# Higgs-boson: from discontraction discontraction and the second se

Bill Murray Warwick University / RAL, STFC bill.murray@cern.ch

3<sup>rd</sup> November 2016

The Higgs discovery What do we know today? What might the future hold?

ience & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory W. Murray 2

UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK

Associated

(C)

a

gluon fusion

**VBF** 

WH

ZH

**Higgs production** 



 The three most common modes - Others also exist: ttH, tH, bbH... Gluon fusion dominates rate ttH - Top loop (+ BSM?) Vector boson fusion/associated Also used to tag signal - Improves the purity ttH: coming soon





WARWICK

### Higgs decay modes used

### $\bullet H \rightarrow ZZ$

- $-ZZ \rightarrow IIII$ : Golden mode
- $ZZ \rightarrow IIvv$ : Good High mass -  $ZZ \rightarrow IIbb$ : Also high-mass

### •H → WW

- WW  $\rightarrow$  lvlv: high rate,
- WW  $\rightarrow$  lvqq: highest rate  $\rightarrow H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ 
  - Rare, best for low mass
- eH → ττ
  - Uses VBF, low mass
- •H → bb
  - ttH, WH, ZH: need production tag





WARWICK

### **2016 LHC ran pp excellently**





WARWICK

### **Higgs cross-sections**

✓~25fb<sup>-1</sup> at 7/8TeV allowed the discovery And a suit of measurements •~40fb<sup>-1</sup> at 13 TeV will go much deeper With 100fb<sup>-1</sup> expected in run 2 But so far results from ~15fb<sup>-1</sup>

Cross- sections	8 TeV fb	13 TeV fb	Ratio	
ggH	21400	48500	2.3	
VBF	1600	3780	2.4	
WH	700	1370	2.0	
ZH	420	880	2.1	
ttH	133	506	3.8	
Yields	7/8 TeV	13 TeV	Total	
Yields ggH	7/8 TeV 0.5M	13 TeV 1.9M	Total 2.4M	
Yields ggH VBF	7/8 TeV 0.5M 40000	13 TeV 1.9M 150000	Total 2.4M 190000	
Yields ggH VBF WH	7/8 TeV 0.5M 40000 17000	13 TeV 1.9M 150000 55000	Total 2.4M 190000 70000	
Yields ggH VBF WH ZH	7/8 TeV 0.5M 40000 17000	13 TeV 1.9M 150000 55000 35000	Total 2.4M 190000 70000 45000	



WARWICK

### **Higgs measurements in a slide**

Discovery in 2012 via γγ and ZZ decays
WW was soon confirmed
ττ only via ATLAS+CMS combination
Other decay modes are not proven.
Production dominated by gluon fusion
VBF also seen a 5σ in combination
Spin/parity assessed in all observed channels
Results strongly prefer 0+ over any alternative





# Run 1 Higgs

 A lot of our knowledge comes from Run 1 results
 Many of the SM results are seen through the prism of ATLAS+CMS joint papers

- Mass measurement
- Coupling measurement
- I think it is significant that there is no combined spin/parity study





# **The Higgs mass**

# Measured well in both the discovery modes Results from ATLAS and CMS in ZZ and yy combined:



•The last unknown of the SM. Now known to 0.2%





### Sensitivity

 Measured error over expected signal rate Cyan or brighter has error below 50%







### **Observed**

 Observed pattern matches expected pretty well •With less bb than anticipated •and some WW excesses



WARWICK

### Sigma/br factorization assumed

 Given the table of cross-sections for various process Assume one particle then production/decay factorize •Use  $ggF \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$  as a reference Good agreement with SM

Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

B<sup>bb</sup>/B<sup>WW</sup> slight tension







### **More model-dependent**

### LHC run 1 found proof of

- 4 decay modes (ZZ, $\gamma\gamma$ ,WW and  $\tau\tau$ ) prod. assumed
- 2 production mechanisms (ggH,VBF) decay assumed





WARWICK

### **Interactions v mass**

 Can assume the SM structure Fit interactions of t, Z, W, b, τ&μ Some are not fully established But coupling dependence on mass is clear Thats what the Higgs does!





WARWICK

### **Improved frameworks**

 The previous slides were either rather naively experimental or based on the κ framework – scaling couplings

- These approaches do not yield consistent theories
- Plus they do not allow different interaction kinematics.
- A lot of discussion & work is going into EFTs and Pseudo-observables
  - Yellow report 4 from the LHC Higgs XS WG discusses
  - But a consensus has not yet emerged

•Experiments will continue with at least κ for run 2.





### Run 2

### Results emerging well

- But not 'evenly' between experiments
- Different highlights from the 2 groups

#### Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

W. Murray 16



 $H \rightarrow yy$ 





One of the discovery channels - Its back!
 6σ in CMS

•How well can background be ultimately estimated?





### **Production of H** → **yy**





Some sensitivity to production modes
This will just keep getting better with data





 $H \rightarrow IIII$ 



 Signal rate extracted using also kinematic information

### WARWICK

**Cross-section evolution** 







### $H \rightarrow WW$

 Final state with dileptons is OK to trigger and has high signal rate

•But large backgrounds & no peak complicate it:

- WW
- tt
- Drell-Yan
- Fake leptons
- CMS analysis for 2015 is released
  µ=0.3±0.5
  Sensitivity at Run 1 levels needs work







### H → bb

- • $H \rightarrow bb$  has not been definitively observed
  - Target for run 2!
- VH is most sensitive channel
  - OI,  $Z \rightarrow VV$ ,
  - Most sensitive Highest rate

1I, W→Iv Highest

2I, Z → II Purest







### $H \rightarrow bb$

### •This was low in 2012

- 2.6σ seen, 3.7σ expec.
- C/f Tevatron
  - 3σ obs, 1.9σ exp
- •Low again in 2016
  - No CMS VH result yet



### •CMS do have VBF H $\rightarrow$ bb: $\mu$ =1.3±1.1

- Sensitivity much below VH but from 2.3fb<sup>-1</sup> only
- Much improved c/f run 1 versio





ttH

### •ttH not directly observed in Run 1

- Though t presence in ggH loop was inferred
- But could be affected by BSM particles
- But cross-section grows x3.9 with

energy

- And there was a small excess in rate
   So both experiments chasing it
  - ttH,  $H \rightarrow bb$
  - ttH ,H  $\rightarrow$  WW,  $\tau\tau$ , ZZ
  - ttH,  $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  (already mentioned)







300000

### ttH, H → bb

8 fermion state with 4 b quarks
ATLAS rates in bins of nos of jet and b-jets are below
Model uncertainties fit to data







### **Top modelling**

•Lots of work on modeling of top quark behaviour

- Both theoretically and experimentally
- Overall we have to be impressed at how good it is
   But with 40M top this year already it is challenging
   ATLAS ttH in 2016 showed about a factor 1.5 mismodelling of event numbers in 6j4b
  - C/f Powheg+Pythia 6 (For CMS MC agreed better)
- •890 expected (80% tt+≥1b), 1285 observed
  - C/f 45 signal expected!
  - Was a factor 2 in 2015 version...
  - ttbb and ttcc cross-section prediction were removed
    - Fitted from data: ttbb 1.33±0.18 (c/f 3% error on bin 6j4b)
    - While trusting NNLO calculations of shapes & uncertainties





ttH, H → bb





Single lepton, 6j, 4b is most sensitive channel
Complex analyses try to separate s from b
Post fit results look OK, but modelling crucial





### ttH, H → bb



CMS analysis is 2015 only:
ATLAS systematic nearly double statistical
With 40fb<sup>-1</sup> this will need great care





### ttH, H → leptons

### •Various signatures, all including b jets.

- 3 leptons
- same-sign leptons shown below
- Other (tau+l(l), IIII are weaker)
   ATLAS count, CMS fit









### **Fake lepton rates**

Compare ATLAS and CMS ttH multilepton search

- Using SS dilepton as example
- CMS has lower lepton thresholds (10,25) c/f ATLAS (25,25)
- CMS has lower jet thresholds 4 c/f ATLAS 5
- CMS dedicated isolation BDT trained for ttH
- Result is CMS has double sig and background
  - Though s/b slightly better in ATLAS
- Each find fake leptons are 50% of background
  - Double the signal size
  - Predicted to <35 or 30-50%</li>
    - Adjacent regions or inclusive jets
    - Constrained factor 2 in CMS fit

Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory WARWICK

### ttH, H → leptons results





THE UNIVERSITY OF

WAR

### **Comparisons of modes: 8 v 13**



Th multilepton and bb modes have similar errors
While γγ improved a factor 2 on a smaller dataset
The combination is identical!





H → µµ

Most promising second-generation fermion
Genuine sensitivity to SM by end of Run 2?

Rate H → yy / 10
Use Higgs production:
ggF in high pt/low pt and barrel/central

VBF - Plot right

•µ=-2.3±2.7

With 2012: µ=-1.5±2.3
No hint yet...





WARWICK

### **Invisible Higgs decay**



Nice CMS paper on invisible higgs
VBF, Z→II, V→qq, ggH
No sign of signal
UL on Br of 24% (23% expected)





WARWICK

# **Invisible Higgs decay**

 •8 TeV result still dominates sensitivity
 •But 13 TeV somehow shifts observed close to expected
 •UL on Br of 24% (23% expected)





WARWICK

### **More exotic Higgs**

 Rare decays Heavy Higgs • WW, ZZ, bb, yy Others tH → bb Charged Higgs τν, WZ •Η/Α → ττ Higgs pair production bbtt, bbWW





 $H/A \rightarrow \tau \tau$ 



ATLAS analysis focused on high mass
Ih and hh channels only
New high-MET channel (centre)
No serious excess observed





### $H/A \rightarrow \tau \tau$ MSSM exclusions



• hMSSM stats to show sensitivity up to  $2m_{_{t}}$  for all tan $\beta$ 



WARWICK

### What comes next?





### Sensitivity

 Measured error over expected signal rate Cyan or brighter has error below 50%







### **Sensitivity scaled 2**

•25fb-1 8TeV •Plus 39 fb-1 at 13 TeV Quadruple the signal yield Assume errors half! •Improve: • bb ??

ttH yy





WARWICK

# **Sensitivity scaled 3**

Run 2 optimistic hope: ggF and **VBF** well measured Real sensitivity in many crossmodes too



#### Science & Technology Facilities Council Rutherford Appleton Laboratory

W. Murray 42

WARWICK

# The incomplete theory:

Mass (pre-LHC problem!)

- Without Higgs particles are massless
   Gravity
  - There is no gravity in this model
- Neutrino Mass



- Neutrinos have mass but how? We do not know
   Dark matter
- Most matter in the Universe is something unknown
   Dark energy
- An unknown force accelerates the Universe expansion
   Matter-antimatter asymetry
  - Where did the antimatter go after the big bang?
- The naturalness problem
  - I will explain this later



WARWICK

### Here is an event with 2 muons

Most particles are stopped at the green calorimeter
The two muons get right to the outside
Muons are very penetrating

- They are heavy copies of electrons
   They both come from the same collision
  - Are they connected?







### The end?

Yes, we found a new particle...
But why do we identify it with the Higgs boson?
And maybe the SM Higgs?



WARWICK

### What have we learnt?

### LHC run 1 found proof of

- 4 decay modes (ZZ,γγ,WW and ττ)
- 2 production mechanisms (ggH,VBF)







# H to ZZ and H to yy

•The measured  $H \rightarrow ZZ$  rate is about  $10xH \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ 

- After allowing for Z→II Br
- But the Z is massive, so harder to make
- So HZZ must be a powerful interaction
- •We know the Z interacts with weak charge
  - Just like the photon does with EM charge
- HZZ strength shows the H must be weak charged
  - But Z is neutral (Charge and weak charge)
  - So in  $H \rightarrow ZZ$  where does the charge go?





WARWICK

# H to ZZ and H to yy

•The measured  $H \rightarrow ZZ$  rate is about  $10xH \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ 

- After allowing for Z→II Br
- But the Z is massive, so harder to make
- So HZZ must be a powerful interaction
- •We know the Z interacts with weak charge
  - Just like the photon does with EM charge
- HZZ strength shows the H must be weak charged
  - But Z is neutral (Charge and weak charge)
  - So in  $H \rightarrow ZZ$  where does the charge go?
- It is really a 4-point coupling
  - One leg 'grounded' in the vacuum
- The ZZ decay needs vacuum help
  - Absorbing a (weak) charge!
- This is evidence the BEH field exists







### H spin

Higgs is predicted to be scalar boson: zpin 0, parity symmetric
 Study the spin of a particle through angular correlations

- A spinless particle has no preferred direction
- A spinning paticle can spin about an axis
   Also conservation of angular momentum means the spins of the daughters add up (vectorially) to the parent
- So if daughters (WW, ZZ) decay there are correlations in this
   Spin analysis done by comparing models
- See which models fit data best.
  Spin/parity 0<sup>+</sup> always best fit
  0<sup>-</sup> is exclude >99% CL
- •1<sup>+</sup> and 1<sup>-</sup> are also strongly excluded
- MANY (~20) spin 2 models tried all excluded
- But actually no complete proof it is not spin 2.
   General acceptance that this is a spin 0 object







### OK, so what?

### •Well...lots

- Does it interact with matter, or only forces?
- Is it a Higgs
- Is it the Higgs
- Does it interact with mass?
- Does it explain the matter/anti-matter asymmetry?
- Does it interact with Dark Matter?
- But I stick to two questions:
  - The Brout-Englert-Higgs field
  - Naturalness



WARWICK

# What about the Higgs field?

The Higgs mechanism needs the field filling space
This is neither matter nor particle: something new
Actually reminiscent of the 'luminoferous ether'

- But a fully relativistic version
- Unlike light, you turn it off and it is still there
- ~2 Higgs bosons / fm<sup>3</sup>
- •The density of the field is cosmologically ridiculous
  - It is 120 orders of magnitude larger than dark energy
  - Remember: we don't have a quantum theory of gravity
- So do we really expect you to believe its there?

• Well, there was the  $H \rightarrow ZZ$  decay...



WARWICK

# **Measure Higgs self-interaction?**

Need to produce 2 Higgs bosons

• The LHC is the only machine on earth with a chance

- They are much rarer than making one Higgs
  - And we still need to recognise them
- The best Higgs modes: γγ and ZZ → IIII have BR of 0.002 and 0.0002 respectively
  - If we want HH → (γγ)(γγ) we can make one by 2035!!
     Not enough to measure
  - So we need to try to use more abundant modes
  - e.g.  $HH \rightarrow \gamma\gamma bb$  has 300 expected events
    - Tough due to backgrounds, but maybe

•This is one of the major goals for the LHC by 2035

We do need to study this new aspect of the Universe





### **HL-LHC**

There are many physics motivations for HL-LHC

Three seem to dominate to me

- Extended searches for new particles to higher energies
- Extended searches for new particles produced more rarely
- Accurate precision measurements
  - Exemplified by Higgs couplings



WARWICK

# **HL-LHC Higgs couplings**

- The projected precision with which Higgs boson couplings can be measured by ATLAS with 300 or 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- The solid bars excluded theory errors – hashed included them
- Hard to predict their size
  But 7 decays can be studied to 10-30%, and productions too
  - Sensitive to new physics

**ATLAS** Simulation Preliminary  $\sqrt{s} = 14 \text{ TeV}: \left[ \text{Ldt} = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1} ; \right] \text{Ldt} = 3000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ 





WARWICK

### What do we see at 13 TeV?

# Public results only from O(3fb<sup>-1</sup>) from 2015 Higgs is still there...just

Here CMS examples; ATLAS similar





WARWICK

2012

### What comes next?

We have found a Higgs boson •This confirms a 'Higgs Field' filling space Unlike light, you turn it off and it persists But it is much denser than lead... 1964 This is not like matter, not like a force Breaking Newton's 1730, description It is a Higgs field, something new. Now we need to understand it LHC is working excellently At 13TeV and higher collision rate We will measure at least 7 decay modes And perhaps di-Higgs production. Great hopes of finding something else too Maybe the yy is it?

#### Standard Model Production Cross Section Measurements

Status: March 2015

