The Sun as a Laboratory for Electromagnetic Dipole Dark Matter

Ben Geytenbeek 12

with: Anthony Williams ² Martin White ² Soumya Rao ² Aaron Vincent ³ Pat Scott ⁴ Aldo Serenelli ⁵

 $^1\text{University}$ of Cambridge \cdot $^2\text{University}$ of Adelaide

 $^{3}\text{Durham}$ University \cdot $^{4}\text{Imperial}$ College London \cdot $^{5}\text{Universitat}$ Autònoma de Barcelona

YTF9 Durham, January 2017





Outline

Introduction

- 2 Solar Dark Matter
- Oipolar Dark Matter
- Implementing Dipole Moment Dark Matter in the Sun
- 5 Results



Introduction

- Dark Matter (DM) is well documented on galactic and cosmological scales
- Direct Detection of particle DM remains elusive and/or controversial
- Where else can we look?
- Our understanding of the Sun has discrepancies where DM may be a solution

Sound Speed Profile

Best measurements of the solar interior are from helioseismology, the study of pressure wave propagation in the Sun

- Can measure speed of sound as rate of pressure wave propagation, depends on temperature and elemental abundances
- Models and experiment disagree by up to 5σ
- Core is "too hot", Radiation zone is "too cold"
- Need additional energy transport mechanism?



Vanilla Dark Matter

Dark matter is a candidate solution

- Particles get trapped in a 'halo' around the sun
- Collisions with nuclei can transfer energy efficiently

However

- Early attempts considered vanilla constant-cross section DM
- Tendency to over-correct in the core, ruining neutrino flux
- Poor resolution of parameter space implemented



Momentum and Velocity dependence

 Vincent, Serenelli & Scott (2015) investigated q and v dependent cross sections

$$\sigma \propto q^{-2}, q^2 \text{ or } q^4$$
 (1
 $\sigma \propto v^{-2}, v^2 \text{ or } v^4$ (2

- Discrepancies reduced to 2σ or less
- See arXiv:1311.2074, arXiv:1411.6626, arXiv:1504.04378 and arXiv:1605.06502 for details



Image reproduced from arXiv:1411.6626

Motivation for dipolar dark matter

Electromagnetic dipole dark matter has momentum and velocity dependent cross sections

- Standard Model analogies:
 - Protons, neutrons & electrons have magnetic dipole moments
 - Neutrons are neutral charge, but are composite particles
 - Electrons are point particles with dipole moments
 - Even neutrinos are predicted to have magnetic dipoles due to loop corrections



Types of dipoles

We consider 3 types of dipoles:

• Electric dipole

$$H = -\mathcal{D}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{\sigma} \tag{3}$$

Magnetic dipole

$$H = -\mu_{\chi} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \tag{4}$$

• Anapole $g_{\vec{l},\vec{r}}$

$$H = -\frac{g}{\Lambda^2} \vec{J} \cdot \vec{\sigma} \tag{5}$$

Anapoles

- Anapoles are an independent term in a multipole expansion
- A direct consequence of parity violation of the weak force
- Coupling of spin to solenoidal current
- Also called a toroidal moment
- Measured in cesium atoms in 1997



Image courtesy of Wikimedia commons

Dipole moment cross sections

Electric Dipole Moment

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq^2} = \frac{Z^2 e^2 \mathcal{D}^2}{4\pi q^2 v^2} |F_E(q^2)|^2 \tag{6}$$

Magnetic Dipole Moment

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq^2} = \frac{e^2 \mu_{\chi}^2 m_N}{2\pi v^2} \left[Z^2 \left(\frac{2m_N v^2}{q^2} - \frac{1}{2m_N} - \frac{1}{m_{\chi}} \right) |F_E(q^2)|^2 + \frac{I_N + 1}{3I_N} \frac{\lambda_N^2}{\lambda_n^2} \frac{m_N}{m_p^2} |F_M(q^2)|^2 \right]$$
(7)

Anapole Moment

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq^2} = \frac{e^2 m_N^2 g^2}{\pi v^2 \Lambda^4} \left[Z^2 \left(v^2 - \frac{q^2}{4\mu_\chi^2} \right) |F_E(q^2)|^2 + \frac{I_N + 1}{3I_N} \frac{\lambda_N^2}{\lambda_p^2} \frac{q^2}{2m_p^2} |F_M(q^2)|^2 \right]$$
(8)

Framing Questions

- How much DM is in the Sun?
 - Solve differential equation

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = C(t) - 2A(t) - E(t)$$
(9)

- How does it modify the structure of the Sun?
 - Construct formalism for energy transport

Capture

- Dark Matter in galactic halo may collide with solar nuclei
- If DM velocity w(r) is less than escape velocity v_{esc}(r, t), particle becomes gravitationally bound
- Calculating capture rate reduced to kinematics

$$C = 4\pi \int_0^{R_\odot} \int du \frac{f(u)}{u} w(r) \Omega(w)$$
 (10)

for

$$\Omega(w) = w(r) \sum_{i} n_i(r, t) \int_{E_{\min}}^{E_{\max}} \frac{d\sigma_i}{dE_R} dE_R \qquad (11)$$

• There exists a maximum capture rate, independent of σ

$$C_{\max} = C_{\max}(f(u), R_{\odot}, M_{\odot})$$
(12)

Capture



Annihilation

- DM population decreases via $\chi + \bar{\chi} \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$ or similar
- Looking to maximize DM population
- Naïve assumption: $A(t) \simeq 0$
 - asymmetric Dark Matter
 - no self-conjugate Dark Matter

Evaporation

- If DM velocity w(t) > v_{esc}(r, t), particle may longer be gravitationally bound
- Non-trivial calculation
- Effect most significant if $m_{\chi} \simeq m_N$
- Naïve assumption: $E(t) \simeq 0$
- Further analysis necessary to confirm or reject assumption, but treat all low mass results with caution

Energy Transport

- Two mechanisms for heat transport, regime depends on mean free path l_{χ} and some scale height r_{χ} :
 - $l_\chi \ll r_\chi$: Local Thermal Equilibrium increasing σ decreases energy transport
 - $l_{\chi} \gg r_{\chi}$: Knusden Transport (long range) increasing σ increases energy tranport
- Possible to calculate energy transport for a given model, but depends on functional form of $\frac{d\sigma}{da^2}$
- Separate calculation for each dipole moment model

Energy Transport



Simulations

- Perform simulations across a window in mass/dipole moment parameter space
- Numerically evolve a protostar to the Solar Age $\tau_{\odot}=$ 4.57 Gyr using DarkStec code
- Adjust input parameters to fit to present-day solar observables L_{\odot} , R_{\odot} and $(Z/X)_{\odot}$
- Compare against simulations without DM and vanilla DM (spin independent)
- Some simulations do not converge to a solution

Sound Speed Profile



Neutrino Fluxes: Be⁷



Results

Neutrino Fluxes: B⁸



Convective Zone Radius



Small Frequency Separations



Results

Total χ^2 fit



Results

Total *p*-values

Model	m_{χ}	Coupling	$p_{ m total}$
no DM	-	-	$< 10^{-10}$
SI (σ_0)	4	$5 imes 10^{-35}~{ m cm^2}$	0.04
ED (\mathcal{D})	3	$3 \times 10^{-10} e - cm$	0.21
MD (μ_{χ})	3	$9 imes 10^{-4}~\mu_{ m p}$	0.05
AN $\left(\frac{g}{\Lambda^2}\right)$	3	$1 imes 10^3 \ { m GeV^{-2}}$	0.45

Direct Detection Bounds

- In isolation the results appear promising
- However, limits from direct detection *rule out* the required values by **several orders of magnitude**
- For example, for the anapole model

$$\frac{\text{solution for the Sun}}{\text{direct detection bound}} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^7)$$
(13)

• The combined picture does not stack up

Conclusions

- It appears unlikely that electromagnetic dipole moments alone provide a unique solution to the Solar Abundance and Dark Matter Problems
- Lower mass DM may work, but requires implementation of evaporation calculation
- The Sun is an interesting tool to verify DM models, but not exclude them

Further Reading

- This work: Geytenbeek et. al. (2016) *Effect of electromagnetic dipole dark matter on energy transport in the solar interior* arXiv:1610.06737
- Momentum dependent dark matter in the Sun: Vincent et. al. (2016) Updated constraints on velocity and momentum-dependent asymmetric dark matter JCAP11(2016)007 arXiv:1605.06502
- Electric and Magnetic Dipole Moments: Massó et. al. (2009) *Dipolar Dark Matter* Phys. Rev. D 80:036009 arXiv:0906.1979
- Magnetic Dipole and Anapole Moments: Del Nobile et. al. (2014) Direct detection of light anapole and magnetic dipole DM JCAP06(2014)002 arXiv:1401.4508

Surface Helium Abundance

