Future flavour – a glimpse of upcoming experimental possibilities

Future flavour experiments

- Beyond the b frontier physics with (charged) leptons, kaons etc.
- Future prospects in b (& c) physics
- Conclusions

Guy Wilkinson University of Oxford IPPP, September 2017

Beyond the b: frontier physics with (charged) leptons, kaons, *etc.*

- Charged LFV searches
- MDS, EDMs and all that
- Kaon physics

Charged LFV searches

µ→eγ

- MEG: < 4.2 x 10⁻¹³ (90% C.L.) [EPJC 76 (2016) 434].
- MEG-II will collect data 2019-21 to improve limit by order of mag.

µ→eee

- Mu3e experiment at PSI.
- Ph. 1 (2019-21) aims for 10⁻¹⁵.
- Ph. 2 (?) to go further.

µN→eN

- COMET (JPARC) & Mu2e (FNAL).
- COMET Ph. 1: ~3 x 10⁻¹⁵ by 2019.
- Aim for ~3 x 10⁻¹⁷ by 202?.



т LFV decays

- < 10⁻⁸ from B-factories in many modes.
- Belle-II aims for a few 10⁻¹⁰ in cleanest decays (*e.g.* т→µµµ).
- Good prospects (10⁻¹¹ ?) for dedicated experiment situated upstream of SHiP.

MDMs and EDMs



Legacy of BNL 821 (& enormous theory effort): 3.5 σ tension with SM



FNAL experiment aims to reduce uncertainty x 1/4



- First stored beam May 2017
- BNL-like stats by mid-2018
- x20 stats, 2020

J-PARC project, due to start operation in 2020, will have similar precision, but very different systematics (minimise divergence by reducing beam p_T).

Meanwhile, wide programme of search for non-zero EDMs in many systems:

- Neutron EDM: current leader is PSI, with potential to reach 10⁻²⁷ e cm early next decade; new experiment at Oak Ridge (2022→) aims for 5 x 10⁻²⁸ e cm.
- Interest in proton EDM experiment (CERN?) with sensitivity 10⁻²⁹ e cm.
- Proposal to probe for charm baryon EDMs at LHC with bending crystal to $\sim 10^{-17} e$ cm.

Electron EDMs

Most impressive absolute limits are from atomic physics, and constraints on electron EDMs through molecules.



Ongoing new-generation Imperial experiment aims for 10⁻²⁹ e cm.

Kaon experiments: $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$

Let's focus on the golden modes of kaon physics: K^+ , $K_1 \rightarrow \pi^{+,0} vv$ (but remembering that there are many other observables to measure).

Precisely predicted modes with SM BRs of 3 (K⁺) and 8 (K₁) x 10⁻¹¹.

 $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ v \overline{v}$ NA62 Layout 1.5 NA62 at CERN excluded area has CL > 0.95 Target $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \overline{\nu}$ (NA62) CHAN' KTAG-CEDAF 1.0 Data taking until GTK Beam Pipe (th. uncertainty) end of 2018. Aim Straw Tracker IRC ົດ Phase 2 270 m for ~10% precision. 0.5 Descotes-Genon] 10^{12} / s protons from SPS (400 GeV/c) on Be target (~1 λ) $K_1 \rightarrow \pi^0 v \overline{v}$ (KOTO) α $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 v \overline{v}$ Phase 1 0.0 **KOTO at J-PARC** Aims to have SM -0.5 sensitivity with data collected up to ~ 2019 (?). -1.0Prospective study on rare Kaons Extension of hadron hall -1.5 will allow for KOTO Step 2 0.0 0.5 1.5 -1.0-0.5 1.0 2.0

Proposal for complementary experiment with similar sensitivity at SPS (KLEVER).

& goal of ~10% precision.

•

Future prospects in b (& c) physics

- LHCb immediate future
- Why go on ?
- Belle II
- The GPD Phase II Upgrades
- LHCb Phase I + II Upgrades

The immediate future: LHCb prospects in run 2

Currently on tape:

- Run 1: 3 fb⁻¹ at 7+8 TeV
- Run 2: 2.8 fb⁻¹ at 13 TeV

LHCb Cumulative Integrated Recorded Luminosity in pp, 2010-2017



Hope for another 2-3 fb⁻¹ before LS2. This, and higher x-section, means that sample sizes in key channels should increase by \sim 4-5 x w.r.t. run 1, neglecting trigger improvements (which in many cases, *e.g.* charm, have significant benefits).

2018: the end of LHC run 2, the end of b-physics ?

By start of LS2 b(& c)-physics will have enjoyed ~20 years of detailed study, with a landscape that is well explored &, by then, largely familiar. Why then continue ?

- Already, deep into LHC run 2, there is no sign of New Physics discovery from direct searches. Vital to keep probing through indirect approach, given the sensitivity to high mass scales (plus the encouraging hints from run 1).
- Knowledge of the most important flavour observables will still be statistics limited after run 2 (and, in many cases, beyond).



- Not unlikely that situation with current flavour anomalies will be ambiguous, even after run 2, and much higher precision will be required for clarity.
- Opportunity ! LHC will continue as main show in town, with a luminosity that is ample for flavour physics studies; meanwhile new accelerator developments will have reopened e⁺e⁻ frontier.

The LHC schedule



The LHC schedule up to 2030



Why Belle II ?

BaBar & Belle were astonishingly successful experiments. Most importantly, they demonstrated that CKM mechanism drives CP violation (at least at 1st order).



Why Belle II?

B production at the Y(4S) presents several advantages over hadron environment

• Can reconstruct full event, which is beneficial for missing energy modes and also inclusive measurements (typically lower theory uncertainties).

е.д. В→т∨





Why Belle II?

B production at the Y(4S) presents several advantages over hadron environment

- Can reconstruct full event, which is beneficial for missing energy modes and also inclusive measurements (typically lower theory uncertainties).
- Low multiplicity environment permits excellent performance for final states with π⁰s, η's, photons. Also, good efficiency for long-lived particles K_S and K_L.

e.g. most modes suitable for sin2 β measurements involving Penguin loops (b \rightarrow ccbar s) are rather tough at LHCb...



...and other important decays *e.g.* $D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, $B^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$... are essentially inaccessible.

Why Belle II ?

B production at the Y(4S) presents several advantages over hadron environment

- Can reconstruct full event, which is beneficial for missing energy modes and also inclusive measurements (typically lower theory uncertainties).
- Low multiplicity environment permits excellent performance for final states with π⁰s, η's, photons. Also, good efficiency for long-lived particles K_S and K_L.
- Coherent B⁰B⁰bar production at Y(4S) makes flavour tagging easier and compensates for lower sample sizes in time-dependent CP measurements



SuperKEKB

SuperKEKB goals: luminosity of 8 x 10³⁵ cm⁻²s⁻¹ and 50 ab⁻¹ by 2024



An ambitious 40-fold increase in luminosity on KEKB, to be achieved by squeezing the beams by $\sim 1/20$ and doubling the currents.

SuperKEKB and Belle II roadmap



Phase 1 (completed)

- Circulate beams (no collisions)
- Tune optics etc.

Phase 2 (2017-2018)

- First collisions
- Physics run, without vertex detector

Phase 3 (2018-)

 Physics run with full Belle II

GPD phase-II Upgrades

In run 1 ATLAS and CMS have already made high quality B-physics measurements in modes with di-muon final states.

New capabilities of GPDs after Phase-II Upgrade (CMS in particular) will strengthen their capabilities in flavour physics



e.g. new CMS tracker



e.g. CMS new L1 track trigger

LHCb Upgrade in a nutshell



An LHCb Upgrade is scheduled, with installation in LS2 and first data-taking in run 3. The motivation is to take increased advantage of the huge rate of heavy-flavour production at the LHC.

The LHCb Upgrade

1) Full software trigger

- Allows effective operation at higher luminosity
- Improved efficiency in hadronic modes

Running a full software trigger

Have access to full events information, & being able to exploit lifetime & decay topology information, at earliest trigger level will bring big gains in efficiency.



Effect will be most marked for hadronic decays, where efficiency increases of at least factor 2 can be expected. Such a trigger can also run at higher lumi, in contrast to existing L0, which would saturate and bring no net benefit.

LHCb Upgrade in a nutshell



An LHCb Upgrade is scheduled, with installation in LS2 and first data-taking in run 3. The motivation is to take increased advantage of the huge rate of heavy-flavour production at the LHC.

The LHCb Upgrade

1) Full software trigger

- Allows effective operation at higher luminosity
- Improved efficiency in hadronic modes
- 2) Raise operational luminosity by factor five to 2 x 10³³ cm⁻² s⁻¹

Necessitates redesign of several sub-detectors & overhaul of readout

Huge increase in precision, in many cases to the theoretical limit, and the ability to perform studies beyond the reach of the current detector.

Flexible trigger and unique acceptance also opens up opportunities in other topics apart from flavour ('a general purpose detector in the forward region')

The LHC schedule up to 2030



Upgrade overview

Current detector



Upgrade overview All sub-detectors read out at Current detector \rightarrow upgraded detector 40 MHz for software trigger M4 M5 у HCAL^{M2} M3 ECAL 5m Magnet RICH2 SciFi RICH1 Pixel ŪΤ VELO 11 - 5m 5m 10m 15m 20m Z

Upgrade overview



Upgrade overview All sub-detectors read out at Current detector \rightarrow upgraded detector 40 MHz for software trigger M4 M5 у HCAL M3 ECAL 5m Magnet RICH2 SciFi RICH1 Pixel ŪΤ Scintillating Fibre Tracker VELO - 5m **Replacement of** full tracking system 5m Large scale system (~12,000 km of fibres)

Upgrade overview



Upgrade overview



Upgrade progress

Excellent progress on all aspects of the Upgrade project.

Prototype readout boards

RF box for VELO



Diced wafer with RICH microchannel cooling photodetectors substrates for VELO



Testing Upstream Tracker 'flex cables'



Delivery of tracker scintillating fibres (SciFi)



First batch of SciFi modules arriving at IP8



MWPC for muon system

ECAL front-end ASIC



Timescale tight, but still on-track for installation in LS2.

How will the next generation of flavour experiments perform ?

Projections exist, but the numbers are, IMHO, merely indicative, e.g. LHCb Upgrade

Type	Observable	Current	LHCb	Upgrade	Theory
		precision	2018	$(50{\rm fb}^{-1})$	uncertainty
B_s^0 mixing	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ \phi)$	0.10 [9]	0.025	0.008	~ 0.003
	$2\beta_s \ (B^0_s \to J/\psi \ f_0(980))$	0.17 [10]	0.045	0.014	~ 0.01
	$A_{ m fs}(B^0_s)$	6.4×10^{-3} [18]	$0.6 imes10^{-3}$	$0.2 imes 10^{-3}$	$0.03 imes 10^{-3}$
Gluonic	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\phi)$	_	0.17	0.03	0.02
penguin	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to K^{*0}\bar{K}^{*0})$	_	0.13	0.02	< 0.02
	$2\beta^{\text{eff}}(B^0 \to \phi K_S^0)$	0.17 [18]	0.30	0.05	0.02
Right-handed	$2\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s^0 \to \phi\gamma)$	_	0.09	0.02	< 0.01
currents	$\tau^{\rm eff}(B^0_s \to \phi \gamma) / \tau_{B^0_s}$	_	5%	1 %	0.2%
Electroweak	$S_3(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 \text{GeV}^2/c^4)$	0.08[14]	0.025	0.008	0.02
penguin	$s_0 A_{\rm FB}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25% [14]	6%	2%	7%
	$A_{\rm I}(K\mu^+\mu^-; 1 < q^2 < 6 {\rm GeV^2/c^4})$	0.25 [15]	0.08	0.025	~ 0.02
	$\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)$	25% [16]	8%	2.5%	$\sim 10\%$
Higgs	$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s o \mu^+ \mu^-)$	1.5×10^{-9} [2]	0.5×10^{-9}	0.15×10^{-9}	0.3×10^{-9}
penguin	$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-) / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	_	$\sim 100 \%$	$\sim 35\%$	$\sim 5\%$
Unitarity	$\gamma \ (B \to D^{(*)}K^{(*)})$	$\sim 10-12^{\circ}$ [19, 20]	4°	0.9°	negligible
triangle	$\gamma \ (B^0_s \to D_s K)$	_	11°	2.0°	negligible
angles	$\beta \ (B^0 \to J/\psi \ K_S^0)$	0.8° [18]	0.6°	0.2°	negligible
Charm	A_{Γ}	2.3×10^{-3} [18]	$0.40 imes 10^{-3}$	$0.07 imes 10^{-3}$	
$C\!P$ violation	ΔA_{CP}	2.1×10^{-3} [5]	0.65×10^{-3}	0.12×10^{-3}	_

['old' table from EPJ C 73 (2013) 2373; arXiv:1208.3355.if re-made with current

numbers the argument would remain]

How will the next generation of flavour experiments perform ?

Projections exist, but the numbers are, IMHO, merely indicative, e.g. Belle II

CPV

				$S(B \to \phi K^0)$	***	0.02	Belle II
				$S(B \to \eta' K^0)$	***	0.01	Belle II
Dog	\mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}	,		$\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s \to \phi \phi) \text{ [rad]}$	**	0.1	LHCb
BZ	LIP Report (I	n progress)	$\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s \to K^{*0} \overline{K}^{*0}) \text{ [rad]}$	**	0.1	LHCb
Observables	Expected the ac-	Expected exp_un_	Facility (2025)	$\mathcal{A}(B \to K^0 \pi^0)[10^{-2}]$	***	4	Belle II
Observables	curacy	certainty	Pacifity (2020)	$\mathcal{A}(B \to K^+ \pi^-) \ [10^{-2}]$	***	0.20	LHCb/Belle II
UT angles & sides	equacy	containity		(Semi-)leptonic			
d. [°]	***	0.4	Belle II	$\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau \nu) \ [10^{-6}]$	**	3%	Belle II
	**	1.0	Belle II	$\mathcal{B}(B \to \mu \nu) \ [10^{-6}]$	**	7%	Belle II
$\varphi_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	***	1.0	Belle II/I HCb	$R(B \to D \tau \nu)$	***	3%	Belle II
$\varphi_3 []$ $S(B \setminus U_{ab}\phi)$	***	0.01	L HCP	$R(B \to D^* \tau \nu)$	***	2%	Belle II/LHCb
$S(D_s \rightarrow J/\psi\psi)$	***	10%	Dillo II	Radiative & EW Penguins	d. d.		
$ V_{cb} $ mer.	***	1 50%	Delle II	$\mathcal{B}(B \to X_s \gamma)$	**	4%	Belle II
$ V_{cb} $ excl.	**	1.070	Delle II	$A_{CP}(B \to X_{s,d}\gamma) [10^{-2}]$	***	0.005	Belle II
$ V_{ub} $ Incl.	**	370 007		$S(B \to K_S^{\circ} \pi^{\circ} \gamma)$	***	0.03	Belle II
$\frac{ V_{ub} }{CDV}$ excl.	-11-	2%	Belle II/LHCb	$2\beta_s^{\rm en}(B_s \to \phi\gamma)$	**	0.05	LHCb
CPV	**	0.00		$S(B \to \rho \gamma)$	**	0.07	Belle II
$S(B \to \phi K^{\circ})$	***	0.02	Belle II	$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \gamma \gamma) [10^{-6}]$	**	0.3	Belle II
$S(B \rightarrow \eta' K^{\circ})$	***	0.01	Belle II	$\mathcal{B}(B \to K^+ \nu \nu) [10^{-5}]$	***	15%	Belle II
$\beta_s^{\text{en}}(B_s \to \phi \phi) \text{ [rad]}$	**	0.1	LHCb	$B(B \to K\nu\nu) [10^{\circ}]$	**	20%	Belle II
$\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s \to K^{*0}K^{*0}) \text{ [rad]}$	**	0.1	LHCb	$q_0^- A_{\rm FB}(B \to K^- \mu \mu)$	***	0.05	LHCb/Belle II
$\mathcal{A}(B \to K^0 \pi^0)[10^{-2}]$	***	4	Belle II	$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \tau \tau) [10^{-5}]$	***	< 2	Belle II
$\mathcal{A}(B \to K^+ \pi^-) \ [10^{-2}]$	***	0.20	LHCb/Belle II	$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu\mu)$		10%	LHCb/Belle II
(Semi-)leptonic				$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D})$ (D)	**	0.007	D-II- II
$\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau \nu) \ [10^{-6}]$	**	3%	Belle II	$\mathcal{B}(D_s \to \mu\nu)$	***	0.9%	Delle II Delle II
$\mathcal{B}(B \to \mu \nu) [10^{-6}]$	**	7%	Belle II	$D(D_s \rightarrow 7\nu)$ $\Delta A_{-\pi}(D^0 \rightarrow V^+ V^-)$ [10 ⁻⁴]	**	270	LUCP
$R(B \to D\tau\nu)$	***	3%	Belle II	$\Delta A_{CP}(D \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-}) [10]$	**	0.1	LHUD Delle II
$R(B \to D^* \tau \nu)$	***	2%	Belle II/LHCb	$A_{CP}(D \rightarrow K_{S}\pi)$ [10] $ a/p (D^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)$	***	0.03	Delle Ii
			,	$ q/p (D \rightarrow K_S \pi^+ \pi^-)$ $\phi(D^0 \rightarrow K^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)$ [°]	***	0.05	Delle II Delle II
				$\frac{\phi(D \to K_S \pi^+ \pi^-)}{\text{Ten}}$		4	Delle II
P. Goldenzweic	١.			$\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma [10^{-9}]$	***	< 5	Belle II
	<i>"</i>			$\tau \rightarrow e\gamma [10^{-9}]$	***	< 10	Belle II
La Thuile, 11/3/2	2017			$\tau \to \mu \mu \mu \ [10^{-9}]$	***	< 0.3	Belle II/LHCb

How will the next generation of flavour experiments perform ?

Projections exist, but the numbers are, IMHO, merely indicative, e.g. Belle II

Observables UT angles & side $\phi_1 [^\circ]$ $\phi_2 [^\circ]$ $\phi_3 [^\circ]$ $\phi(B) \rightarrow U(f(X))$	Key facts. Belle II's aim is to collect ~50 x more than BaBar + Belle, plus benefit from several detector improvements.	Belle II Belle II LHCb LHCb Belle II LHCb/Belle II Belle II Belle II Belle II Belle II/LHCb
$S(B_s \to J/\psi\phi)$ $ V_{cb} \text{ incl.}$ $ V_{cb} \text{ excl.}$ $ V_{ub} \text{ incl.}$	LHCb Upgrade (+ run 2) aims to collect:	Belle II Belle II Belle II
$\frac{ V_{ub} \text{ excl.}}{\text{CPV}}$ $S(B \to \phi K^0)$ $S(B \to \eta' K^0)$ $\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s \to \phi \phi) [\mathbf{r}]$ $\beta_s^{\text{eff}}(B_s \to K^{*0} \overline{K})$	~60 x more than LHCb run 1 in hadronic modes and ~30 x more than LHCb run 1 in muonic modes,	LHCb Belle II Belle II Belle II Belle II LHCb/Belle II Belle II
$\frac{\mathcal{A}(B \to K^0 \pi^0)[10]}{\mathcal{A}(B \to K^+ \pi^-)}$ (Semi-)leptonic $\mathcal{B}(B \to \tau \nu) [10^-]$	where difference is driven by full software trigger.	LHCb/Belle II Belle II
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{B}(B \to \mu\nu) \left[10^{-} \\ R(B \to D\tau\nu) \\ R(B \to D^{*}\tau\nu) \end{array} \right] $	So order of magnitude improvement in precision expected !	Belle II LHCb Belle II Belle Ii Belle II
P. Golder La Thuile,	$\tau \to \mu\mu\mu \ [10^{-9}]$ *** < 0.3	Belle II Belle II Belle II/LHCb

LHCb Phase-II Upgrade

08 February 2017

Opportunities in flavour physics, and beyond, in the HL-LHC era

UPGRADE II

LHCb

Expression of Interest

Expression of Interest submitted to February LHCC [CERN-LHCC-2017-003]

"It is proposed to upgrade the LHCb experiment in order to take full advantage of the flavour-physics opportunities at the High Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC).

This project will extend the HL-LHC's capabilities to search for physics beyond the Standard Model, and implements the highest-priority recommendation of the European Strategy for Particle Physics (Update 2013), which is to exploit the *full potential of the LHC* for a variety of physics goals, including flavour."

.

LHCb Phase-II Upgrade



- Install in LS4 (~2030), after Phase-I Upgrade.
- Detector to be able to operate at ~2 x 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹
- Integrate ~300 fb⁻¹
- Comprehensive flavour physics programme + general-purpose forward physics (as now), but targeting clean measurements currently limited by statistics, and new observables
- Straw-man detector design with candidate solutions to challenges, including new capabilities in key areas
- Define initial R&D plan, and possible first steps in LS3, which will help physics of Phase I

LHCb Phase-II Upgrade



- Install in LS4 (~2030), after Phase-I Upgrade.
- Detector to be able to operate at ~2 x 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹

LHC		Period of	Maximum \mathcal{L}	Cumulative
	Run	data taking	$[\mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}]$	$\int \mathcal{L} dt \; [\mathrm{fb}^{-1}]$
Current detector	1 & 2	$2010-2012,\ 2015-2018$	4×10^{32}	8
Phase-1 Upgrade	3 & 4	2021 - 2023, 2026 - 2029	2×10^{33}	50
Phase-2 Upgrade	$5 \rightarrow$	2031–2033, 2035 \rightarrow	2×10^{34}	300

Recall HL-LHC starts with Run 4

Opportunities in flavour physics, and beyond, in the HL-LHC era

Expression of Interest

including new capabilities in key areas

 Define initial R&D plan, and possible first steps in LS3, which will help physics of Phase I

Physics goals and potential

Phase-I Upgrade, together with Belle II, should bring big advances in our knowledge of the flavour sector. But still many important, theoretically clean, observables will remain statistics limited, and others will be out of reach.

Phase-II Upgrade will be capable of a broad spectrum of important measurements in flavour sector. Some key goals are as follows:

- Comprehensive measurement programme of observables in b→sl⁺l⁻ and b→dl⁺l⁻, employing both muon and electron modes;
- Measurement of CPV phases γ and $\phi_s,$ with precision of 0.4° and 3 mrad respectively;
- Measurement of BR($B_d \rightarrow \mu\mu$)/BR($B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$) to < 20%, and first precise measurement of associated observables
- Wide ranging lepton universality measurements in b→clv, exploiting full range of b hadrons;
- CPV in charm down to 10⁻⁵.

Also will be able to make major discoveries in spectroscopy, and pursue a wide and unique programme of general physics measurements in forward region. Eol proposes candidate solutions for challenges of performing flavour physics in environment of up to 50 pile-up interactions and high irradiation.

Aim is to retain current performance in key parameters, & also to improve capabilities in certain areas (*e.g.* ECAL, low momentum tracking *etc.*) Hence improvement in physics reach will be significantly greater than merely going from 50 fb⁻¹ \rightarrow 300 fb⁻¹.

Common themes: improved granularity, radiation hardness and fast timing.



Conclusions

Precise flavour measurements will continue to be a powerful tool to probe for New Physics.

Exciting prospects in cLFV, MDM/EDM measurements & kaon physics.

Although the B factories and LHCb, during LHC run 1, delivered much, we can look forward to an order of magnitude increase in sensitivity in the coming 10-15 years:

- Belle II, due to start physics operation very soon will reboot the B-factory programme with ultra-high luminosity;
- The LHCb Phase-I Upgrade will deploy a full software trigger, which will allow a corresponding rise in luminosity.

Even then, the HL-LHC will still have huge untapped possibilities to offer in terms of flavour \rightarrow strong motivation for a Phase-II LHCb Upgrade that can operate in the 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ regime.

Exciting times ahead !

6

Backups

Belle II detector

All sub-detectors upgraded from Belle, except for ECL crystals and part of the barrel KLM



Belle II detector

Targeted improvements w.r.t. Belle

- Improved K_S efficiency
- Improved IP and vertex efficiency
- Improved K/π separation
- Improved π⁰ efficiency
- Hadron & muon ID in endcaps



Possible scenarios in HEP post LHC run 2

Scenario 1

New Physics is found during run 2 in direct searches.

→ precision measurements in b- and c-physics essential to characterise its flavour structure.

Scenario 2

New Physics is found during run 2 in flavour sector.

 \rightarrow follow-up measurements essential.

Scenario 3

No clear signal of New Physics found anywhere

→ continue to benefit from very high mass scales that can be probed in flavour measurements, and focus on observables that are theoretically clean, or have not yet been accessed with meaningful precision.

Possible scenarios in HEP post LHC run 2

Scenario 1

New Physics is found during run 2 in direct searches.

 \rightarrow precision measurements in b- and c-physics essential



→ continue to benefit from very high mass scales that can be probed in flavour measurements, and focus on observables that are theoretically clean, or have not yet been accessed with meaningful precision.

Where will flavour physics be after run 2?

CKM Unitarity Triangle tests

- Angle γ measured to ~3° at LHCb
 No experimental systematics showstopper foreseen; negligible theory uncertainty.
- Improvements on sin2β



Can expect modest improvement In world average ^P from LHCb run 2; data driven methods can control 'Penguin pollution'. Significant increase in precision requires step-function up in sample size.

• V_{ub}

Expect further insight from analysis of B_s and Λ_b modes by LHCb.

- Improvements in lattice QCD will help knowledge of V_{ub} & 'mixing side'.
- Important inputs from kaon physics: NA62 (K⁺ $\rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu bar$) & KOTO (K_L $\rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \nu bar$) ^{6/9/17} IPPP Flavour Meeting, Guy Wilkinson 46

Where will flavour physics be after run 2?

B_s and $B_d \rightarrow \mu \mu$ – lots to do !

 $BR(B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu)$ must be measured as well as possible. A long way to go before hitting current theoretical uncertainty (~6%). Latest LHCb result is 22% precision.

With sufficient candidates, can study new observables, which carry complementary NP sensitivity, *e.g.* effective lifetime [De Bruyne *et al.*, PRL 109 (2012) 041801], Here LHCb has performed a first proof-of-principle measurement:



 $B_d \rightarrow \mu\mu$ still to be observed. When seen, it will be necessary to measure BR($B_d \rightarrow \mu\mu$)/BR($B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$) (=0.03 in SM, with uncertainty of ~10%), which is another powerful discriminant of NP models register of Minimal Flavour Violation. ^{6/9/17} IPPP Flavour Meeting, Guy Wilkinson 47

Where will flavour physics be after run 2?

CPV in B_s mixing-decay interference: ϕ_s



Another theoretically clean observable, which must be measured as well as possible. LHCb uncertainty will halve in run 2. Will need still higher precision to reach regime of real interest, and to probe for deviations from SM expectation.

Where will flavour physics be after run 2? $b \rightarrow (s,d)$ ^{|+|-} observables

With current central values run 2 would probably allow a NP discovery in R_{K} , R_{K^*} .

For observables such as P_5 ' the goal would be to measure it in related modes, also with electrons, and to start to probe b \rightarrow dl+l- transitions with good precision.



Precise measurements in as many observables as possible, to build up global picture to characterise NP, and to provide robustness against theory uncertainties.

Where will flavour physics be after run 2? CPV in charm

With run 2 samples we should be able to measure x mixing parameter well.

If we are lucky, some of hints for direct CPV may crystalise into something more solid – certainly we will be entering the regime where effects should show. When this happens we must begin a comprehensive measurement campaign.



Unlikely that run 2 will yield an observation of indirect CPV. More stats needed !

Where will flavour physics be after run 2? Exotic hadron spectroscopy

Run 2 data will allow more precise studies of existing signals and is Likely to throw up new surprises. However, lesson of run 1 is that amplitude analyses are required – not just a bump-hunting exercise – so large samples are mandatory.



The LHCb VELO Upgrade



Wafer with two bonded microchannel substrates



Four pixel sensors per module, Bump-bonded to dedicated 'VeloPix' ASIC Cooling provided by silicon substrate, etched with internal microchannels (baseline plan) to provide CO_2 cooling



Prototype full scale RF-foil box



The LHCb SciFi project







Milling of mat endpiece

Fibres after machining

Staggered layers of 250 μm fibres, read out by SiPM array

♦ Cross-section through mat

Fibre supplier is now in steady-state delivery mode: 300 km / 2 weeks.



First batch of completed modules arriving at IP8



Physics goals and potential



Machine considerations

-	β^* [m]	$\frac{1}{[\times 10^{34} \mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{s}^{-1}]}$		$\begin{array}{ll} & \mathcal{L} & \text{Target levelling } \mathcal{L} \\ \text{n} & [\times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}] & [\times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}] \end{array}$		Fill le	Fill length Lev		Levelling time [h]		$\frac{\mathcal{C} dt}{1/\mathrm{yr}}$	_	
-	3	1.04	+ 0.78	0.20	8.1	+ 8.1	8.1	+ 8.1	 10	$\frac{+}{10}$	Phase-I (best case)		
Can be done	$\frac{2}{2}$	$1.53 \\ 1.53$	$1.04\\1.04$	1.00 /	7.7 7.6	7.8 7.8	2.8 /	0.4 /	39 43	31 31	No levelling		
Will be very tough	1 1	2.90 2.90 2.00	$1.66 \\ 1.66 \\ 1.66$	$1.00 \\ 2.00$	7.5 7.3 7.2	7.6 7.5 7.5	6.0 2.3	3.5	48 73	42 48	No levelling		

- Lots of work from our machine friends, but all results still preliminary.
- In particular, further work needed to understand beam-beam effects and what it means for both us and ATLAS/CMS.
- Need to reduce β^* . Value of 2m achievable; value between 1m & 2m hopefully possible, but needs more studies, in particular feasibility of vertical x-ing angle.

Machine considerations

-	β^* [m]	$\frac{\text{Maximum } \mathcal{L}}{[\times 10^{34} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}]}$		* Maximum \mathcal{L} Target levelling \mathcal{L} F a) [×10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹] [×10 ³⁴ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]		Fill le	ength n]	Levelling time [h]		$\frac{\int \mathcal{L} dt}{[\mathrm{fb}^{-1}/\mathrm{yr}]}$		_	
-	3	1.04	+ 0.78	0.20	8.1	+ 8.1	8.1	+ 8.1	 10	+ 10	Phase-I (best case)		
Can be done	$\frac{2}{2}$	$1.53 \\ 1.53$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.04 \\ 1.04 \end{array}$	1.00 /	7.7 7.6	7.8 7.8	2.8 /	0.4 /	$\frac{39}{43}$	31 31	No levelling		
Will be very tough	1 1 1	$2.90 \\ 2.90 \\ 2.90$	$1.66 \\ 1.66 \\ 1.66$	1.00 2.00 /	$7.5 \\ 7.3 \\ 7.2$	$7.6 \\ 7.5 \\ 7.5$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.0 \\ 2.3 \\ / \end{array}$	3.5 0 /	48 73 80	42 48 48	No levelling		

- Increase in annual yield of 4-8 w.r.t. Phase I, depending on final ٠ value of β^* and consequence of beam-beam effects.
- Will no longer be possible to level throughout fill ٠
- At highest luminosity the performance will differ between polarities.
- Additional shielding needed to protect triplets & other machine elements.

Machine considerations



• Additional shielding needed to protect triplets & other machine elements.

Detector challenges

Candidate solutions proposed for each sub-system. All of these need to be further developed, but the intention is to show that there are no immediate show-stoppers.

VELO

Halve pixel dimensions Halve sensor thickness \rightarrow ~ recover current performance

Fast-timing necessary – Timepix4 may already have many of the features necessary for ASIC.

Reduction / removal of RF foil v. interesting.

RICH

Go to photodetectors with ~1/5 pixel area

Change optics

Improve response in visible (e.g. SiPMs)



Detector challenges

Candidate solutions proposed for each sub-system. All of these need to be further developed, but the intention is to show that there are no immediate show-stoppers.

Tracker

Hybrid solution with fibres in outer region and silicon in inner / middle regions.



Muon system

Replace HCAL with iron slabs to halve rate in chambers.

New high-rate chambers in hottest regions.

Detector improvements

Possible to conceive of detector enhancements which will bring additional physics reach on top of what will come from the increase in integrated luminosity.

Increased tracking acceptance

Magnet stations

Approach closer to beam pipe in downstream tracker

- Improved ECAL
- Improved low-momentum PID (*i.e.* TORCH)
- Thinning / removal of VELO RF foil
- Improved downstream trigger capabilities



