



# Higgs production with jets @ CMS

Yacine Haddad On Behalf of the CMS Collaboration IPPP Durham : Workshop on Jet Vetoes and Jet Multiplicity Observables at the LHC

# Talk Overview



 Jets play crucial role in enhancing sensitivity in most Higgs analyses, so it is important to have a good reconstruction and modelling of the jets.

- Review of the latest results from  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ ,  $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  and  $H \rightarrow bb$  with a special focus:
  - Different methods/tools for tagging production modes using jets
- Quick overview of selected results from BSM Higgs searches.



# Jet @ CMS

Imperial College London





- PFA: Particle-Flow Algorithm Combine all the information from several sub-detectors
- Individual particles are reconstructed using the PFA and then clustered into jets
- Jet definition : PF Particle clustered Anti-kt algorithm with R=0.4



# **PileUp mitigation**

- CMS has developed and implemented various techniques: ۲
  - Timing of calorimeter @ hardware level, effective to remove out-of-time pileup.
  - Subtracting pileup contribution in average from jets and  $\bigcirc$ lepton isolation.
  - Charged Hadron Subtraction(CHS) removes charged particles  $\bigcirc$ associated with pileup vertices from reconstructed physics objects, before the jet clustering.
  - **Pileup Jet ID** : Remove jets from pileup using tracking information and jet shape combined in an boosted decision tree (BDT)



- Other methods have been studied in addition to CHS, the most  $\bigcirc$ promising one is **PileUp Per Particle Identification (PUPPI)** 
  - more details in technical proposal for the phase-II Upgrade of the CMS Detector http://cds.cern.ch/record/2020886





8 TeV

### **Overview of Higgs production modes**

Most of the channels use jets to gain sensitivity and  $\bigcirc$ to test coupling strength of certain production modes



Imperial College London



### $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Analysis strategy

- fully reconstructible Background estimation:
  - Main background = non-resonant qq→ZZ and gg→ZZ. Apply NNLO/NLO (resp NNLO/LO) QCD k-factor as a function of mzz
  - Reducible background (Z+X):
    - data-driven estimation from control regions,
       2 independent methods



- Large S/B ratio, excellent resolution, final state fully reconstructible
- Looking for  $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$  ( $\ell = \mu, e$ )
- Higgs properties:
  - Signal Strength
  - Fiducial/differential cross section measurement
- Analysis steps optimised for the new conditions @13TeV
  - Analysis relies on efficient leptons selection
  - FSR recovery and ZZ candidate selection
- Two same-flavour, opposite-sign lepton pairs
- Event Selection
- e (µ) pT > 7 (5) GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.5$  (2.4)
- Leading 2 leptons: pT > 20, 10 GeV

Imperial College

ondon

- FSR Recovery: attached to closest lepton
- Reject candidates with alternating pairing ZaZb:
- $|m_{Za} m_Z| < |m_{Z1} m_Z|$  and  $m_{Zb} < 12 \text{ GeV}$

 ${\scriptstyle \odot}$  Jets reconstructed using PF candidates, clustered by anti-k\_T R=0.4

- ${\scriptstyle \odot}$  must satisfy  $p_T>30$  GeV with  $|\eta|<4.7$
- must be isolated from lepton/FSR photon:  $\Delta R(\ell/\gamma, \mathrm{jet}) > 0.4$

#### Yacine Haddad

CMS-PAS-HIG-16-033



### $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ : VBF tagged event

CMS-PAS-HIG-16-033





### $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Production mode

### Encode angular information in matrix-element based discriminants

• A global discriminant sensitive to  $gg/qq \rightarrow 4\ell$  kinematics:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{bkg}}^{\mathrm{kin}} = \left[1 + rac{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{bkg}}^{\mathrm{q}\overline{\mathrm{q}}}(\vec{\Omega}^{\mathrm{H} 
ightarrow 4\ell} | m_{4\ell})}{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{sig}}^{\mathrm{gg}}(\vec{\Omega}^{\mathrm{H} 
ightarrow 4\ell} | m_{4\ell})}
ight]^{-1}$$

- A discriminant for each production mode topology :
  - $\odot~VBF~(D_{2jet}~\&~D_{1jet})$  ,  $D_{WH}$  ,  $~D_{ZH}$
- Define 6 event categories for each H(125) decay mode (4e, 4µ, 2e2µ):
  - VBF-2jets category: exactly 4 leptons, 2 or 3 jets (at most 1 b-tag) or at least 4 jets + High D<sub>2jets</sub> value
  - VH-hadronic category: exactly 4 leptons + 2 or 3 jets (at most 1 b-tag) or at least 4 jets + High D<sub>ZH</sub> or D<sub>WH</sub> value.
  - VH-leptonic category: ≤ 3 jets + 1 additional lepton or 1 opposite sign lepton-pair
  - ttH category : ≥4 jets (at least 1 b-tag) + 1 additional lepton
  - **VBF-1jet category :** 4 leptons + 1 jet + high D<sub>1jet</sub> value
  - Untagged category : remaining events





Expectation in [118, 130 GeV]

### $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Event selection



yields for each event category in [118, 130] GeV

Imperial College London

Category	Untagged	VBF-1j	VBF-2j	VH-lept.	VH-hadr.	tīH	Total
$q \tilde{q} \rightarrow Z Z$	7.27	0.82	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.01	8.36
$gg \rightarrow ZZ$	0.62	0.11	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.77
Z + X	3.83	0.32	0.24	0.05	0.08	0.10	4.64
Sum of backgrounds	11.73	1.25	0.32	0.16	0.20	0.11	13.77
Signal ( $m_{\rm H} = 125  {\rm GeV}$ )	15.51	3.62	1.45	0.14	0.70	0.19	21.61
Total expected	27.24	4.87	1.77	0.30	0.90	0.30	35.38
Observed	29	1	2	0	1	0	33

 Good data/MC in the full m<sub>41</sub> range and for the 3 final states (4e, 4µ, 2e2µ)

### $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ : Signal extraction



p-value, mass, and signal strength extracted using a
 2D likelihood fit defined by:

- $\mathcal{L}_{2D}(m_{4l}, \mathcal{D}_{bkg}^{kin}) = \mathcal{L}(m_{4l})\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{D}_{bkg}^{kin}|m_{4l})$
- in 3 final states x 6 categories.
- Minimum of p-value at 124.3 GeV with 6.4σ obs.
   (6.3σ exp.) significance
- 6.2σ obs. (6.5σ exp.) at m<sub>H</sub>=125.09 GeV (Run1 LHC average)

Channel	4e	$4\mu$	2e2µ	$4\ell$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZZ$	$1.37\substack{+0.16 \\ -0.15}$	$3.09^{+0.27}_{-0.27}$	$3.90^{+0.46}_{-0.43}$	$8.36^{+0.81}_{-0.79}$
$gg \to ZZ$	$0.16\substack{+0.03\\-0.03}$	$0.32\substack{+0.05\\-0.05}$	$0.30^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$0.77^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$
Z + X	$0.90^{+0.38}_{-0.37}$	$1.40\substack{+0.52\\-0.51}$	$2.34^{+0.91}_{-0.89}$	$4.64^{+1.11}_{-1.09}$
Sum of backgrounds	$2.42_{-0.40}^{+0.42}$	$4.81\substack{+0.59\\-0.59}$	$6.54^{+1.03}_{-1.00}$	$13.77^{+1.41}_{-1.38}$
Signal ( $m_{\rm H} = 125 {\rm GeV}$ )	$3.90^{+0.53}_{-0.54}$	$7.92\substack{+0.88\-0.93}$	$9.80^{+1.34}_{-1.36}$	$21.61^{+2.63}_{-2.71}$
Total expected	$6.32\substack{+0.78\\-0.76}$	$12.73^{+1.21}_{-1.24}$	$16.34^{+1.92}_{-1.90}$	$35.38^{+3.43}_{-3.45}$
Observed	5	12	16	33



CMS-PAS-HIG-16-033

### $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ : H(125) production modes



### $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ : fiducial cross-section

e space	
$p_T > 20 \text{ GeV}$	
$p_{\rm T} > 10  {\rm GeV}$	
$p_{\rm T} > 7(5)  {\rm GeV}$	$\bigcirc$
$ \eta  < 2.5(2.4)$	$\cup$
$< 0.4 \cdot p_{\mathrm{T}}$	
satisfy criteria above	
$40 \text{ GeV} < m_{Z_1} < 120 \text{ GeV}$	
$12 \text{ GeV} < m_{Z_2} < 120 \text{ GeV}$	
$\Delta R(\ell_i, \ell_j) > 0.02$ for any $i \neq j$	(
$m_{\ell^+\ell'^-} > 4 \text{GeV}$	
$105 { m GeV} < m_{4\ell} < 140 { m GeV}$	
	$\begin{array}{l} p_{\rm T} > 20~{\rm GeV} \\ p_{\rm T} > 10~{\rm GeV} \\ p_{\rm T} > 7(5)~{\rm GeV} \\  \eta  < 2.5(2.4) \\ < 0.4 \cdot p_{\rm T} \\ \end{array}$ satisfy criteria above $40~{\rm GeV} < m_{Z_1} < 120~{\rm GeV} \\ 12~{\rm GeV} < m_{Z_2} < 120~{\rm GeV} \\ 12~{\rm GeV} < m_{Z_2} < 120~{\rm GeV} \\ 12~{\rm GeV} < m_{Z_2} < 120~{\rm GeV} \\ 10~{\rm GeV} < m_{4\ell} < 140~{\rm GeV} \\ \end{array}$

CMS-PAS-HIG-16-033

Maximum likelihood fit to the uncategorised m<sub>41</sub> distribution, assuming  $m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}$ 

$$\sigma_{\rm fid.} = 2.29^{+0.74}_{-0.64}({\rm stat.})^{+0.30}_{-0.23}({\rm sys.})^{+0.01}_{-0.05}({\rm model~dep.})~{\rm fb}$$



### $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ : differential cross-section

CMS-PAS-HIG-16-033





### $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$ : differential cross-section

CMS-PAS-HIG-16-033





# $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : analysis strategy



Good precision mass measurement

Imperial College London

$$m_{\gamma\gamma}^2 = E_{\gamma_1} E_{\gamma_2} (1 - \cos \alpha)$$

 Requires a good energy reconstruction and correct vertex assignment CMS-HIG-16-020

- ${ullet}$  Looking for a small signal in a large falling background
- Background composed by :
  - γ-γ (irreductible) ~ 70%
  - γ-jet (reducible) ~ 30%
  - jet-jet (reducible) < 1%
- General strategy: categorise events by resolution and production topology



## $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : categorisation

### ttH (leptonic)

- (sub-)lead-photon pT/myy >1/2(1/4), at least one lepton ( $\ell = \mu, e$ ) away from Z peak
- at least two jets with pT>25GeV,  $|\eta|$ <2.5

• at least one of the jet is b-tag

### ttH (Hadronic)

- at least two jets with pT>25GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.5$
- no lepton + at least 5 jets ( $\geq 1$  b-tag)

Yacine Haddad

e.

## $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : categorisation

### ttH (leptonic )

- (sub-)lead-photon pT/m<sub>yy</sub> >1/2(1/4), at least one lepton ( $\ell = \mu, e$ ) away from Z peak
- at least two jets with pT>25GeV,  $|\eta| < 2.5$
- at least one of the jet is b-tag

### ttH (Hadronic)

- at least two jets with  $p_T>25GeV$ ,  $|\eta|<2.5$
- no lepton + at least 5 jets ( $\geq 1$  b-tag)

### VBF (0-1)

- $\odot$  Require at least 2 jets with  $p_{T1}>30GeV,\,p_{T2}>20$  GeV,  $|\eta|<4.7,\,m_{jj}>250$  GeV
- A diphoton pair with (sub)lead  $p_T/m_{\chi\chi} > 1/2(1/4)$
- Construct a BDT to identify VBF dijet-like events using:
  - $p_T/m_{\chi\chi}$  of both photons,  $p_T$  of both jets,  $m_{jj}$ ,  $\Delta \eta_{jj}$ , Zeppenfeld variable,  $\Delta \phi(jj,\chi\chi)$
- Final VBF classification combines dijet BDT with BDT estimating diphoton quality (see next slide)
- $\odot$  2 VBF categories are then defined by sensitivity (VBF tag 0-1)



# $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : categorisation

- Remaining events fall into the untagged category
- Construct MVA to select diphoton pairs with signal like kinematics, high photon ID score and good mass resolution
- Split events into categories based on output of classifier exploiting S/B ratios and mass resolution
- 4 untagged categories → 8 nonoverlapping categories in total



(0-3)**Jntagged** 





# $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : Signal extraction

**CMS-HIG-16-020** 



- Maximum observed significance is 6.1 o at 126.0 GeV
- Best-fit signal strength  $\hat{\sigma}/\sigma_{SM} = 0.95^{+0.21}_{-0.19} = 0.95 \pm 0.17(stat.)^{+0.08}_{-0.05}(theo.)^{+0.10}_{-0.07}(syst.)$ ٠

### Imperial College ondon

٠

## $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : Production modifier

CMS-HIG-16-020

• Best fit signal strength split into boson and fermionic production modes:

 $\hat{\mu}_{VBF,VH} = 1.59^{+0.73}_{-0.45}$  and  $\hat{\mu}_{ggH,t\bar{t}H} = 0.80^{+0.14}_{-0.18}$ 

Also split the signal strength by individual production mode



# $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ : Fiducial cross section

 Fiducial cross section measured profiling m<sub>H</sub>:

 $\hat{\sigma}_{fid} = 69^{+16}_{-22}$ (stat.)<sup>+8</sup><sub>-6</sub>(syst.)fb

• Theoretical prediction for m\_{\rm H}=125.09~{\rm GeV}  $\sigma^{th.}_{fid}=73.8\pm3.8{\rm fb}$ 



- Differential XS measurement done in Run1 for H+jets
- Similar results @13TeV are in preparation



# VBF H→bb : Analysis strategy

CMS-HIG-16-003

- Signal signature:
  - 2 b-jets + 2 forward VBF jets with:
    - $\odot$  large separation in  $\eta$
    - Iarge di-jet invariant mass
  - Main background : Multi-jet QCD
- Analysis separated into two parts from complementary trigger strategies

	SingleB	DoubleB	
Trigger	one b-tagged jet	two b-tagged jets	
jets <i>p</i> <sub>T</sub>	$p_{\rm T}^{1,2,3,4} > 92,76,64,30 { m GeV}$		
jets $ \eta $		<4.7	
b tag	no cut	two jets with CSV>0.5	
$\Delta \phi_{ m bb}$	<1.6 radians	<2.4 radians	
	$m_{\rm qq} > 460  {\rm GeV}$	$m_{\rm qq} > 200 { m ~GeV}$	
VBF topology			
	$ \Delta \eta_{ m qq}  > 4.1$	$ \Delta\eta_{ m qq} >1.2$	
Veto	None	Events that belong to Single	

Imperial College

ondon

- Construct a MVA discriminant based on:
  - 1 or 2 b-tagged jets
  - VBF di-jet signature (tighter selection for 1 b-jet)
  - PU rejecting with "soft activity" jet counting



# H→bb : Quark/Gluon Tagger

#### CMS PAS JME-13-002



- At a given energy a gluon jet will, on average
  - have a higher multiplicity
  - be angularly wider

Imperial College

ondon

- have more uniform energy fragmentation
- **Different variables** have been studied  $\rightarrow$  3 chosen



- Neutral multiplicity
- Total multiplicity

#### Jet shape variables

- $\odot~$  RMS of PF candidate in  $\eta-\varphi$  plan
- Major axis in η-φ
- Minor axis in η-φ

#### **Energy variables**

- Pull
- R, energy fraction carried by the leading consistent
   Fragmentation variable : P<sub>T</sub><sup>D</sup>

#### Yacine Haddad



quark jet

gluon jet



## H→bb : Quark/Gluon Tagger



 $\odot$  Construct a likelihood discriminant (up to  $\eta$ =4.7) based on the chosen of three variables

• Extracted on di-jet sample. Applied also on the Z+jet sample for validation

Imperial College London

## **VBF H→bb** : Mass resolution

CMS-HIG-16-003





## **VBF H→bb : event categories**

CMS-HIG-16-003

- All the discriminating variables (VBF kinematics + jet properties ) are combined by means of a BDT:
  - There is a separate training for 1 or two b-tagged categories

ondon

- Categories defined in ranges of BDT output, chosen to maximise significance (similar to  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ ):
  - Four (three) from the single (double) b-jet : seven categories in total
- QCD background template is extracted from a fit on the mbb in the unused region, then a transfer correction is applied to the shape per category



## VBF H→bb :Run1+2015 Data

CMS-HIG-16-003

 Using 2.3 fb-1 of 2015 Data @ 13TeV, the analysis shows a deficit of data at m<sub>bb</sub>=125 GeV

$$\mu_{2015} = -3.7^{+2.4}_{-2.5}$$

 Combined with run-1 analysis, the signal strength is :

 $\mu_{\rm Run1+2015} = 1.3^{+1.2}_{-1.1}$ 

 $\odot$  With

$$\mu_{\rm Run1} = 2.8^{+1.6}_{-1.4}$$





# HH→bbγγ



- $\bullet$  Large cross section from bb, good resolution from  $\chi\chi$
- Main background :
  - SM  $\chi\chi$  + jets production

Imperial College

ondon

- SM  $\gamma$  + jets (1 jet identified as a photon)
- QCD Mutijet (two jets identified as photons)

#### •Two strategies :

• Resonant low mass ( $m_X < 500$ GeV) : two categories based o b-tag, signal extracted using 2D unbinned fit in  $m_{ii}$  and  $m_{\chi\chi}$ 

Resonant High mass (>500GeV) : same as low mass but 1 category







CMS-PAS-HIG-16-032

- Other analyses exploring double Higgs production ●hh→bbbb: CMS-PAS-HIG-16-002 CMS-PAS-HIG-16-026
  - ●hh→bbWW: CMS-PAS-HIG-16-011 CMS-PAS-HIG-16-024
  - ●hh→ $bb\tau\tau$ : CMS-PAS-HIG-16-028 CMS-PAS-HIG-16-029

Yacine Haddad

M(ii) [GeV]

# **VBF charged Higgs to WZ**



### Conclusion

- Jets play an important role improving analyses sensitivity and hunting Higgs production modes
- Coupling and production cross section measurements can be then measured
  - Fiducial measurements of jet cross sections using RunII have started to emerge from CMS
  - Fiducial cross section + differential measurement from 4ℓ analysis (Future measurements are in preparation)
- Review of different methods for tagging techniques used in CMS + latest CMS Higgs results @13TeV



### **Extra slides**



# H→γγ : photons

- Electromagnetic calorimeter response:
  - corrected through time
  - inter-calibration in  $\eta/\phi$

Imperial College

ondon

- adjustment of absolute scale
- Energy and its uncertainty corrected for local and global shower containment:
  - regression targeting Etrue/Ereco
- Scale/Resolution corrected over time using Z→ee peak as reference
- Corrected energy and resolution used in the analysis
- MVA based photon ID classifier to differentiate between prompt and fake photons
  - Uses shower shape variables, PF isolations, photon's kinematics and median energy density (ρ)



## **Envelope method**

- For background parameterisation, several families functions might provide decent fits to a background distribution → Model Choice problem.
- The different choices of function can lead to different results! So which to choose?
- Common solutions to this:
  - Chose a model based (sometime) on some physics motivation.
  - Look at how different your results would be and assign a systematic uncertainty.
    - how do we choose the model?
    - How do we quote the result?
    - How do we correctly assign a systematic for any choices we made?





Yacine Haddad, Pheno 2016 Pittsburgh

### **Envelope method**

- The envelope method seeks to provide some answers to these questions.
- Scan -2NLL of parameter of interest whilst profiling some set of nuisance parameters.
- If the true values of nuisances were known perfectly, curve would be much narrower (equivalent to stat-only uncertainty). Eg, fix nuisances to best fit values.
- Fixing nuisances to any other values give another curve, not necessarily at the minimum. Can do this for different values of background params.
- If we do sample sufficient possible values of nuisance params, the minimum envelope of all curves begins to approximate the original -2NLL !
- In principle we can do this also for discrete nuisances (like choice of background function, even if the different functions has different numbers of parameters!)





Yacine Haddad, Pheno 2016 Pittsburgh

## **Envelope method**

- In SM Hgg example: pick µ as POI.
  - Choices which are similar shouldn't effect our result (Laurent and Power Law)
  - Choices which are poor should have little impact (Polynomial)
  - Choices which seem equally valid but disagree should increase our uncertainty (Exponential)





- Take the minimum of all the curves as a function of µ (the envelope). If more than one function contributes then that envelope is wider than any of the individual curves → parameter uncertainty is increased
- No explicit model choice has to be made, since this choice is dynamic in the scan .
- Result: Best fit value, confidence interval and systematic for model choice!





Yacine Haddad, Pheno 2016 Pittsburgh

# Differential XS from Runl: H(yy)





# Soft activity pileUp mitigation

### ● VBF signal events: electroweak production of jets → no QCD colour exchanged: ● rapidity gap of suppressed activity between the two VBF tagging jets ● only little badronic activity (soft)

• only little hadronic activity(soft)

### • Additional tracks:

satisfy the high purity quality requirements and pT > 300 MeV
are not associated with any of the four leading PF jets in the event
are not in the region between the two best b-tagged jets

### • Clustered into AK4 soft TrackJets

 $\odot$  use only multiplicity of soft track jets with pT > 5 GeV with good Data/MC agreement



# Differential XS from Runl: H(yy)





### A word about PileUp Per Particle Identification



- Transforms the distribution of *a* in a weight (1 for particle from LV, 0 for particles from PU)
- This weight can be also defined at large rapidities where ٠ there is no coverage from the tracker.
- Then, jet reconstruction algorithm can run on the particles with the weight (but PUPPI is not only for jet.).

### Imperial College .ondon

10<sup>-3</sup>

charged LV charged PU

neutrals LV ······ neutrals PU

Particles

from LV

10

neutrals LV

neutrals PU

0.8

weight ( $\alpha_i^F$ )

0.6

 $\alpha_i^F$ 

5

0.4

0.2

### $HH \rightarrow bb\tau\tau$



Intermediate BR, fully reconstructed final state:

 $\odot$  1H+1 isolated leptons (e, ,H)+2 b-jets in the final state

•3 final states:  $e\tau_H$ ,  $\mu\tau_H$ ,  $\tau_H\tau_H$ 

### Main backgrounds: tt (from MC), mutijet QCD (from data in control regions)

Resonant search:

.ondon

•Limit extraction on kinematic fit of the 4-body invariant mass:





Non-resonant analysis:

- kinematic BDT discriminant to reduce tt (uses only angular information)
- visible mass as final variable

• Only results on 2016 data shown.

Results with 2015 data:

- CMS-PAS-HIG-012