### <span id="page-0-0"></span>Engineering F-Theory GUTs Young Theorists' Forum 2016

Johar M. Ashfaque

University of Liverpool



### **Motivation**

- How can we hope to say anything about particle physics given the complexity of string vacua?
- Apparent unification of couplings in MSSM at  $M_{GUT} \sim 10^{16}$  GeV



#### $\rightarrow$  Msusy  $\ll$  Mcut  $\ll$  Mplanck

つくい

 $\Rightarrow$  particle physics captured by SUSY gauge theory that isn't sensitive to details of quantum gravity

- Decoupling the dynamics gauge theory from gravity provides an attractive way to constrain the problem of the complexity of the string vacua.
- It is important to note that the existence of a GUT is in principle compatible with the existence of a decoupling limit.

#### The Idea

If we were to compactify type II-B theory on some manifold  $\beta$  then this could be expressed in terms of F-theory compactified on a larger manifold  $\mathcal A$  with specific properties that  $\mathcal A$  has the structure of a fibre bundle and is an elliptic fibration of the manifold  $\beta$ .

$$
\pi: CY_{n+1}\to B_n
$$

More precisely



Type IIB has an exact strong-weak coupling duality, taking

$$
g_s \rightarrow \frac{1}{g_s}
$$

which in terms of the axio-dilaton

$$
\tau = \mathit{C}_0 + i e^{-\phi}
$$

is

$$
\tau \rightarrow -\frac{1}{\tau}.
$$

The low energy effective action of Type IIB has a  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ symmetry.

### The M-Theory Duality

One of the most convenient ways to think about F-theory is via the duality with M-theory.



Inhomogeneous ( $z = 1$ ) Weierstrass form for elliptic K3

$$
P_W = y^2 - x^3 - fx - g = 0
$$

where x, y, and z are parameters of the fibration and f,  $g \in \mathbb{C}$ specify the shape of the elliptic curve.

A general mathematical fact is that a hypersurface described by the equation  $P_W = 0$  becomes singular whenever

$$
P_W = 0, \qquad \qquad \mathrm{d}P_W = 0.
$$

The structure of the roots of this cubic polynomial is encoded in the discriminant ∆.

### $\Delta(\tau)$ : The Discriminant

$$
\Delta(\tau)\equiv (2\pi)^{12}\eta(\tau)^{24}
$$

where

$$
\eta(\tau) \equiv e^{\frac{i\pi\tau}{12}} \prod_{n>1} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i n\tau}\right).
$$

 $\Delta(\tau)$  is known as the cusp form of weight 12

$$
\Delta\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right)=(c\tau+d)^{12}\Delta(\tau)
$$

Note. The presence of 24 can be connected to the Leech lattice, which has 24 dimensions.

$$
\Delta = 27g^2 + 4f^3
$$

where f and  $g$  are homogeneous polynomials. The derivative with respect to y only vanishes at  $y = 0$ . So, we are only interested in values of  $x$  which satisfy both

$$
x^{3} + fx + g = 0, \t 3x^{2} + f = 0
$$
  

$$
\Rightarrow 4f^{3} + 27g^{2} = \Delta = 0
$$

We can now engineer GUT models in two steps:

- We have to identify the GUT divisor S given by the equation  $z = 0$  in B within  $CY_4$ .
- We need to impose the GUT group. This amounts to explicitly imposing the factorization conditions on the Tate model meaning that we have to remove all those monomials which do not satisfy the factorization constraints.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure: Excerpt from the classification of ADE singularities due to Kodaira.

The general inhomogeneous ( $z = 1$ ) Tate form

$$
y^2 = x^3 - a_1xy + a_2x^2 - a_3y + a_4x + a_6
$$

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>Recovering The Weierstrass Form

Define

$$
\beta_2 = a_1^2 + 4a_2
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta_4 = a_1a_3 + 2a_4
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta_6 = a_3^2 + 4a_6
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta_8 = \frac{\beta_2\beta_6 - \beta_4^2}{4} = \beta_2a_6 - a_1a_3a_4 + a_2a_3^2 - a_4^2
$$

where

$$
f = -\frac{1}{48}(\beta_2^2 - 24\beta_4)
$$
  
\n
$$
g = -\frac{1}{864}(-\beta_2^3 + 36\beta_2\beta_4 - 216\beta_6)
$$

such that

$$
\Delta=-\frac{1}{4}\beta_2^2(\beta_2\beta_6-\beta_4^2)-8\beta_4^3-27\beta_6^2+9\beta_2\beta_4\beta_6
$$
\nJohn M. Ashfaque

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Each lower-dimensional subspace provides an important model building element



 $2Q$ 

# The  $SU(5)$  GUT: Pictorially



The six extra dimensions are compactified on  $B_3$  whereas the  $SU(5)$  degrees of freedom are localized on the submanifold  $S<sub>GUT</sub>$ . The gauge bosons live on the bulk of  $S<sub>GUT</sub>$  but the chiral multiplets are localized on complex matter curves. At the intersection of two matter curves with a Higgs curve a Yukawa coupling develops.

### The  $SU(5)$  Example

General inhomogeneous Tate form

$$
y^2 = x^3 - a_1xy + a_2x^2 - a_3y + a_4x + a_6
$$

where along the divisor

$$
S: z=0
$$

$$
a_1 = -b_5
$$
  
\n
$$
a_2 = b_4 z
$$
  
\n
$$
a_3 = -b_3 z^2
$$
  
\n
$$
a_4 = b_2 z^3
$$
  
\n
$$
a_6 = b_1 z^5
$$

$$
\Rightarrow y^2 = x^3 + b_5xy + b_4x^2z + b_3yz^2 + b_2xz^3 + b_1z^5
$$

### The SU(5) Example Contd.

The vanishing order of  $a_i = b_i z^{n_i}$  characterizes the type of singularity.

$$
a_1 \Rightarrow 0
$$
  
\n
$$
a_2 \Rightarrow 1
$$
  
\n
$$
a_3 \Rightarrow n=2
$$
  
\n
$$
a_4 \Rightarrow n+1=3 \Rightarrow n=2
$$
  
\n
$$
a_6 \Rightarrow 2n+1=5 \Rightarrow n=2
$$

$$
\Delta = -z^5 \big[ P_{10}^4 P_5 + z P_{10}^2 (8b_4 P_5 + P_{10} R) + \mathcal{O}(z^2) \big]
$$

$$
P_{10} = b_5
$$
  
\n
$$
P_5 = b_3^2 b_4 - b_2 b_3 b_5 + b_1 b_5^2
$$
  
\n
$$
R = 4b_1 b_4 b_5 - b_3^3 - b_2^2 b_5
$$

 $299$ 

君

すロチ オ団 ト すき ト すき ト

# $\bullet$  SU(5):  $P_{10}: b_5 = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta = -z^7(16b_3^2b_4^2) \Rightarrow \deg(\Delta) = 7$  $P_5: b_3^2b_4-b_2b_3b_5+b_1b_5^2=0 \Rightarrow \Delta=-z^6(b_5^3R) \Rightarrow \deg(\Delta)=6$

▶ (御) (唐) (唐) 。

#### Viewing The Enhancements

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \\
\alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_4 \\
\hline\nA_4\n\end{array}\n\qquad \Rightarrow\n\begin{array}{c}\n0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 \\
\alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_3 \quad \alpha_4 \quad \alpha_5 \\
\hline\nA_5\n\end{array}
$$

Or



活

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 결 ▶ K 결 ▶ ...

$$
\Delta = -z^5 \left[ P_{10}^4 P_5 + z P_{10}^2 (8b_4 P_5 + P_{10} R) + z^2 (16b_3^2 b_4^2 + P_{10} Q) + \mathcal{O}(z^3) \right]
$$

$$
P_{10} = b_5
$$
  
\n
$$
P_5 = b_3^2 b_4 - b_2 b_3 b_5 + b_1 b_5^2
$$
  
\n
$$
R = 4b_1 b_4 b_5 - b_3^3 - b_2^2 b_5
$$

ŧ

医头面  $\rightarrow$ 

**≮ロト ⊀ 伊 ▶ ⊀ 毛** 

$$
P_{10} = b_5 = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta = -z^7 (16b_3^2 b_4^2) \Rightarrow b_3 = 0 \text{ or } b_4 = 0
$$
  
Either  

$$
b_5 = 0 = b_3
$$

or

$$
b_5=0=b_4
$$

Note. No contribution from

$$
P=0\ \cap\ b_5=0 \Rightarrow \Delta=0
$$

4 0 3 4

Þ.

 $2Q$ 

### Viewing The Enhancements



 $2Q$ 

适

イロト イ母 トイヨ トイヨ トー



 $2Q$ 

∍

准

 $\leftarrow$  $\blacktriangleright$  $\mathcal{A}$ Þ. к **B** 

# The SO(10) Example

General inhomogeneous Tate form

$$
y^2 = x^3 - a_1xy + a_2x^2 - a_3y + a_4x + a_6
$$

where along the divisor

$$
S: z=0
$$

$$
a_1 = -b_5z
$$
  
\n
$$
a_2 = b_4z
$$
  
\n
$$
a_3 = -b_3z^2
$$
  
\n
$$
a_4 = b_2z^3
$$
  
\n
$$
a_6 = b_1z^5
$$

$$
\Rightarrow y^2 = x^3 + b_5xyz + b_4x^2z + b_3yz^2 + b_2xz^3 + b_0z^5
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow y^2 = x^3 + b_5xyz + b_4x^2z + b_3yz^2 + b_2xz^3 + b_0z^5
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow y^2 = x^3 + b_5xyz + b_4x^2z + b_3yz^2 + b_2xz^3 + b_0z^5
$$

The vanishing order of  $a_i = b_i z^{n_i}$  characterizes the type of singularity.

$$
a_1 \Rightarrow 1
$$
  
\n
$$
a_2 \Rightarrow 1
$$
  
\n
$$
a_3 \Rightarrow n=2
$$
  
\n
$$
a_4 \Rightarrow n+1=3 \Rightarrow n=2
$$
  
\n
$$
a_6 \Rightarrow 2n+1=5 \Rightarrow n=2
$$

 $2Q$ 

$$
\Delta = -16b_2^3b_3^2z^7 + (-27b_3^4 - 8b_1^2b_2^2b_3^2 + 72b_2b_4b_3^2
$$
  
+4b<sub>1</sub>b<sub>2</sub>(9b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + 4b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>4</sub>)b<sub>3</sub> + 16b<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>(b<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> - 4b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>6</sub>))z<sup>8</sup>  
+ O(z<sup>9</sup>)  
= z<sup>7</sup>[ -16b<sub>2</sub><sup>3</sup>b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + (-27b<sub>3</sub><sup>4</sup> - 8b<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>b<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + 72b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>4</sub>b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>  
+ 4b<sub>1</sub>b<sub>2</sub>(9b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + 4b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>4</sub>)b<sub>3</sub> + 16b<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>(b<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> - 4b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>6</sub>))z  
+ O(z<sup>2</sup>)]

君

すロチ オ団 ト すき ト すき ト

• 
$$
SO(10)
$$
:  
\n $P_{16}: b_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta = -27b_3^4 z^8$   
\n $P_{10}: b_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta = 16b_2^2(b_4^2 - 4b_2b_6)z^8$ 

ŧ

すロチ オ団 ト すき ト すき ト

### Viewing The Enhancements



Or



 $2Q$ 

活

メロトメ 伊 トメ き トメ き トー

$$
\Delta = -16b_2^3b_3^2z^7 + (-27b_3^4 - 8b_1^2b_2^2b_3^2 + 72b_2b_4b_3^2
$$
  
+4b<sub>1</sub>b<sub>2</sub>(9b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + 4b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>4</sub>)b<sub>3</sub> + 16b<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>(b<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> - 4b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>6</sub>))z<sup>8</sup>  
+ O(z<sup>9</sup>)  
= z<sup>7</sup>[ -16b<sub>2</sub><sup>3</sup>b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + (-27b<sub>3</sub><sup>4</sup> - 8b<sub>1</sub><sup>2</sup>b<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + 72b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>4</sub>b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup>  
+ 4b<sub>1</sub>b<sub>2</sub>(9b<sub>3</sub><sup>2</sup> + 4b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>4</sub>)b<sub>3</sub> + 16b<sub>2</sub><sup>2</sup>(b<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup> - 4b<sub>2</sub>b<sub>6</sub>))z  
+ O(z<sup>2</sup>)]

ŧ

医头面  $\rightarrow$ 

**≮ロト ⊀ 伊 ▶ ⊀ 毛** 

\n- Either 
$$
b_2 = 0
$$
  $\cap$   $b_3 = 0$
\n- $\Rightarrow \Delta = 0$
\n- Or\n  $b_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta = 16b_2^2(b_4^2 - 4b_2b_6)z^8 \Rightarrow b_4^2 - 4b_2b_6 = 0$
\n

 $299$ 

隱

∢ ロ ▶ 《 御 ▶ 《 君 ▶ 《 君 ▶ 》



活

∢ロト ∢伊 ▶ ∢ 君 ▶ ∢ 君 ▶



 $\leftarrow$ Þ.  $\mathcal{A}$  $\rightarrow$ к ∍ **B**   $2Q$ 

∍

• Adjoint matter - undesirable phenomenology  $\times$ No setup incorporating dynamical GUT breaking

- Adjoint matter undesirable phenomenology X No setup incorporating dynamical GUT breaking
- Discrete Wilson lines on 7-brane world-volume. But discrete symmetries have fixed points leading to singularities on  $7$ -brane world-volume  $\times$
- Adjoint matter undesirable phenomenology X No setup incorporating dynamical GUT breaking
- Discrete Wilson lines on 7-brane world-volume. But discrete symmetries have fixed points leading to singularities on  $7$ -brane world-volume  $\times$
- GUT breaking by  $U(1)$  fluxes

The preferred GUT breaking method is turning on a non-trivial gauge flux which will break the gauge group.

The use of non-trivial flux as the preferred GUT breaking method is something specific to F-theory.

The hypercharge flux is an important ingredient which provides an elegant mechanism for breaking the GUT group.

Consider the  $SU(5)$  GUT.

• The flux leaves the  $SU(5)$  symmetry unbroken.

⊣□

Consider the SU(5) GUT.

- The flux leaves the  $SU(5)$  symmetry unbroken.
- To break the GUT symmetry by switching on flux along the GUT surface. In order to break  $SU(5)$  down to the SM gauge group, this flux is chosen to be proportional to the  $U(1)_Y$ within the  $SU(5)$ .

つへい



•  $SO(10)_{GUT} \rightarrow SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)$ 

 $\leftarrow$ Þ  $\mathcal{A}$  9 K.B

 $\rightarrow$ 

 $2Q$ 

- Unification suggests particle physics embedded in non-perturbative regime of type II-B
- Type II-B has non-perturbative  $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  symmetry rotating the axio-dilaton

$$
\tau = \mathcal{C}_0 + i e^{-\phi}
$$

 $\Rightarrow$  interpret as complex structure of a two-torus.

- Structure of 8D gauge theory (F-theory models) is very rigid
- F-theory on torus fibred:
	- $\Rightarrow$  gauge groups from 8D
	- $\Rightarrow$  charged matter from 6D
	- $\Rightarrow$  Yukawa-type couplings from 4D

#### <span id="page-41-0"></span>THANK YOU!

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 君 ▶ K 君 ▶

活

 $2Q$