

Topological susceptibility in finite-temperature (2+1)-flavor QCD with gradient flow

Kazuyuki Kanaya Center for Integrated Research in Fundamental Science and Engineering (CiRfSE) Univ. Tsukuba

in collaboration with

S.Ejiri, R.Iwami, M.Kitazawa, H.Suzuki, Y.Taniguchi, T.Umeda, N.Wakabayashi (WHOT-QCD Collaboration)

QCD Thermodynamics with Gradient Flow

Gradient flow

 $\sqrt{8t}$

Lüscher(2009–), Narayanan-Neuberger(2006)

Imaginary evolution of the system into a fictitious "time" t preserving gauge sym. etc.: (ex) pure gauge theory $\dot{B}_{\mu} = D_{\nu}G_{\nu\mu}$, $B_{\mu}|_{t=0} = A_{\mu}^{4}$ original gauge field

We may view the flowed field $B\mu$ as a smeared $A\mu$ over a physical range of $\sqrt{(8t)}$.

It was shown that operators of flowed fields have no UV divergences nor short-dist. singularities at t > 0. Lüscher-Weisz(2011)

GF provides us with a new physical (i.e. non-perturbative) renormalization scheme, which is directly calculable on the lattice in the $a \rightarrow 0$ limit.

This opened many possibilities to drastically simplify lattice evaluation of physical observables.

Our project: Application of GF to thermodyn. of (2+1)-flavor QCD

EMT by GF : Chiral condensate by GF :

H. Suzuki (2013), Makino-Suzuki (2014) Hieda-Suzuki (2016)

=> <u>Talk by Taniguchi (Aug. I)</u>

Topological charge / susceptibility by GF : this talk

Gauge vs. Fermion Definitions for Topological Susceptibility

$$\chi_T = \frac{1}{V_4} \left(\langle Q^2 \rangle - \langle Q \rangle^2 \right)$$

Lüscher('10), Consonni-Engel-Giusti('15)

$$= \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \int d^4x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) F^a_{\rho\sigma}(x)$$

Equivalence shown with GW quarks on the lattice.

Large discrepancy found with non-chiral quarks.

E.g. Petreczky et al.(1606.03145): factor $\approx 2^4$ different χ at Nt=12 with HISQ.

Fermion definition

Gauge definition

Bochicchio et al. ('84), Giusti-Rossi-Testa ('04)

$$\langle Q^2 \rangle = \frac{m^2}{N_f^2} \left(\langle P^0 P^0 \rangle - N_f \langle P^a P^a \rangle \right) = \frac{m^2}{N_f^2} \left\langle P^0 P^0 \right\rangle_{\text{disc}}$$

$$P^0 = \int d^4 x \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_5 \psi(x)$$

$$P^a = \int d^4 x \bar{\psi}(x) T^a \gamma_5 \psi(x) \qquad \psi = \left(\psi_1, \ \cdots, \ \psi_{N_f}\right)$$

Note on the fermion definition

$$\begin{split} \langle Q^2 \rangle &= \frac{m^2}{N_f^2} \left(\langle P^0 P^0 \rangle - N_f \langle P^a P^a \rangle \right) = \frac{m^2}{N_f^2} \left\langle P^0 P^0 \right\rangle_{\text{disc}} \\ \text{Integrated Ward-Takahashi identities} & \text{Giusti-Rossi-Testa('04)} \\ \text{Abelian} & -2m \left\langle P^0 \mathcal{O} \right\rangle + 2N_f \left\langle Q \mathcal{O} \right\rangle = i \left\langle \delta^0 \mathcal{O} \right\rangle \\ \mathcal{O} &= Q \quad \text{Abelian} \quad N_f \left\langle Q^2 \right\rangle = m \left\langle P^0 Q \right\rangle \quad \text{singlet scalar} \\ \mathcal{O} &= P^0 \quad \text{Abelian} \quad N_f \left\langle Q P^0 \right\rangle = m \left\langle P^0 P^0 \right\rangle - \left\langle S^0 \right\rangle \\ \text{non-Abelian} & -2m \left\langle P^a \mathcal{O} \right\rangle = i \left\langle \delta^a \mathcal{O} \right\rangle \\ \mathcal{O} &= P^b \quad \text{NA} \\ -2m \left\langle P^a P^b \right\rangle = i \left\langle \delta^a P^b \right\rangle = - \left(\delta^{ab} \frac{2}{N_f} \left\langle S^0 \right\rangle + d_{abc} \left\langle S^c \right\rangle \\ \end{split}$$

Simulation Parameters

- ☑ Nf=2+1 QCD, Iwasaki gauge + NP-clover // fine lattice, physical *s* & heavy *ud*
- ✓ CP-PACS+JLQCD's T = 0 config. (β = 2.05, 28³x56, a ≈ 0.07fm, $m_{PS}/m_V \approx 0.63$) available on ILDG/JLDG
- $\mathbf{v} T > 0$ by fixed-scale approach, WHOT-QCD config.($32^3 \times Nt$, Nt = 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16)
- \mathbf{v} gauge measurements at every config.
- \blacksquare quark measurements every 10/5 config's (T=/>0), using a noisy estimator method.
- \Box continuum extrapolation => next step study

T (MeV)	$T/T_{\rm rec}$	N _t	$t_{1/2}$	gauge confs.
	-/-pc	56	$\frac{21/2}{24.5}$	650
174	0.02	16	24.0	1440
174	0.92	10	0	1440
199	1.05	14	6.125	1270
232	1.22	12	4.5	1290
279	1.47	10	3.125	780
348	1.83	8	2	510
464	2.44	6	1.125	500
697	3.67	4	0.5	700
	•			

 $T_{\rm pc} = 190 \text{ MeV}$ assumed



WHOT-QCD, Phys.Rev.D85, 094508 (2012)

Gauge and Quark Flows

VEV(T = 0)

We adopt the simplest one suggested by Lüscher.

original gauge field at t = 0Gauge flow: standard Wilson flow $\partial_t B_\mu(t,x) = D_\nu G_{\nu\mu}(t,x), \qquad B_\mu(t=0,x) = A_\mu(x)$ $G_{\mu\nu}(t,x) = \partial_{\mu}B_{\nu}(t,x) - \partial_{\nu}B_{\mu}(t,x) + [B_{\mu}(t,x), B_{\nu}(t,x)],$ $D_{\nu}G_{\nu\mu}(t,x) = \partial_{\nu}G_{\nu\mu}(t,x) + [B_{\nu}(t,x), G_{\nu\mu}(t,x)],$ original quark field at t = 0Quark flow: as suggested by Lüscher $\partial_t \chi_f(t,x) = \Delta \chi_f(t,x), \qquad \chi_f(t=0,x) = \psi_f(x),$ $\partial_t \bar{\chi}_f(t,x) = \bar{\chi}_f(t,x) \overleftarrow{\Delta}, \qquad \bar{\chi}_f(t=0,x) = \bar{\psi}_f(x),$ $\Delta \chi_f(t,x) \equiv D_\mu D_\mu \chi_f(t,x), \qquad D_\mu \chi_f(t,x) \equiv \left[\partial_\mu + B_\mu(t,x)\right] \chi_f(t,x),$ $\bar{\chi}_f(t,x)\overleftarrow{\Delta} \equiv \bar{\chi}_f(t,x)\overleftarrow{D}_\mu\overleftarrow{D}_\mu, \qquad \bar{\chi}_f(t,x)\overleftarrow{D}_\mu \equiv \bar{\chi}_f(t,x)\left[\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu - B_\mu(t,x)\right]$ only gauge fields involved Quark field renormalizattion $\chi_R(t,x) = Z_{\chi}\chi_0(t,x) \qquad Z_{\chi} = \sqrt{\varphi(t)} \qquad \varphi_f(t) \equiv \frac{-6}{(4\pi)^2 t^2 \left\langle \bar{\chi}_f(t,x) \overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \chi_f(t,x) \right\rangle_0}.$ Makino-Suzuki ('14)

No more renormalization needed for any composite op's.

$GAUGE DEFINITION \\ Q = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \int d^4 x \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F^a_{\mu\nu}(x) F^a_{\rho\sigma}(x)$

Use GF just as cooling. Extract info at large t.













We have stopped GF at $t = t_{1/2}$, but we may go further as cooling.

Results: Gauge definition



- **GF** up to $t_{1/2}$ insufficient, giving upper bounds.
- Freezing to Q=0 at T/Tc > 2.
- Small-Nt error severe for $Nt \leq 8$ (from EMT experience).

FERMION DEFINITION

 $\langle Q^2 \rangle = \frac{m^2}{N_f^2} \langle P^0 P^0 \rangle_{\text{disc}}$ Use GF as a renormalization scheme. Extract info in the $t \rightarrow 0$ limit.



Evaluate $P^0 = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_5 \psi(x)$ by GF following the <u>H. Suzuki's strategy</u>:

GF provides us with a physical (i.e. non-perturbative) renormalization scheme, which is directly calculable on the lattice in the $a \rightarrow 0$ limit, and also with other continuum regularizations, such as the dimensional regularization, too.

=> Use the finite quantities to relate lattice and continuum regularizations.

Observables related to a symmetry which is violated on lattice:





correct EMT

- I) Define P_0 by a chiral W-T identity in a continuum scheme.
- 2) Relate it with a lattice operator through finite observable at t > 0 in the $a \rightarrow 0$ limit. By the GF evolution, however, unwanted operators can mix at t > 0.
- 3) Remove unwanted contributions by $t \rightarrow 0$. Matching with conventional re. scheme can be calculated by PT near the $t \rightarrow 0$ limit.



Note: lattice artifacts of our NP-clover are $O(a^2)$.

Ideally, we remove such singularity by taking $a \rightarrow 0$ before $t \rightarrow 0$.

We instead exchange the order of $a \rightarrow 0$ and $t \rightarrow 0$ extrapolations, by removing such singularity by hand.



We see clear linear windows avoiding singular terms as well as higher order terms within the meaning full range of below $t_{1/2}$.

Results: Gauge vs fermion definition



SUMMARY

- ➤ We apply gradient flow ideas to investigate thermodynamics of (2+1)-flavor QCD. As the first test, we choose heavy ud quarks with physical s quark, on a fine lattice ($a \approx 0.07$ fm, $m_{PS}/m_V \approx 0.63$), and adopt the fixed-scale approach.
- > EMT / EoS / chiral cond. results presented by Y.Taniguchi on Monday.
- ► Topol. suscept. by gauge definition: GF as a cooling (t: large)
- > Topol. suscept. by fermion definition: GF as a renormalization scheme $(t \rightarrow 0)$
- Completely different two estimations beautifully agree with each other,
- > and reproduce T-dep. predicted by DIGA.
- ► But, note that our $m_{\pi} \sim 400$ MeV and finite *a*.
- Further study needed to complete the cont. extrapolation,
- and at lighter *m*_{ud}.

