Progress in thimble regularization: a new algorithm, 0+1 QCD and beyond



Francesco Di Renzo and G. Eruzzi

University of Parma and INFN

xQCD 2016

Plymouth, 02-08-2016

#### Thimble regularization

(E. Witten, arXiv:1001.2933)

(M. Cristoforetti, F. Di Renzo, L. Scorzato - Phys.Rev.D88 2013 and Y. Kikukawa et al JHEP 1310)

has still a long way to go. In particular, Monte Carlo simulations on thimbles are a non-trivial task. Also, gauge theories are tricky (but they are in the end a final goal we do not want to really live without).

In the context of the chiral random matrix model we introduced an approach to computations on thimbles which takes into account the contributions from complete flow lines: can it be turned into a Monte Carlo? Is it any useful for SU(N)?

In the context of the Bose gas, what we call the gaussian approximation worked pretty well (maybe even better than one could hope/expect): what about its applicability to gauge theories?

#### Agenda

- Complete flow lines as basic degrees of freedom and a Monte Carlo algorithm for such an approach.

- Basic formalism for SU(N)
- Some (basic...) steps into gauge theories: QCD in 0+1 dimensions
- Basics on the real thing: thimbles and the gauge structure of SU(N)
- Gaussian approximation for SU(N) (N=2 in d=2, actually)

... it can be a good idea to compute observables in thimble regularization

Thimbles are manifolds which live in the complexification of the original manifold your theory is defined on. They are the union of the Steepest Ascent paths attached to critical points, on which the imaginary part of the action stays constant. Their real dimension is just the same as that of the original manifold.

... it can be a good idea to compute observables in thimble regularization

Thimbles are manifolds which live in the complexification of the original manifold your theory is defined on. They are the union of the Steepest Ascent paths attached to critical points, on which the imaginary part of the action stays constant. Their real dimension is just the same as that of the original manifold.



A picture to keep in mind ...

... it can be a good idea to compute observables in thimble regularization

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-iS_{I}(z_{\sigma})} \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}} \mathrm{d}^{n} z \, O(z) e^{-S_{R}(z)} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-iS_{I}(z_{\sigma})} \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}} \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta y \, O \, e^{-S_{R}} e^{i\,\omega}$$
$$Z = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-iS_{I}(z_{\sigma})} \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}} \mathrm{d}^{n} z \, e^{-S_{R}(z)} = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-iS_{I}(z_{\sigma})} \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}} \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta y \, e^{-S_{R}} e^{i\,\omega} \qquad \left(\mathcal{J}_{\sigma} \equiv \left\{z(0) \in \mathcal{X} \mid \dot{z}^{i} = g^{i\bar{j}}\partial_{\bar{j}}\bar{S}, \lim_{t \to -\infty} z(t) = z_{\sigma}\right\} \subset \mathcal{X}$$

Thimbles are manifolds which live in the complexification of the original manifold your theory is defined on. They are the union of the Steepest Ascent paths attached to critical points, on which the imaginary part of the action stays constant. Their real dimension is just the same as that of the original manifold.

... and don't forget this either ...



... it can be a good idea to compute observables in thimble regularization

Thimbles are manifolds which live in the complexification of the original manifold your theory is defined on. They are the union of the Steepest Ascent paths attached to critical points, on which the imaginary part of the action stays constant. Their real dimension is just the same as that of the original manifold.

The tangent space at the critical point is spanned by the Takagi vectors of the Hessian

 $H(S; p_{\sigma})v^{(i)} = \lambda_i \bar{v}^{(i)}$  In general at each point an orthonormal basis of the tangent space induces the natural coordinate system ...

$$\varphi\left(z+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\delta y_{i} U^{(i)}\right) = \delta y + \mathcal{O}\left(\delta y^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \qquad \int_{\Gamma_{z}} \mathrm{d}^{n} z f(z) = \int_{\varphi(\Gamma_{z})} \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta y f\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(\delta y\right)\right) \,\mathrm{det}\, U\left(\varphi^{-1}\left(\delta y\right)\right) \qquad \delta z = \sum_{i} \delta y_{i} U^{(i)}$$

... but we only know a basis for the tangent space if we transport the vector basis that we know at the critical point

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V_j}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_i \overline{\left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial z^i \partial z^j}\right)}$$

Complete flow lines formalism and a Monte Carlo algorithm for such an approach A natural way to rephrase integrals on thimbles

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-iS_{I}(z_{\sigma})} Z_{\sigma} \langle \langle O e^{i\omega} \rangle \rangle_{\sigma} \qquad \langle \langle \bullet \rangle \rangle_{\sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{Z_{\sigma}} \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}} \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta y \bullet e^{-S_{R}}$$

$$Z = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} \, e^{-iS_I(z_{\sigma})} Z_{\sigma} \, \langle \langle e^{i\,\omega} \rangle \rangle_{\sigma}$$

#### A natural way to rephrase integrals on thimbles

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-iS_{I}(z_{\sigma})} Z_{\sigma} \left\langle \left\langle O e^{i\,\omega} \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\sigma} \qquad \quad \langle \left\langle \bullet \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{Z_{\sigma}} \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}} \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta y \bullet e^{-S_{R}}$$

with the partition function given by

$$Z = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-iS_I(z_{\sigma})} Z_{\sigma} \langle \langle e^{i\,\omega} \rangle \rangle_{\sigma}$$

For the sake of simplicity we now specify to the case of only one thimble in place. Now expectation values are restricted to that thimble (we drop double wedges and footer)

$$\langle O \rangle \to \frac{\langle O e^{i \,\omega} \rangle}{\langle e^{i \,\omega} \rangle} \qquad \qquad \langle \bullet \rangle = \frac{\int d^n \delta y \, \bullet \, e^{-S_R}}{\int \mathcal{J}_{\sigma} \, \mathrm{d}^n \delta y \, e^{-S_R}}$$

#### A natural way to rephrase integrals on thimbles

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-iS_{I}(z_{\sigma})} Z_{\sigma} \left\langle \left\langle O e^{i\,\omega} \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\sigma} \qquad \quad \langle \left\langle \bullet \right\rangle \right\rangle_{\sigma} \equiv \frac{1}{Z_{\sigma}} \int_{\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}} \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta y \bullet e^{-S_{R}}$$

with the partition function given by

$$Z = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-iS_I(z_{\sigma})} Z_{\sigma} \langle \langle e^{i\,\omega} \rangle \rangle_{\sigma}$$

For the sake of simplicity we now specify to the case of only one thimble in place. Now expectation values are restricted to that thimble (we drop double wedges and footer)

$$\langle O \rangle \to \frac{\langle O e^{i \,\omega} \rangle}{\langle e^{i \,\omega} \rangle} \qquad \qquad \langle \bullet \rangle = \frac{\int d^n \delta y \, \bullet \, e^{-S_R}}{\int \mathcal{J}_{\sigma} d^n \delta y \, e^{-S_R}}$$

A very natural parametrization of the thimble (see also Kikukawa et al JHEP1310)

$$\mathcal{J}_{\sigma} \ni z \leftrightarrow (\hat{n}, t) \in S^{n-1}_{\mathcal{R}} \times \mathbb{R}$$

whose meaning is easy to understand: each point on a SA (flow line) is identified by the direction (on the tangent space) you take when you leave the critical point (this singles out the flow line itself) and the flow time at which you reach the point.

In practice: you make a choice for a direction on the tangent space  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i v^{(i)}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i^2 = \mathcal{R}$ 

Near the critical point natural coordinates are given in terms of the basis provided by the Takagi vectors of H. Then the action is  $S(\eta) = S(z_{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \eta_i^2$ 

and the evolution along a flow line is given by  $\eta_i(t) = n_i e^{\lambda_i t}$ 

and 
$$V^{(i)}(t) = v^{(i)} e^{\lambda_i t}$$
  
i.e.  $z_j(t) = z_\sigma + \sum_{k=1}^n n_k e^{\lambda_k t} v_j^{(k)}$ 

which at a convenient value of (remote) time is our initial condition for the SA.

In practice: you make a choice for a direction on the tangent space  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i v^{(i)}$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i^2 = \mathcal{R}$ 

Near the critical point natural coordinates are given in term of the basis provided by the Takagi vectors. Then the action is  $S(\eta) = S(z_{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \eta_i^2$ 

and the evolution along a flow line is given by  $\eta_i(t) = n_i e^{\lambda_i t}$ 

and 
$$V^{(i)}(t) = v^{(i)} e^{\lambda_i t}$$
  
i.e.  $z_j(t) = z_\sigma + \sum_{k=1}^n n_k e^{\lambda_k t} v_j^{(k)}$ 

which at a convenient value of (remote) time is our initial condition for the SA.

Now we want to express the integral on the thimble as an integral over directions and flow times

In practice: you make a choice for a direction on the tangent space  $\sum n_i v^{(i)}$  with  $\sum n_i^2 = \mathcal{R}$ 

Near the critical point natural coordinates are given in term of the basis provided by the Takagi vectors. Then the action is  $S(\eta) = S(z_{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \eta_i^2$ 

and the evolution along a flow line is given by  $\eta_i(t) = n_i e^{\lambda_i t}$ 

and 
$$V^{(i)}(t) = v^{(i)} e^{\lambda_i t}$$
  
i.e.  $z_j(t) = z_\sigma + \sum_{k=1}^n n_k e^{\lambda_k t} v_j^{(k)}$ 

which at a convenient value of (remote) time is our initial condition for the SA.

Now we want to express the integral on the thimble as an integral over directions and flow times

Basically we play a Faddeev-Popov trick ...  $1 = \Delta_{\hat{n}}(t) \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}n_{k} \,\delta\left(|\vec{n}|^{2} - \mathcal{R}\right) \int \mathrm{d}t \,\prod_{i=1}^{n} \delta\left(\delta y_{i} - \delta y_{i}(\hat{n}, t)\right)$  $1 = \Delta_{\hat{n}}(t) \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}n'_{k} \,\delta\left(|\vec{n}'|^{2} - \mathcal{R}\right) \int \mathrm{d}t' \prod_{i=1}^{n} \delta\left(\delta y_{i} - \delta y_{i}(\hat{n}', t')\right)$  $= \Delta_{\hat{n}}(t) \int \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}n'_{i} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \delta\left(n'_{i} - n_{i}\right) \int \mathrm{d}t' \,\delta\left(t' - t\right) \left| \frac{\partial\left(|\vec{n}'|^{2} - \mathcal{R}, \delta y_{i} - \delta y_{i}(\hat{n}', t')\right)}{\partial\left(t', \hat{n}'\right)} \right|^{-1}$  $= \Delta_{\hat{n}}(t) \left| \frac{\partial\left(|\vec{n}|^{2} - \mathcal{R}, \delta y_{i} - \delta y_{i}(\hat{n}, t)\right)}{\partial\left(t, \hat{n}\right)} \right|^{-1}$ 

All in all

$$\mathcal{D}\hat{n} \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}n_k \delta\left(|\vec{n}|^2 - \mathcal{R}\right) \qquad \mathcal{D}\hat{n} \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}n_k \delta\left(|\vec{n}|^2 - \mathcal{R}\right) \qquad \mathcal{D}\hat{n} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}t \,\Delta_{\hat{n}}(t) \, e^{-S_R(\hat{n},t)}$$

and it turns out that

$$Z_{\hat{n}} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i n_i^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, e^{-S_{\mathrm{eff}}(\hat{n},t)} \qquad S_{\mathrm{eff}}(\hat{n},t) = S_R(\hat{n},t) - \log|\det V(t)|$$

All in all

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\hat{n} Z_{\hat{n}} \qquad \mathcal{D}\hat{n} \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{d}n_{k} \delta\left(|\vec{n}|^{2} - \mathcal{R}\right) \qquad \mathcal{Z}_{\hat{n}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}t \,\Delta_{\hat{n}}(t) \, e^{-S_{R}(\hat{n},t)}$$

and it turns out that

$$Z_{\hat{n}} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i n_i^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{d}t \, e^{-S_{\mathrm{eff}}(\hat{n},t)} \qquad S_{\mathrm{eff}}(\hat{n},t) = S_R(\hat{n},t) - \log|\mathrm{det}\,V(t)|$$

Defining 
$$\langle A \rangle_{\hat{n}} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \Delta_{\hat{n}}(t) e^{-S_R(\hat{n},t)} A}{Z_{\hat{n}}}$$

we have in the end

GOOD! This is a new average, again with a positive measure. Once we prepare an initial condition we go all the way up the flow line (problem of staying on the thimble solved)

 $: \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\hat{n} \, Z_{\hat{n}} \left\langle e^{i\omega} O \right\rangle_{\hat{n}}}{\int \mathcal{D}\hat{n} \, Z_{\hat{n}} \left\langle e^{i\omega} \right\rangle_{\hat{n}}}$  $\langle O \rangle$ 

 $\frac{\int \mathcal{D}\hat{n} \ Z_{\hat{n}} \left\langle e^{i\omega}O\right\rangle_{\hat{n}}}{\int \mathcal{D}\hat{n} \ Z_{\hat{n}} \left\langle e^{i\omega}\right\rangle_{\hat{n}}}$ 

**PROBLEM!** Difficult to sample by Monte Carlo, so at first we went through the very basic one: flat, crude Monte Carlo ...

... which nevertheless worked for the Chiral Random Matrix Model (Di Renzo, Eruzzi PhysRev D92)



First of all: for a gaussian thimble (one for which  $S(\eta) = S(z_{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \eta_{i}^{2}$  holds everywhere)  $Z_{\hat{n}} = 2 \sum_{i} n_{i}^{2} \lambda_{i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \ e^{\Lambda t - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} n_{i}^{2} \lambda_{i} e^{2\lambda_{i} t}} \qquad \Lambda = \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}$ 

This we can sample by heat bath with a method we all teach our students

$$F(x) = P(X < x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(y) dy \qquad \xi \in [0, 1] \quad \text{flat random} \quad \mathbf{a}$$

F

 $(\xi)$ 

First of all: for a gaussian thimble (one for which  $S(\eta) = S(z_{\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \eta_i^2$  holds everywhere)  $Z_{\hat{n}} = 2 \sum_{i} n_i^2 \lambda_i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \ e^{\Lambda t - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} n_i^2 \lambda_i e^{2\lambda_i t}} \qquad \Lambda = \sum_{i} \lambda_i$ 

This we can sample by heat bath with a method we all teach our students

$$F(x) = P(X < x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(y) dy \qquad \xi \in [0, 1] \quad \text{flat random}$$

 $F^{-1}$ 

 $(\xi)$ 

Simply pick a couple 
$$n_i^2 + n_j^2 = R - \sum_{k \neq i,j} n_k^2 = C$$
  $n_i = \sqrt{C} \cos(\phi)$ ,  $n_j = \sqrt{C} \sin(\phi)$   
and notice that  $Z_{\hat{n},i,j}(\phi) = 2 \left[ \sum_{k \neq i,j} n_k^2 \lambda_k + \lambda_i C \cos^2(\phi) + \lambda_j C \sin^2(\phi) \right] \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \ e^{\Lambda t - S(\phi,t)}$   
with  $S(\phi,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sum_{k \neq i,j} n_k^2 \lambda_k e^{2\lambda_k t} + C \cos^2(\phi) n_i^2 \lambda_i e^{2\lambda_i t} + C \sin^2(\phi) n_j^2 \lambda_j e^{2\lambda_j t} \right]$   
defines a probability  $P_{\hat{n},i,j}(\phi) = \frac{Z_{\hat{n},i,j}(\phi)}{\int_0^{2\pi} d\psi Z_{\hat{n},i,j}(\psi)}$ 

for which we can play the above trick: this is a HEAT BATH for the gaussian thimble

1.You sit on a  $\, \hat{n} \,$ 

2.Extract (by gaussian heat bath) a new  $\,\hat{n}'\,$  (connected by the rotation we saw)

3.Perform the SA defined by  $\,\hat{n}'$  and compute  $Z_{\hat{n}'}$ 

4. Accept with probability



1.You sit on a  $\,\hat{n}\,$ 

2.Extract (by gaussian heat bath) a new  $\hat{n}'$  (connected by the rotation we saw) 3.Perform the SA defined by  $\hat{n}'$  and compute  $Z_{\hat{n}'}$ 

4. Accept with probability







RED exact BLUE new (1.3K samples at m=8)
BLACK old (122K samples at m=8!)

### Basic formalism for SU(N)

Waild whow ed fighter to be by Brother incarion field variables  $as[x] = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and the second point of the reported values and the second of the second renousnorphiese high is a set of the s ation, the field of the second submanified to complex va integration specificcoas proxitication, the field v excegeed to a abolo morphic sonthat his 2115 ersects dor then the state timed by date and also the state In Hation where the measure is riven by the providence theory  $f(x) = d \cdot x$   $f(x) = d \cdot x$ and the action is extended for the store to a complete for the second of etscherzthimbles which is jozibles associated w South a current to  $C_R$ . Hts, 2. E as the hornor price and the and the state of the state

Be careful with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a}, \nabla_{m,\hat{\nu}}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = -f^{abc} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{c} \,\delta_{n,m} \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\nu}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\nabla}_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a}, \bar{\nabla}_{m,\hat{\nu}}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = -f^{abc} \, \bar{\nabla}_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{c} \,\delta_{n,m} \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\nu}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a}, \bar{\nabla}_{m,\hat{\nu}}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Be careful with 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a}, \nabla_{m,\hat{\nu}}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = -f^{abc} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{c} \delta_{n,m} \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\nu}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\nabla}_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a}, \bar{\nabla}_{m,\hat{\nu}}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = -f^{abc} \bar{\nabla}_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{c} \delta_{n,m} \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\nu}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a}, \bar{\nabla}_{m,\hat{\nu}}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

but then all the other stuff goes through smoothly, i.e.  $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \bar{\nabla}^a_{n,\hat{\mu}} \bar{S} \, \nabla^a_{n,\hat{\mu}} + \nabla^a_{n,\hat{\mu}} S \, \bar{\nabla}^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S^R}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\left(S+\bar{S}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\nabla}^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S}\,\nabla^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}S + \nabla^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}S\,\bar{\nabla}^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S}\right) = \left\|\nabla S\right\|^2 \ge 0$$

along the flow

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S^{I}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \frac{1}{2i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\left(S-\bar{S}\right) = \frac{1}{2i}\left(\bar{\nabla}^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S}\,\nabla^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}}S - \nabla^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}}S\,\bar{\nabla}^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S}\right) = 0$$

Be careful with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a}, \nabla_{m,\hat{\nu}}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = -f^{abc} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{c} \delta_{n,m} \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\nu}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\nabla}_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a}, \bar{\nabla}_{m,\hat{\nu}}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = -f^{abc} \bar{\nabla}_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{c} \delta_{n,m} \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\nu}} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a}, \bar{\nabla}_{m,\hat{\nu}}^{b} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

but then all the other stuff goes through smoothly, i.e.  $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \bar{\nabla}^a_{n,\hat{\mu}} \bar{S} \, \nabla^a_{n,\hat{\mu}} + \nabla^a_{n,\hat{\mu}} S \, \bar{\nabla}^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S^R}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\left(S+\bar{S}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{\nabla}^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S}\,\nabla^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}S + \nabla^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}S\,\bar{\nabla}^a_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S}\right) = \left\|\nabla S\right\|^2 \ge 0$$

along the flow

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S^{I}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \frac{1}{2i}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\left(S-\bar{S}\right) = \frac{1}{2i}\left(\bar{\nabla}^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S}\,\nabla^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}}S - \nabla^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}}S\,\bar{\nabla}^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S}\right) = 0$$

and as for transporting the basis

$$V \equiv V_{n,\hat{\mu},a} \nabla^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}} + \bar{V}_{n,\hat{\mu},a} \bar{\nabla}^{a}_{n,\hat{\mu}} \qquad [V,V']_{n,\hat{\mu},c} = -f^{abc} V_{n,\hat{\mu},a} V'_{n,\hat{\mu},b}$$

$$V' = \bar{\nabla}\bar{S} \qquad [V,V']_{n,\hat{\mu},c} = \left(V_{m,\hat{\nu},a}\nabla^{a}_{m,\hat{\nu}} + \bar{V}_{m,\hat{\nu},a}\bar{\nabla}^{a}_{m,\hat{\nu}}\right)\bar{\nabla}^{c}_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S} - \\ - \left(\bar{\nabla}^{a}_{m,\hat{\nu}}\bar{S}\nabla^{a}_{m,\hat{\nu}} + \nabla^{a}_{m,\hat{\nu}}S\bar{\nabla}^{a}_{m,\hat{\nu}}\right)V_{n,\hat{\mu},c} = \\ = V_{m,\hat{\nu},a}\nabla^{a}_{m,\hat{\nu}}\bar{\nabla}^{c}_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S} + \bar{V}_{m,\hat{\nu},a}\bar{\nabla}^{a}_{m,\hat{\nu}}\bar{\nabla}^{c}_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S} - \frac{\mathrm{d}V_{n,\hat{\mu},c}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \\ = -f^{abc}V_{n,\hat{\mu},a}\bar{\nabla}^{b}_{n,\hat{\mu}}\bar{S}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} V_{n,\hat{\mu},c} = \bar{\nabla}^a_{m,\hat{\nu}} \bar{\nabla}^c_{n,\hat{\mu}} \bar{S} \, \bar{V}_{m,\hat{\nu},a} + f^{abc} \, \bar{\nabla}^b_{n,\hat{\mu}} \bar{S} \, V_{n,\hat{\mu},a}$$

from which we can have the basis for the tangent space at any point Some (basic...) steps into gauge theories: QCD in 0+1 dimensions

#### QCD in 0+1 dim

$$\mathcal{Z}_{N_f} = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N_t} \mathrm{d}U_i \,\mathrm{det}^{N_f} D \qquad (aD)_{ii'} = am\delta_{ii'} + \frac{1}{2} \left( e^{a\mu} U_i \tilde{\delta}_{i',i+1} - e^{-a\mu} U_{i-1}^{\dagger} \tilde{\delta}_{i',i-1} \right)$$

$$aD = \begin{pmatrix} am & e^{a\mu}U_1/2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & e^{-a\mu}U_{N_t}^{\dagger}/2 \\ -e^{-a\mu}U_1^{\dagger}/2 & am & e^{a\mu}U_2/2 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & am & e^{a\mu}U_{N_t-1}/2 \\ -e^{a\mu}U_{N_t}/2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -e^{-a\mu}U_{N_t-1}^{\dagger}/2 & am \end{pmatrix}$$

Actually in a good gauge

$$\mathcal{Z}_{N_f} = \int_{\mathrm{SU}(3)} \mathrm{d}U \,\mathrm{det}^{N_f} \left( A\mathbb{1}_{3\times 3} + e^{\mu/T}U + e^{-\mu/T}U^{\dagger} \right)$$

We compute (anti)Polyakov loop and the chiral condensate  $\Sigma = T \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \log \mathcal{Z}$ 

We have 3 critical points ( $Z_3$  roots) and we know both the results and their semiclassical approximations

#### semiclassical approximation

$$Z \approx (2\pi)^4 \sum_{\sigma} \mathfrak{n}_{\sigma} e^{-S(U_{\sigma})} \lambda_{\sigma}^{-4} e^{i\omega_{\sigma}} \qquad e^{i\omega_{\sigma}} = e^{-4i\varphi_{\sigma}}$$
$$S(U_{\sigma}) = -3N_f \log B_{\sigma}$$
$$B_{\sigma} = 2 \left[ \cosh\left(\frac{\mu_c}{T}\right) + \cosh\left(\frac{\mu}{T} + \frac{2\pi i k_{\sigma}}{3}\right) \right]$$
$$N_f \left\{ B_{\sigma}^{-1} \left[ \cosh\left(\frac{\mu}{T} + \frac{2\pi i k_{\sigma}}{3}\right) - 2B_{\sigma}^{-1} \sinh^2\left(\frac{\mu}{T} + \frac{2\pi i k_{\sigma}}{3}\right) \right] \right\} = \lambda_{\sigma} e^{i\varphi_{\sigma}}$$

These are interesting because we can predict the relative weights of the different critical points!





 $N_{f} = 12 m = 1$ 

 $N_f = 6 m = 0.1$  from semiclassical approximation



 $N_f = 6 m = 0.1$ 



 $N_f = 2 m = 1$  from semiclassical approximation



 $N_f = 2 m = 1$ 



ONLY THIMBLE 0



The real thing: thimbles and the gauge structure of SU(N)



## For gauge theories we need an improved picture for the thimble ...

The relevant picture is that of a NON-DEGENERATE CRITICAL SUBMANIFOLD  $\mathcal{N}$ , for which





## For gauge theories we need an improved picture for the thimble ...

The relevant picture is that of a NON-DEGENERATE CRITICAL SUBMANIFOLD  $\mathcal{N},$  for which



Let us consider QCD with its vacuum A=0;  $\mathcal{N}$  is the latter's complete gauge orbit This is SU(3) and the (real) dimension of the critical manifold is  $(V-1)(N_c^2-1)$ 



## For gauge theories we need an improved picture for the thimble ...

The relevant picture is that of a NON-DEGENERATE CRITICAL SUBMANIFOLD  $\mathcal{N},$  for which



Let us consider QCD with its vacuum A=0;  $\mathcal{N}$  is the latter's complete gauge orbit This is SU(3) and the (real) dimension of the critical manifold is  $(V-1)(N_c^2-1)$ 

In order to understand how the thimble will emerge, first observe that on the normal bundle we are provided with an equal number of positive and negative Takagi values of  $\partial^2 F$  which are associated to the SD and SA flows.

Once again, in constructing thimbles we will be left with the right real dimension.

All in all, the thimble (e.g. associated to  ${\cal A}=0$  ) is defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_0 := \left\{ U \in (SL(3,\mathbb{C}))^{4V} \mid \exists U(\tau) \text{ solution of } [*] \mid U(0) = U \& \lim_{\tau \to \infty} U(\tau) \in \mathcal{N}^{(0)} \right\}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}U_{\nu}(x;\tau) = (-iT_a\overline{\nabla}_{x,\nu,a}\overline{S[U]})U_{\nu}(x;\tau) \quad \text{[*]}$$

$$(T_a \overline{\nabla}_{x,\nu,a} \overline{S[U]}) \to (\Lambda(x)^{-1})^{\dagger} (T_a \overline{\nabla}_{x,\nu,a} \overline{S[U]}) \Lambda(x)^{\dagger}$$
$$U_{\nu}(x) \to \Lambda(x) U_{\nu}(x) \Lambda(x+\hat{\nu})^{-1}$$
$$\Lambda(x)^{\dagger} = \Lambda(x)^{-1}$$

All in all, the thimble (e.g. associated to A=0 ) is defined as

$$\mathcal{J}_{0} := \left\{ U \in (SL(3,\mathbb{C}))^{4V} \mid \exists U(\tau) \text{ solution of } [*] \mid U(0) = U \And \lim_{\tau \to \infty} U(\tau) \in \mathcal{N}^{(0)} \right\}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \underbrace{U_{\nu}(x;\tau)}_{\tau,\nu,x;\tau} = \underbrace{\left(-iT_{a}\overline{\nabla}_{x,\nu,a}\overline{S[U]}\right)U_{\nu}(x;\tau)}_{\left(x;\tau\right)}_{\left[*]} \underbrace{\frac{d}{d\tau}U_{\nu}(x;\tau)}_{\left(x;\tau\right)} = \left(-iT_{a}\overline{\nabla}_{x,\nu,a}\overline{S[U]}\right)U_{\nu}(x;\tau)$$
A key moint is now to understand that:
$$\underbrace{\left(H_{aa}}_{x,x,a}\mathcal{N}_{x}\mathcal{N}_{a}\mathcal{N}_{b}\mathcal{D}\right)U_{-}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{N}(x)\Lambda(x)(T_{a})\mathcal{N}_{a}(T_{a}\overline{\mathcal{N}_{x}})\mathcal{N}_{a}(\overline{S[U]})\Lambda(x)^{\dagger}$$
under  $SL(3,\mathbb{C})$  gauge transformations
$$(T_{a}\overline{\nabla}_{x,\nu,a}\overline{S[U]}) \to (\Lambda(x)U_{\nu}(x)\Lambda(x+\hat{\nu})^{-1}$$

$$\underbrace{U_{\nu}(x)}_{U}(x)\Lambda(x)\mathcal{N}_{\nu}(x)\mathcal{N}_{\nu}(x)\mathcal{N}_{\nu}(x)\Lambda(x+\hat{\nu})^{-1}$$
This not only means that the SD eq. is covariant only for  $\Lambda(x)^{\dagger} = \Lambda(x)^{-1}$  i.e.  $SU(3)_{\nu}^{\dagger}$ 

This not only means that the SD eq. is covariant only for  $\Lambda(x)' = \Lambda(x)$  , i.e.  $\mathcal{D}U(x)^{\intercal} = (x)^{\intercal}$ 

... but also that if you take a SA from A = 0, at any stage you can perform a g.transf. and this will take you to a point starting from which under SD you are going to eventually land on another point on the gauge orbit of A = 0 (decided by the g. transf. you choose) All in all, keep in mind this ...



... and keep in mind that at each point of the gauge orbit of our vacuum the tangent space is spanned by both positive Takagi values of the Hessian (associated to the ascents) and null Takagi values (associated to gauge transformations) Gaussian approximation for SU(N) (N=2 in d=2, actually)

#### A more realistic gauge theory (although a somehow artificial sign problem)

$$S_{G}\left[U\right] = \beta \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \sum_{\hat{\rho} < \hat{\nu}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2N} \operatorname{Tr}\left(U_{\hat{\rho}\hat{\nu}}\left(m\right) + U_{\hat{\rho}\hat{\nu}}^{-1}\left(m\right)\right)\right] \quad \text{at complex values of the coupling}$$

Hessian at the identity  $\nabla^b_{m,\hat{\rho}} \nabla^a_{n,\hat{\mu}} S_G[U] \Big|_{U=1} =$ 

$$= \frac{\beta}{2N} \delta^{ab} \left[ 2D\delta_{n,m} \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\rho}} - \delta_{n,m} + \delta_{n+\hat{\mu},m} + \delta_{n-\hat{\rho},m} - \delta_{n+\hat{\mu}-\hat{\rho},m} - \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\rho}} \sum_{\hat{\nu}} (\delta_{n+\hat{\nu},m} + \delta_{n-\hat{\nu},m}) \right]$$

has  $d(N_c^2-1)$  extra zero modes, which do not come as a surprise: TORONS!

#### A more realistic gauge theory (although a somehow artificial sign problem)

$$S_{G}\left[U\right] = \beta \sum_{m \in \Lambda} \sum_{\hat{\rho} < \hat{\nu}} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2N} \operatorname{Tr} \left( U_{\hat{\rho}\hat{\nu}}\left(m\right) + U_{\hat{\rho}\hat{\nu}}^{-1}\left(m\right) \right) \right] \quad \text{at complex values of the coupling}$$

$$\operatorname{Hessian at the identity} \quad \nabla_{m,\hat{\rho}}^{b} \nabla_{n,\hat{\mu}}^{a} S_{G}\left[U\right] \big|_{U \equiv \mathbb{1}} = \frac{\beta}{2N} \delta^{ab} \left[ 2D\delta_{n,m} \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\rho}} - \delta_{n,m} + \delta_{n+\hat{\mu},m} + \delta_{n-\hat{\rho},m} - \delta_{n+\hat{\mu}-\hat{\rho},m} - \delta_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\rho}} \sum_{\hat{\nu}} \left( \delta_{n+\hat{\nu},m} + \delta_{n-\hat{\nu},m} \right) \right]$$

has  $d(N_c^2-1)$  extra zero modes, which do not come as a surprise: TORONS!

Ok! Let's turn to a twisted action  $S_G[U] = \beta \sum_P f_P^{(t)}(U_P)$ 

We are playing around with this in its simplest form, i.e. d=2 and  $N_c=2$ . It is a nice laboratory: everything is known!

In order to proceed, first of all we have to recall what is the minimum action configuration once we have moved to the twisted action and chosen convenient twist.



 $\mathcal{M}_{0}(z_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}) = \{ (G_{1}, \cdots, G_{d}) | G_{\hat{\mu}} \in \mathrm{SU}(N), G_{\hat{\nu}}G_{\hat{\mu}} = z_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}G_{\hat{\mu}}G_{\hat{\nu}} \} \qquad \dim \mathcal{N}_{0} = (V-1) \left( N^{2} - 1 \right) + \dim \mathcal{M}_{0}\left( z_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \right)$ 





 $\mathcal{M}_{0}(z_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}) = \{ (G_{1}, \cdots, G_{d}) | G_{\hat{\mu}} \in \mathrm{SU}(N), G_{\hat{\nu}}G_{\hat{\mu}} = z_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}G_{\hat{\mu}}G_{\hat{\nu}} \} \qquad \dim \mathcal{N}_{0} = (V-1) \left( N^{2} - 1 \right) + \dim \mathcal{M}_{0}(z_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}})$ 

Twist-eater solution 
$$\dim \mathcal{M}_0 = N_c^2 - 1$$

With this we can construct a thimble to start with: in particular we can identify the tangent space at the critical point (in the critical orbit …). We find the expected number of positive Takagi values to the Hessian (the associated Takagi vectors define directions for ascents) and null Takagi values (the associated Takagi vectors define directions for the gauge transformations taking you along the orbit).

Given a thimble formulation, our first attempt will be to test the validity of what we call the gaussian approximation.

# $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{The gaussian approximation}\\ \hline & e^{-\frac{iS_I}{2}} \\ \hline$



On the thimble by very definition!

Noise should be tangent to the thimble!

# 



On the thimble by very definition!

Noise should be tangent to the thimble!

 $\phi$  min This point is at the border ...

But we easily know what the tangent is only (at) near the critical point!

This point sits on the flow line well beyond the border of the region where the tangent space almost sits on top of the tangent space at the critical point ...

Region of applicability of the

Hessian computed in  $\phi$  min

The gaussian approximation  $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\frac{iS_{I}}{2}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}$ 



On the thimble by very definition! Noise is on the tangent space at the crit. point!



But there can be cases in which the relevant region for the functional integral can be deformed to end up on top of the tangent space at the critical point (think of saddle point).

We call this gaussian approximation because the thimble and the tangent space at the critical point are the same for a theory having only quadratic contributions on top of the value at the critical point (think of the saddle point approximation): in such a case the thimble is flat.

Recently A. Alexandru et al have introduced a similar approach to the computation on what they call the main tangent space (JHEP 1605 053).

The gaussian approximation  $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-\frac{iS_{I}}{20}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-S[x]} \frac{d\phi_{x}}{d\phi_{x}} e^{-S[x]} \frac{d\phi_{$ 



On the thimble by very definition! Noise is on the tangent space at the crit. point!



But there can be cases in which the relevant region for the functional integral can be deformed to end up on top of the tangent space at the critical point (think of saddle point).



Recently A. Alexandru et al have introduced a similar approach to the computation on what they call the main tangent space (JHEP 1605 053).

# Not that bad (ok ... on a 4² lattice ... sic ...) $SU(2) \qquad d=2 \qquad \beta=5\,e^{i\,0.2}$

Semiclassical approximation suggests gaussian approximation should be reasonably ok  $\dots$ 

We measure the action density. Caveat: everything very very preliminary!



#### Conclusions

- We have a new Monte Carlo for thimbles in terms of complete flow lines.
- Basic formalism for lattice gauge theories is alive and kicking for QCD 0+1.

- SU(2) in d=2 apparently under control in the gaussian approximation in a region where it should be under control.

- A HUGE amount of WORK yet to be done ... !



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare Università di Parma-Dipartimento di Fisica



### PARMA INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF THEORETICAL PHYSICS

September 4-10-2016, Parma-Italy



The 8th Parma International School of Theoretical Physics will take place on the campus of the University of Parma from 4 to 10 September, 2016. This year's school will provide an overview of different topics in resurgence theory from a physical point of view. There will be about 30 hours of lectures followed by discussion and problem sessions.

Decoding the Path Integral: Resurgence, Lefschetz Thimbles and Non-Perturbative Physics

#### **Speakers**

Gerald Dunne (Connecticut U.) Aleksey Cherman (Seattle) Antonio Pineda (Barcelona) Yuya Tanizaki (RIKEN) Stefano Cremonesi (King's College) Ricardo Schiappa (Lisboa) Introduction to Resurgence and Semiclassical Physics Resurgence in Gauge Theory and Sigma Models Renormalons and OPE Thimble regularization for Lattice Field Theory Introduction to localization in QFT Introduction to Resurgent Asymptotics and Applications to String Theory

The School is open to students from all countries. Official language will be English. The number of participants will be limited to 60. There are no participation fees, but the participants must cover their travel and lodging expenses. To register, please fill the electronic form which can be found at the School's web-site <a href="https://sites.google.com/site/pistp2016/">https://sites.google.com/site/pistp2016/</a>

Please note that Lectures start on Monday morning - Registration will be on Sunday September 4 - Deadline for application is July 31, 2016

#### International Advisory Board

Gerald Dunne (Connecticut U.) Antonio Pineda (Barcelona) Sara Pasquetti (Milano Bicocca) Mithat Unsal (North Carolina State U.)

Local Organizers Marisa Bonini - Francesco Di Renzo - Luca Griguolo Enrico Onofri (Director of the School)