration contributed in the field like

[N.Ishii, S.Aoki, T.Hatsuda, Phys.Lev.Let.99 (2007) 022001] [S.Aoki, T.Hatsuda, N. Ishii, Prog. Theor.Phys., 123 (2010)]

- Negative parity channel
- Heavy quark hadron (T. Miyamoto's talk)
- Potential at the physical point (T.Doi, N.Ishii and, K.Sasaki and H.Nemura's talk : tomorrow 14:00~ here)
- etc.

Lattice QCD uncovered a lot of important properties of hadron from the first principle calculation.

HALQDC collaboration contributed in the field like

[N.Ishii, S.Aoki, T.Hatsuda, Phys.Lev.Let.99 (2007) 022001] [S.Aoki, T.Hatsuda, N. Ishii, Prog. Theor.Phys., 123 (2010)]

- Negative parity channel
- Heavy quark hadron (T. Miyamoto's talk)
- Potential at the physical point (T.Doi, N.Ishii and, K.Sasaki and H.Nemura's talk : tomorrow 14:00~ here)
- etc.

However, all of them are computed with point-to-all propagator. (:: Number of inversions)

→ Some important channels are yet to be done.

Lattice QCD uncovered a lot of important properties of hadron from the first principle calculation.

HALQDC collaboration contributed in the field like

[N.Ishii, S.Aoki, T.Hatsuda, Phys.Lev.Let.99 (2007) 022001] [S.Aoki, T.Hatsuda, N. Ishii, Prog. Theor.Phys., 123 (2010)]

- Negative parity channel
- Heavy quark hadron (T. Miyamoto's talk)
- Potential at the physical point (T.Doi, N.Ishii and, K.Sasaki and H.Nemura's talk : tomorrow 14:00~ here)
- etc.

However, all of them are computed with point-to-all propagator. (:: Number of inversions)

→ Some important channels are yet to be done.

We incorporate stochastic LapH method and use all-to-all propagator in order to overcome this difficulty .

[C. Mozrningstar, J. Bulava, J. Foley, K.J. Juge, D. Lenkner, M. Peardon, and C.H. Wong] PRD 83 114505, 2011

Strong points.

[C. Mozrningstar, J. Bulava, J. Foley, K.J. Juge, D. Lenkner, M. Peardon, and C.H. Wong] PRD 83 114505, 2011

Strong points.

• Thanks to Laplacian Heaviside smearing (LapH smearing), ground level saturation will occur at relatively early time.

[C. Mozrningstar, J. Bulava, J. Foley, K.J. Juge, D. Lenkner, M. Peardon, and C.H. Wong] PRD 83 114505, 2011

Strong points.

- Thanks to Laplacian Heaviside smearing (LapH smearing), ground level saturation will occur at relatively early time.
- The use of **noise** enables the calculation of **all-to-all propagator.**

[C. Mozrningstar, J. Bulava, J. Foley, K.J. Juge, D. Lenkner, M. Peardon, and C.H. Wong] PRD 83 114505, 2011

Strong points.

- Thanks to Laplacian Heaviside smearing (LapH smearing), ground level saturation will occur at relatively early time.
- The use of noise enables the calculation of **all-to-all propagator.**
- Dilution technique makes large variance from noise smaller.

[C. Mozrningstar, J. Bulava, J. Foley, K.J. Juge, D. Lenkner, M. Peardon, and C.H. Wong] PRD 83 114505, 2011

Strong points.

- Thanks to Laplacian Heaviside smearing (LapH smearing), ground level saturation will occur at relatively early time.
- The use of **noise** enables the calculation of **all-to-all propagator.**
- Dilution technique makes large variance from noise smaller.

Weak point

[C. Mozrningstar, J. Bulava, J. Foley, K.J. Juge, D. Lenkner, M. Peardon, and C.H. Wong] PRD 83 114505, 2011

Strong points.

- Thanks to Laplacian Heaviside smearing (LapH smearing), ground level saturation will occur at relatively early time.
- The use of noise enables the calculation of **all-to-all propagator.**
- Dilution technique makes large variance from noise smaller.

Weak point

• Relatively large cost

Laplacian Heaviside smearing (LapH smearing)

[C. Morningstar, J. Bulava, J. Foley, K.J. Juge, D. Lenkner, M. Peardon, and C.H. Wong] PRD 83 114505, 2011

In this smearing, quark field is smeared by the operator $\, \mathcal{S} \,$.

Smeared quark field $\widetilde{\psi}^{a\alpha}(x) = S^{ab}(x,y)\psi^{b\alpha}(y)$ (a,b : color index, α : spin index)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{S} &= \Theta\left(\sigma_s^2 + \widetilde{\Delta}\right) & (\sigma_s^2 > 0 \ : \text{control parameter }) \\ \widetilde{\Delta}^{ab}(x, y; U) &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \left\{ \widetilde{U}_k^{ab}(x) \delta(y, x + \hat{k}) + \widetilde{U}_k^{ba}(x)^* \delta(y, x - \hat{k}) - 2\delta(x, y) \delta^{ab} \right\} \end{split}$$

Remark : Eigenvalue of $\ \widetilde{\Delta}^{ab}(x,y;U)\sim -k^2<0$ (\widetilde{U} : stout-smeared gauge field)

Components whose $\widetilde{\Delta}$'s eigenvalue is lower than - σ_s^2 are cut off.

→ The contribution from excited level and inelastic region are expected to be much suppressed.

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} V_{t=0} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & V_{t=1} & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & V_{t=T-1} \end{pmatrix}^{\dagger} \begin{pmatrix} V_{t=0} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & V_{t=1} & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & V_{t=T-1} \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow$$
We use V_t in actual calculation (V_t : bases of LapH space)
t-diagonal

Correlator with Stochastic LapH

In stochastic LapH scheme, hadron correlators are constructed by diluted noise source and sink field.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Source:} & \rho_{a,\alpha,x,t}^{h} = V_{t}(a,x;n)P_{ts,\alpha\beta,nm}^{[h]}\eta(s,\beta,m) & & \eta(s,\beta,m) \colon \mathsf{Z}_{4} \text{ noise vector} \\ \\ \text{Sink} & : & \varphi_{f,a,\alpha,x,t}^{h} = (V_{t}V_{t}^{\dagger})(a,x;b,y)D_{f}^{-1}(b,\alpha,y,t;c,\beta,z,s)\rho_{c,\beta,z,s}^{h} & & P_{ts,\alpha\beta,nm}^{[h]} \colon \text{Dilution projector} \\ & & V_{t}(a,x;n) \colon \text{LapH vector} \end{array}$$

The correlator of charged pion and ρ meson are

$$C_{\pi}(t,t_{0}) = \sum_{x,y} \left\langle \pi_{s}^{+}(x,t)\pi_{s}^{-}(y,t_{0}) \right\rangle = \sum_{x,y} \sum_{h_{1},h_{2}} \left\langle \rho^{h_{1}\dagger}\gamma^{5}\varphi^{h_{2}}(x,t)\rho^{h_{2}\dagger}\gamma^{5}\varphi^{h_{1}}(y,t_{0}) \right\rangle_{\eta}$$
$$C_{\rho_{k}}(t,t_{0}) = \sum_{x,y} \left\langle \rho_{s}^{+}(x,t)\rho_{s}^{-}(y,t_{0}) \right\rangle = \sum_{x,y} \sum_{h_{1},h_{2}} \left\langle \rho^{h_{1}\dagger}\gamma^{k}\varphi^{h_{2}}(x,t)\rho^{h_{2}\dagger}\gamma^{k}\varphi^{h_{1}}(y,t_{0}) \right\rangle_{\eta}$$

All-to-all propagator can be calculated within a reasonable cost.

Dilution technique

Noise vector $\eta(t, \alpha, n)$ in the propagator will make variance large.

Dilution technique conpensates this weak point

Ex.) Full dilution in temporal direction $P_{t,s}^{t'} = \delta_{t,s} \delta_{t,t'}$

$$P_{t,s}^{t'=1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \qquad P_{t,s}^{t'=1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad , \qquad P_{t,s}^{t'=T-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case, contribution except for propagation from t_0 to t in 2-pt correlation

$$C_{\pi}(t,t_0) = \sum_{x,y} \left\langle \pi^+(x,t)\pi^-(y,t_0) \right\rangle = \sum_{x,y} \sum_{h_1,h_2} \left\langle \rho^{h_1\dagger}\gamma^5\varphi^{h_2}(x,t)\rho^{h_2\dagger}\gamma^5\varphi^{h_1}(y,t_0) \right\rangle_{\eta}$$

is **exactly** zero.

2pt correlation calculated with Stochastic LapH

[Code on the Stochastic LapH method is provided by C. Moringstar.]

The π and ρ meson 2pt correlation function draw clear exponential dump even with 22 conf.

- Numerical setup
 - \cdot 2 + 1 flavor gauge configuration
 - by CP-PACS & JLQCD
 - [T. Ishikawa et.al (CP-PACS collab.) PRD 78 : 011502.2008]
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ a = 0.1214 fm , 16 $^3\times$ 32 lattice
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ lwasaki gauge action and Clover fermion
 - Full dilution is used for temporal direction.
 - No dilution is used for Spin and LapH space.
 - \cdot Number of Noise for each conf. = 32



Effective mass of π and ρ

t = 2~3 is enough for inelastic
region and higher component to
decouple. : LapH smearing

Fitting results

 $M_{\pi} = 886.21 \mathrm{MeV} \pm 5.00 \mathrm{MeV}$ $M_{\rho} = 1279.8 \mathrm{MeV} \pm 14.0 \mathrm{MeV}$

close to Wall src. result

 $M_{\pi}=871.84 {
m MeV}\pm 2.07 {
m MeV}$ and published result

 $M_{\pi}/M_{\rho} = 0.7076(18)$



What can we do with all-to-all propagator ?

Implementation of all-to-all propagator



We get the access to the annihilation diagrams.

What can we do with all-to-all propagator ?

Implementation of all-to-all propagator



We get the access to the annihilation diagrams.



What can we do with all-to-all propagator ?

Implementation of all-to-all propagator



We get the access to the annihilation diagrams.



Application to $\cdot \rho$ re

 ρ resonance

• $f_0(500) / \sigma$ meson resonance etc.

$\pi \pi$ (I = 2) chancel (Fisrt test, without annihilation)

Numerical setup

- 2 + 1 flavor gauge configuration by CP-PACS & JLQCD
- a = 0.1214 fm , $16^3 \times 32$ lattice
- + 52conf \times 32 time slice \times 2 src
- Periodic Boundary Condition is used
- Cray XC40 in YITP is used.

We computed $\pi \pi$ scattering with the following source setup.

$$MM(\mathbf{r}, t_f, \mathbf{r_0}, t_i) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}} \langle \pi_s^{+}(\mathbf{x}, t_f) \pi_s^{+}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}, t_f) \pi_s^{-}(\mathbf{y}, t_0) \pi_s^{-}(\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{r_0}, t_0) \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{0}} = \{\pm 3\mathbf{e}_x, \pm 3\mathbf{e}_y, \pm 3\mathbf{e}_z\}$$





Time dependent HAL method [Ishii et al., PLB712(2012)437]

We define R-correlator

$$R(\mathbf{r}, t - t_0) = e^{2m(t - t_0)} \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \left\langle 0 | T \left\{ B(\mathbf{x}, t) B(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{r}, t) \right\} \bar{\mathcal{J}}(t_0) | 0 \right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_n A_n \psi_{k_n}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-(E_n - 2m)(t - t_0)}$$

It satisfies time-dependent Schrödinger-like equation

$$\left[\frac{1}{4m}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - H_0\right]R(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int dr'^3 U(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')R(\mathbf{r}', t)$$

From velocity expansion, the leading-order potential is given by

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4m} \frac{(\partial/\partial t)^2 R(\mathbf{r}, t)}{R(\mathbf{r}, t)} - \frac{(\partial/\partial t) R(\mathbf{r}, t)}{R(\mathbf{r}, t)} - \frac{H_0 R(\mathbf{r}, t)}{R(\mathbf{r}, t)}$$

HALQCD result on the potential of $\pi \pi$

The potential in this channel is calculated with wall source.

Numerical setup

- 2 + 1 flavor gauge configuration by CP-PACS & JLQCD
- a = 0.1214 fm, $16^3 \times 32 \text{ lattice}$
- 700 conf.

This result claims

 $\pi \pi$ (I=2) ${}^{1}S_{0}$ channel is repulsive.



R-correlator with Stochastic LapH method First Test

Strong correlation appears in short distance at early time.

But the peak disappear and correlation at long distance grows as time goes.

Consistent with the previous work that says this channel is repulsive.

Dilution scheme (first trial)

- Time : Full dilution
- Spin : No dilution
- LapH : No dilution

In total, 32 dilution indices (: 32 sitea in the temporal direction)

of noise for each config. = 2



Spatial average of 4-pt correlation function

We took spatial sum of π 4-pt correlation.

$$MM_0(\Delta t) = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} MM(\mathbf{r}, t_f, \mathbf{r}_0, t_i)$$
$$(\Delta t \equiv t_f - t_i)$$

 $MM_0(\Delta t)$ shows clear exponential dump and correct periodicity



Effective mass plot of R-correlator eliminary 150 Effective mass 100 $\Delta E(\Delta t) \equiv \log\left(\frac{\sum_{\mathbf{r}} R(\mathbf{r}, \Delta t)}{\sum_{\mathbf{r}} R(\mathbf{r}, \Delta t+1)}\right)$ 50 still has large statistical error around 0 MeV. 0 MeV -50 Lüscher's method cannot tell us this channel is repulsive or attractive. -100 it needs more statistics. -150 -200 10 12 0 2 з 5 6 7 8 g 11

Potential with HALQCD method

We calculate potential from the R-correlator.

Short range behavior doesn't converge yet in the time evolution.

The precision of R-correlator might not be satisfactory.



The correct potential will be given as long as inelastic region's effect is negligible .

However, unfortunately potential doesn't converge in the time evolution.

 \Rightarrow We have to apply dilution in spin and LapH space to reduce statistical error in R-correlator. $_{16}$

Summary & Discussion

Summary

• Stochastic LapH method achieves ground state dominance at early time.

Time-dependent HALQCD method will become applicable for longer temporal range.

• In the calculation of 4pt correlation, large dilution might be necessary.

Trade-off with calculation time

Efficient code is necessary.



We are writing computation time ~ (dilution index)³ code

Summary & Discussion

Summary

• Stochastic LapH method achieves ground state dominance at early time.

Time-dependent HALQCD method will become applicable for longer temporal range.

• In the calculation of 4pt correlation, large dilution might be necessary.

| Trade-off with calculation time |
|---------------------------------|
| Efficient code is necessary. |

> V

We are writing computation time \sim (dilution index)³ code

The combination of HALQCD method and Stochastic LapH method will be a strong probe for the channels which include annihilation diagram.