Higgs Maxwell Workshop Royal Society of Edinburgh, 08.02.2017

# Lattice Results for Flavour Physics







### Outline

- 1. lattice QCD
- 2. precision flavour physics
- 3. pushing the frontiers (QED+QCD, rare decays)

## UK Lattice community

- Cambridge
- Edinburgh
- Glasgow
- Liverpool
- Oxford
- Plymouth
- Southampton
- Swansea

Various collaborations UKQCD, HotQCD, HPQCD,

## UK Lattice community

- Cambridge
- Edinburgh
- Glasgow
- Liverpool
- Oxford
- Plymouth
- Southampton

JKQCD, HotQCD, HPQCD

Various collaborations

• Swansea

• QCD flavour phenomenology

- QCD spectra
- BSM models (non-perturbatively)
- finite-T, finite-µ
- developments in quantum field theory, algorithms computing and hardware

 standard Model of elementary particle physics describes electromagnetic, weak and strong (QCD) interactions consistently in terms of a renormalisable quantum field theory

- standard Model of elementary particle physics describes electromagnetic, weak and strong (QCD) interactions consistently in terms of a renormalisable quantum field theory
- but there is substantial phenomenological evidence that it can't be the whole story: dark matter, CP-violation, ... indicate that there must be sth. else

- standard Model of elementary particle physics describes electromagnetic, weak and strong (QCD) interactions consistently in terms of a renormalisable quantum field theory
- but there is substantial phenomenological evidence that it can't be the whole story: dark matter, CP-violation, ... indicate that there must be sth. else
- despite decades of experimental and theoretical efforts we have not found a smoking gun

- searches for new physics: direct vs. indirect search:
  - 'bump in the spectrum'
  - SM provides correlation between processes experiment + theory to over-constrain SM

- searches for new physics: direct vs. indirect search:
  - 'bump in the spectrum'
  - SM provides correlation between processes experiment + theory to over-constrain SM
- hadronic (QCD) uncertainties dominating error budget

- searches for new physics: direct vs. indirect search:
  - 'bump in the spectrum'
  - SM provides correlation between processes experiment + theory to over-constrain SM
- hadronic (QCD) uncertainties dominating error budget
- lattice QCD can in principle provide the relevant input and is becoming increasingly precise in its predictions

 $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ 

#### First observed by LHCb, CMS







$$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$

$$\operatorname{Br}(B_s \to \ell^+ \ell^-)^{\mathrm{SM}} = \tau_{B_s} \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{16\pi^3} |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 m_{B_s} m_\ell^2 \beta_\ell(m_{B_s}^2) |C_{10}|^2 f_{B_s}^2$$

$$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$

$$Br(B_s \to \ell^+ \ell^-)^{SM} = \tau_{B_s} \frac{G_F^2 \alpha^2}{16\pi^3} |V_{tb} V_{ts}^*|^2 m_{B_s} m_\ell^2 \beta_\ell(m_{B_s}^2) |C_{10}|^2 f_{B_s}^2$$
$$Br \propto (PT) \times \langle 0|\bar{s}\gamma_\mu \gamma_5 b|\bar{B}_s \rangle^2 \checkmark \cdots$$

$$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$

$$Br(B_{s} \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-})^{SM} = \tau_{B_{s}} \frac{G_{F}^{2} \alpha^{2}}{16\pi^{3}} |V_{tb}V_{ts}^{*}|^{2} m_{B_{s}} m_{\ell}^{2} \beta_{\ell}(m_{B_{s}}^{2}) |C_{10}|^{2} f_{B_{s}}^{2}$$

$$Br \propto (PT) \times \langle 0|\bar{s}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{5}b|\bar{B}_{s}\rangle^{2}$$

$$NNLO QCD$$

$$NLO EW$$
Hermann, Misiak, Steinhauser,  
JHEP 1312, 097 (2013)  
Bobeth, Gorbahn. Stamou,  
PRD 89, 034023 (2014)

$$B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$



# QCD





# QCD





Necco & Sommer NPB 622 (2002)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \left( i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_f \right) \psi_f$$

#### Free parameters:

- gauge coupling  $g \rightarrow \alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$
- quark masses  $m_f = u, d, s, c, b, t$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \left( i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_f \right) \psi_f$$

Free parameters:

- gauge coupling  $g \rightarrow \alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$
- quark masses  $m_f = u, d, s, c, b, t$
- Lagrangian of massless gluons and *almost massless quarks*
- what experiment sees are bound states, e.g.  $m_{\pi}, m_P \gg m_{u,d}$
- underlying physics non-perturbative

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \left( i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_f \right) \psi_f$$

Free parameters:

- gauge coupling  $g \rightarrow \alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$
- quark masses  $m_f = u, d, s, c, b, t$
- Lagrangian of massless gluons and *almost massless quarks*
- what experiment sees are bound states, e.g.  $m_{\pi}, m_P \gg m_{u,d}$
- underlying physics non-perturbative

$$\langle 0|O|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \int \mathcal{D}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]Oe^{-iS_{\mathsf{lat}}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \left( i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_f \right) \psi_f$$

Free parameters:

- gauge coupling  $g \rightarrow \alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$
- quark masses  $m_f = u, d, s, c, b, t$
- Lagrangian of massless gluons and almost massless quarks
- what experiment sees are bound states, e.g.  $m_{\pi}, m_P \gg m_{u,d}$
- underlying physics non-perturbative

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \left( i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_f \right) \psi_f$$

Free parameters:

- gauge coupling  $g \rightarrow \alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$
- quark masses  $m_f = u, d, s, c, b, t$
- Lagrangian of massless gluons and almost massless quarks
- what experiment sees are bound states, e.g.  $m_{\pi}, m_P \gg m_{u,d}$
- underlying physics non-perturbative

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \left( i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_f \right) \psi_f$$

Free parameters:

- gauge coupling  $g \rightarrow \alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$
- quark masses  $m_f = u, d, s, c, b, t$
- Lagrangian of massless gluons and almost massless quarks
- what experiment sees are bound states, e.g.  $m_{\pi}, m_P \gg m_{u,d}$
- underlying physics non-perturbative

$$\langle 0|O|0\rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]Oe^{-iS_{\text{lat}}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]}$$

$$\langle 0|O|0\rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int \mathcal{D}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]Oe^{-S_{\text{lat}}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]}$$
Euclidean space-time Boltzmann factor finite volume, space-time grid (IR and UV regulators)   
 $\propto L^{-1} \propto a^{-1}$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\,\mu\nu} + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \left( i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m_f \right) \psi_f$$

Free parameters:

- gauge coupling  $g \rightarrow \alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$
- quark masses  $m_f = u, d, s, c, b, t$
- Lagrangian of massless gluons and almost massless quarks
- what experiment sees are bound states, e.g.  $m_{\pi}, m_P \gg m_{u,d}$
- underlying physics non-perturbative

Path integral quantisation:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle 0|O|0\rangle &=& \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\int \mathcal{D}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]Oe^{-iS_{\mathsf{lat}}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]} \\ \langle 0|O|0\rangle &=& \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}}\int \mathcal{D}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]Oe^{-S_{\mathsf{lat}}[U,\psi,\bar{\psi}]} \end{array} \begin{array}{lll} \text{Euclidean space-time} \\ & & \\$$



finite volume, space-time grid (IR and UV regulators)  $\propto L^{-1} \propto a^{-1}$ 

- → well defined, finite dimensional Euclidean path integral
- $\rightarrow$  from first principles

- gauge-invariant regularisation (Wilson 1974)
- naively: replace derivatives by finite differences, integrals by sums
- finite volume lattice path integral still over large number of degrees of freedom > O(10<sup>10</sup>)
- Evaluate discretised path integral by means of Markov Chain Monte Carlo on state-of-the-art HPC installations
- UK computing time via STFC's DiRAC consortium

- gauge-invariant regularisation (Wilson 1974)
- naively: replace derivatives by finite differences, integrals by sums
- finite volume lattice path integral still over large number of degrees of freedom > O(10<sup>10</sup>)
- Evaluate discretised path integral by means of Markov Chain Monte Carlo on state-of-the-art HPC installations
- UK computing time via STFC's DiRAC consortium



### Euclidean correlation function

 $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{Z}\int \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}e^{-S[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]}$ 

### Euclidean correlation function

- $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{Z}\int \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}e^{-S[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]}$
- two-point function
- $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \sum_{\vec{x},n} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(x)|n\rangle \langle n|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}^{\dagger}(0)|0\rangle$  $= \sum |\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)|n\rangle|^2 e^{-E_n t_x}$

$$\stackrel{t \to \infty}{=} |\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)|B_s\rangle|^2 e^{-m_{B_s}t_x}$$

### Euclidean correlation function

 $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \frac{1}{Z}\int \mathcal{D}[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}e^{-S[\bar{\psi},\psi,U]}$ 

 $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(t)\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)^{\dagger}|0\rangle = \sum_{\vec{x},n} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(x)|n\rangle \langle n|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}^{\dagger}(0)|0\rangle$  **two-point function**  $= \sum_{n} |\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)|n\rangle|^2 e^{-E_n t_x}$ 

$$\stackrel{t \to \infty}{=} |\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{B_s}(0)|B_s\rangle|^2 e^{-m_{B_s}t_x}$$

extract physical properties from fits to simulation data:

- normalisation → matrix element (e.g. decay constant)
- time-dependence → particle spectrum (e.g. meson mass)
- stat. errors from MC sampling over N field configurations

$$\langle \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \rangle = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[ \mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}^{\dagger} \right]_{n}$$

(bootstrap, jackknife error analysis, autocorrelation analysis, ...)



#### What we can do

- simulations of QCD with dynamical (sea) *u,d,s,c* quarks with masses as found in nature → N<sub>f</sub> = 2, 2 + 1, 2 + 1 + 1
- bottom only as valence quark
- cut-off  $a^{-1} \leq 4 \text{GeV}$
- volume  $L \leq 6fm$



action density of RBC/UKQCD physical point DWF ensemble

#### What we can do

- simulations of QCD with dynamical (sea) *u,d,s,c* quarks with masses as found in nature → N<sub>f</sub> = 2, 2 + 1, 2 + 1 + 1
- bottom only as valence quark
- cut-off  $a^{-1} \leq 4 \text{GeV}$
- volume  $L \leq 6fm$



action density of RBC/UKQCD physical point DWF ensemble

#### What we can do

- simulations of QCD with dynamical (sea) *u,d,s,c* quarks with masses as found in nature → N<sub>f</sub> = 2, 2 + 1, 2 + 1 + 1
- bottom only as valence quark
- cut-off  $a^{-1} \leq 4 \text{GeV}$
- volume  $L \leq 6fm$

#### **Parameter tuning**

start from *educated guesses* and:

• tune light quark mass *am*<sub>l</sub> such that

$$\frac{m_{\pi}}{m_P} = \frac{m_{\pi}^{PDG}}{m_P^{PDG}}$$

- tune strange quark mass such that
- determine physical lattice spacing

action density of RBC/UKQCD physical point DWF ensemble

$$\frac{am_{\pi}}{am_{K}} = \frac{m_{\pi}^{PDG}}{m_{K}^{PDG}}$$
$$a = \frac{af_{\pi}}{f_{\pi}^{PDG}}$$

#### What we can do

- simulations of QCD with dynamical (sea) *u,d,s,c* quarks with masses as found in nature → N<sub>f</sub> = 2, 2 + 1, 2 + 1 + 1
- bottom only as valence quark
- cut-off  $a^{-1} \leq 4 \text{GeV}$
- volume  $L \leq 6fm$

#### **Parameter tuning**

start from *educated guesses* and:

- tune light quark mass *am*<sup>1</sup> such that
- tune strange quark mass such that
- determine physical lattice spacing

$$\frac{am_{\pi}}{am_{P}} = \frac{m_{\pi}^{PDG}}{m_{P}^{PDG}}$$

$$\frac{am_{\pi}}{am_{K}} = \frac{m_{\pi}^{PDG}}{m_{K}^{PDG}}$$
$$a = \frac{af_{\pi}}{f_{\pi}^{PDG}}$$

IMPORTANT: once the QCD-parameters are *tuned* no further parameters need to be fixed and we can make fully predictive simulations of QCD



action density of RBC/UKQCD physical point DWF ensemble

### benchmark - the hadron spectrum



## lattice - systematics

In practice one needs to control a number of sources of systematic uncertainties, most notably:

## lattice - systematics

In practice one needs to control a number of sources of systematic uncertainties, most notably:


## lattice - systematics

In practice one needs to control a number of sources of systematic uncertainties, most notably:



• **finite volume errors** (box size *L*)



In QCD for simple ME 
$$\propto e^{-m_{\pi}L} \propto O(1\%)$$

more complicated for processes with several hadrons in initial or final state Lüscher Commun.Math.Phys. 105 (1986) 153-188, Nucl. Phys. B354, 531 (1991) 14

## lattice - systematics

In practice one needs to control a number of sources of systematic uncertainties, most notably:



14

• **finite volume errors** (box size *L*)



In QCD for simple ME 
$$\propto e^{-m_{\pi}L} \propto O(1\%)$$

more complicated for processes with several hadrons in initial or final state Lüscher Commun.Math.Phys. 105 (1986) 153-188, Nucl. Phys. B354, 531 (1991)

#### a state-of-the-art lattice



#### a state-of-the-art lattice



need to keep

 $a^{-1} \gg relevant scales \gg L^{-1}$ 

- for  $m_{\pi}$ =140MeV the constraint for controlled finite volume effects of  $m_{\pi}L \ge 4$  suggests L $\approx$ 6fm
- to keep cutoff effects small
  e.g. a<sup>-1</sup> larger than ≈ 2.5GeV needed
- lattices with *L*/*a*≥80 needed

#### a state-of-the-art lattice



need to keep

 $a^{-1} \gg relevant scales \gg L^{-1}$ 

- for  $m_{\pi}$ =140MeV the constraint for controlled finite volume effects of  $m_{\pi}L \ge 4$  suggests L $\approx$ 6fm
- to keep cutoff effects small
  e.g. a<sup>-1</sup> larger than ≈ 2.5GeV needed
- lattices with *L*/*a*≥80 needed

Fulfilling all the constraints for light hadrons is just starting to happen (e.g. first 96<sup>3</sup>×192 have been generated (MILC)) in the meantime most collaborations

- weaken the finite volume effects by simulating unphysically heavy pions
- extrapolate from coarser lattices relying on assumptions for functional form of cutoff effects

## heavy quarks on the lattice



need to keep

$$m_b \gg a^{-1} > m_c \gg \Lambda_{QCD} \gg L^{-1}$$

- OK for *c* quark
- *b* beyond reach in current simulations

## heavy quarks on the lattice



need to keep

$$m_b \gg a^{-1} > m_c \gg \Lambda_{QCD} \gg L^{-1}$$

- OK for *c* quark
- *b* beyond reach in current simulations

- while *u*, *d*, *s*, *c* implemented as direct and Lorentz-covariant discretisations of QCD the *b*-quark needs special (effective theory motivated) treatment:
  - (lattice)-heavy quark effective theory
  - NRQCD
  - relativistic heavy quark (lattice) actions (El-Khadra et al. PRD 55 3933 1997, Aoki et al. PTP 109 383 2003, Christ, Lin PRD 76 074505 2007)
  - extrapolation techniques (e.g. ratio method JHEP 1004 2010 049)

## heavy quarks on the lattice



need to keep

$$m_b \gg a^{-1} > m_c \gg \Lambda_{QCD} \gg L^{-1}$$

- OK for *c* quark
- *b* beyond reach in current simulations

- while *u*, *d*, *s*, *c* implemented as direct and Lorentz-covariant discretisations of QCD the *b*-quark needs special (effective theory motivated) treatment:
  - (lattice)-heavy quark effective theory
  - NRQCD
  - relativistic heavy quark (lattice) actions (El-Khadra et al. PRD 55 3933 1997, Aoki et al. PTP 109 383 2003, Christ, Lin PRD 76 074505 2007)
  - extrapolation techniques (e.g. ratio method JHEP 1004 2010 049)

*b* on the lattice therefore much less standard than *u*, *d*, *s*, *c* but if care is taken robust and rather precise predictions for *b*-quark physics can be made

# Lattice pheno - what's possible

#### • Standard:

- meson ME with single incoming and / or outgoing pseudo-scalar states  $\pi, K, D_{(s)}, B_{(s)} \rightarrow \text{QCD} - \text{vacuum}, \pi \rightarrow \pi, K \rightarrow \pi, D \rightarrow K, B \rightarrow \pi, ..., B_K, (B_D), B_B$
- QCD parameters: quark masses, strong coupling constant
- meson/baryon spectroscopy of stable (in QCD) states

# Lattice pheno - what's possible

#### • Standard:

- meson ME with single incoming and / or outgoing pseudo-scalar states  $\pi, K, D_{(s)}, B_{(s)} \rightarrow \text{QCD} - \text{vacuum}, \pi \rightarrow \pi, K \rightarrow \pi, D \rightarrow K, B \rightarrow \pi, ..., B_K, (B_D), B_B$
- QCD parameters: quark masses, strong coupling constant
- meson/baryon spectroscopy of stable (in QCD) states

#### • Challenging:

- two initial/final hadronic states, one channel  $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\pi \to K\pi, K \to \pi\pi, ...$
- elm. effects in spectra
- long-distance contributions in e.g. rare Kaon decays, K-mixing

# Lattice pheno - what's possible

#### • Standard:

- meson ME with single incoming and / or outgoing pseudo-scalar states  $\pi, K, D_{(s)}, B_{(s)} \rightarrow \text{QCD} - \text{vacuum}, \pi \rightarrow \pi, K \rightarrow \pi, D \rightarrow K, B \rightarrow \pi, ..., B_K, (B_D), B_B$
- QCD parameters: quark masses, strong coupling constant
- meson/baryon spectroscopy of stable (in QCD) states

#### • Challenging:

- two initial/final hadronic states, one channel  $\pi\pi \to \pi\pi, K\pi \to K\pi, K \to \pi\pi, ...$
- elm. effects in spectra
- long-distance contributions in e.g. rare Kaon decays, K-mixing

#### Very challenging - new ideas needed/no clue:

- multi-channel final states (hadronic D, B) (e.g. Hansen, Sharpe PRD86, 016007 (2012))
- transition MEs with unstable in/out states (Briceño et al. arXiv:1406.5965)
- electromagnetic effects in hadronic MEs

# 

3x3 unitary matrix 4 unknown parameters

# $\left(\begin{array}{c}d'\\s'\\b'\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub}\\V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb}\\V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb}\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}d\\s\\b\end{array}\right)$

3x3 unitary matrix 4 unknown parameters

- quark mixing
- CP-violation (one complex phase)
- constraints on SM processes
- high energy reach
- inconsistencies  $\rightarrow$  failure of the SM?







e.g tree level leptonic *B* decay:



e.g tree level leptonic *B* decay:



Assumed factorisation:  $\Gamma_{exp.} \stackrel{???}{=} V_{CKM}(WEAK)(EM)(STRONG)$ 

e.g tree level leptonic *B* decay:



Assumed factorisation:  $\Gamma_{\text{exp.}} \stackrel{???}{=} V_{\text{CKM}}(\text{WEAK})(\text{EM})(\frac{\text{STRONG}}{\langle 0|A_{\mu}|B\rangle_{\text{QCD}}})$ 

e.g tree level leptonic *B* decay:



Assumed factorisation:  $\Gamma_{\text{exp.}} \stackrel{???}{=} V_{\text{CKM}}(\text{WEAK})(\text{EM})(\frac{\text{STRONG}}{\langle 0|A_{\mu}|B\rangle_{\text{QCD}}})$ 

$$\Gamma(B \to l\nu_l) = \frac{|V_{ub}|^2}{8\pi} \frac{m_B}{8\pi} G_F^2 m_l^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_B^2}\right)^2 f_B^2$$
  
experiment output theory prediction

e.g tree level leptonic B decay:



Assumed factorisation:  $\Gamma_{\text{exp.}} \stackrel{???}{=} V_{\text{CKM}}(\text{WEAK})(\text{EM})(\frac{\text{STRONG}}{\langle 0|A_{\mu}|B\rangle_{\text{QCD}}})$ 



Experimental measurement + theory prediction allows for extraction of CKM MEs

# Lattice flavour physics and CKM

 $V_{\rm CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$ 

# Lattice flavour physics and CKM



$$\Gamma(K \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu}) = \frac{G_F^2}{8\pi} f_K^2 m_{\mu}^2 m_K \left(1 - \frac{m_{\mu}^2}{m_K^2}\right)^2 |V_{us}|^2$$
$$\left\langle 0|\bar{s}/\bar{d}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 u|K/\pi(p)\right\rangle = if_{K/\pi}p_{\mu}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma(K \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu})}{\Gamma(\pi \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu})} = \frac{|V_{us}|^2}{|V_{ud}|^2} \left(\frac{f_K}{f_\pi}\right)^2 \frac{m_K (1 - m_{\mu}^2 / m_K^2)^2}{m_\pi (1 - m_{\mu}^2 / m_{\pi}^2)^2} \times 0.9930(35)$   $\underset{\text{Marciano, Phys.Rev.Lett. 2004}}{\text{Marciano, Phys.Rev.Lett. 2004}}$ 

 $\frac{\Gamma(K \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu})}{\Gamma(\pi \to \mu \bar{\nu}_{\mu})} = \frac{|V_{us}|^2}{|V_{ud}|^2} \left(\frac{f_K}{f_\pi}\right)^2 \frac{m_K (1 - m_{\mu}^2 / m_K^2)^2}{m_\pi (1 - m_{\mu}^2 / m_\pi^2)^2} \times 0.9930(35)$ Marciano, Phys.Rev.Lett. 2004



Flavour Lattice Averaging Group "What's currently the best lattice value for a particular quantity?" FLAG-1 (Eur. Phys. J. C71 (2011) 1695) FLAG-2 (http://itpwiki.unibe.ch/flag/, Eur. Phys.J. C74 (2014) 2890) FLAG-3 - about to go to press (arXiv:1607.00299)

Flavour Lattice Averaging Group "What's currently the best lattice value for a particular quantity?" FLAG-1 (Eur. Phys. J. C71 (2011) 1695) FLAG-2 (http://itpwiki.unibe.ch/flag/, Eur. Phys.J. C74 (2014) 2890) FLAG-3 - about to go to press (arXiv:1607.00299)

• quantities:

 $m_{u,d,s,c,b}$  $f_K/f_{\pi}, f_+^{K\pi}(0), B_K, SU(2) \text{ and } SU(3) \text{ LECs}$  $f_{D_{(s)}}, f_{B_{(s)}}, B_{B_{(s)}}, B_{(s)} - \text{ and } D_{(s)} - \text{semileptonics}$  $\alpha_s$ 

Flavour Lattice Averaging Group "What's currently the best lattice value for a particular quantity?" FLAG-1 (Eur. Phys. J. C71 (2011) 1695) FLAG-2 (http://itpwiki.unibe.ch/flag/, Eur. Phys.J. C74 (2014) 2890) FLAG-3 - about to go to press (arXiv:1607.00299)

• quantities:

 $m_{u,d,s,c,b}$  $f_K/f_{\pi}, f_{+}^{K\pi}(0), B_K, SU(2) \text{ and } SU(3) \text{ LECs}$  $f_{D_{(s)}}, f_{B_{(s)}}, B_{B_{(s)}}, B_{(s)} - \text{ and } D_{(s)} - \text{semileptonics}$  $\alpha_s$ 

- summary of results
  - evaluation according to FLAG quality criteria (colour coding)
  - averages of best values where possible
  - detailed summary of properties of individual simulations

Flavour Lattice Averaging Group "What's currently the best lattice value for a particular quantity?" FLAG-1 (Eur. Phys. J. C71 (2011) 1695) FLAG-2 (http://itpwiki.unibe.ch/flag/, Eur. Phys.J. C74 (2014) 2890) FLAG-3 - about to go to press (arXiv:1607.00299)

• quantities:

 $m_{u,d,s,c,b}$  $f_K/f_{\pi}, f_{+}^{K\pi}(0), B_K, SU(2) \text{ and } SU(3) \text{ LECs}$  $f_{D_{(s)}}, f_{B_{(s)}}, B_{B_{(s)}}, B_{(s)} - \text{ and } D_{(s)} - \text{semileptonics}$  $\alpha_s$ 

- summary of results
  - evaluation according to FLAG quality criteria (colour coding)
  - averages of best values where possible
  - detailed summary of properties of individual simulations

FLAG-4 kickoff meeting end of April at Higgs Centre









$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 \approx |V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$

 $|V_{us}|f_{+}^{K^{0}\pi^{-}}(0) = 0.2163(5) \qquad \frac{f_{K^{+}}}{f_{\pi^{+}}} \frac{|V_{us}|}{|V_{ud}|} = 0.2758(5) \frac{\text{FLAVIA Kaon WG EPJ C 69, 399-424 (2010)}}{\text{KTeV, Istra, KLOE, NA48 arXiv:1005.2323}}$ 

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 \approx |V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$

 $|V_{us}|f_{+}^{K^{0}\pi^{-}}(0) = 0.2163(5) \qquad \frac{f_{K^{+}}}{f_{\pi^{+}}} \frac{|V_{us}|}{|V_{ud}|} = 0.2758(5) \frac{\text{FLAVIA Kaon WG EPJ C 69, 399-424 (2010)}}{\text{KTeV, Istra, KLOE, NA48 arXiv:1005.2323}}$ 

#### **First row unitarity:**

- $f_{+}^{K\pi}(0)$  and  $|V_{ud}|$  from experiment
- $f_K/f_{\pi}$  and  $|V_{ud}|$  from experiment
- $f_{+}^{K\pi}(0)$  and  $f_{K}/f_{\pi}$  from lattice

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 \approx |V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$

 $|V_{us}|f_{+}^{K^{0}\pi^{-}}(0) = 0.2163(5) \qquad \frac{f_{K^{+}}}{f_{\pi^{+}}} \frac{|V_{us}|}{|V_{ud}|} = 0.2758(5) \frac{\text{FLAVIA Kaon WG EPJ C 69, 399-424 (2010)}}{\text{KTeV, Istra, KLOE, NA48 arXiv:1005.2323}}$ 

#### **First row unitarity:**

- $f_{+}^{K\pi}(0)$  and  $|V_{ud}|$  from experiment
- $f_K/f_{\pi}$  and  $|V_{ud}|$  from experiment
- $f_{+}^{K\pi}(0)$  and  $f_{K}/f_{\pi}$  from lattice



# Analysis assuming CKM unitarity

#### **Experimental results:**

 $|V_{us}|f_{+}(0) = 0.2163(5)$  $\frac{f_{K}}{f_{\pi}} \frac{|V_{us}|}{|V_{ud}|} = 0.2758(5)$ 

FLAVIA Kaon WG EPJ C 69, 399-424 (2010) KTeV, Istra, KLOE, NA48
## Analysis assuming CKM unitarity

#### **Experimental results:**

 $|V_{us}|f_{+}(0) = 0.2163(5)$  $\frac{f_{K}}{f_{\pi}} \frac{|V_{us}|}{|V_{ud}|} = 0.2758(5)$ 

FLAVIA Kaon WG EPJ C 69, 399-424 (2010) KTeV, Istra, KLOE, NA48



### Leptonic D<sub>(s)</sub> meson decays

Once the technicalities behind controlling charm and bottom on the lattice the form factor computation is very similar, in particular for D-mesons:



### Results for $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$

 $f_D|V_{cd}| = 45.91(1.05) \text{ MeV}, \quad f_{D_s}|V_{cs}| = 250.9(4.0) \text{ MeV} \text{ PDG}$ 



### Results for $|V_{cd}|$ and $|V_{cs}|$

 $f_D|V_{cd}| = 45.91(1.05) \text{ MeV}, \quad f_{D_s}|V_{cs}| = 250.9(4.0) \text{ MeV} \text{ PDG}$ 



### Leptonic beauty decays





28

### Semileptonic *b*-decays

Kinematical reach limited in lattice QCD  $\rightarrow$  extract value of  $V_{ub}$  from simultaneous analysis of exp. and lattice data

### Semileptonic *b*-decays

Kinematical reach limited in lattice QCD  $\rightarrow$  extract value of  $V_{ub}$  from simultaneous analysis of exp. and lattice data

 $q^{2} = \left(E_{B} - E_{\text{light}}\right)^{2} - \left(\vec{p}_{B} - \vec{p}_{\text{light}}\right)^{2} \qquad \vec{p} = \frac{2\pi}{L}\vec{n} \qquad |\vec{p}| = O(100MeV)$ 

### Semileptonic *b*-decays

Kinematical reach limited in lattice QCD  $\rightarrow$  extract value of  $V_{ub}$  from simultaneous analysis of exp. and lattice data

 $q^{2} = \left(E_{B} - E_{\text{light}}\right)^{2} - \left(\vec{p}_{B} - \vec{p}_{\text{light}}\right)^{2} \qquad \vec{p} = \frac{2\pi}{L}\vec{n} \qquad |\vec{p}| = O(100MeV)$ 



### Results for | V<sub>ub</sub> |



• tension between betw. incl. and excl. semileptonic decays

### Results for | V<sub>ub</sub> |



- tension between betw. incl. and excl. semileptonic decays
- slight tension in exp data Belle/BaBar
- looking forward to Belle II

• lattice QFT is only slightly older than the *b*-quark

- lattice QFT is only slightly older than the *b*-quark
- what has the lattice done for *b*-flavour physics?

- lattice QFT is only slightly older than the *b*-quark
- what has the lattice done for *b*-flavour physics?

$\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)[{ m GeV}]$		4.190(21)	[5,19]	4.164(23)	[9]	4.256(81)	[20,21]
$f_B[\text{MeV}]$	8.1	186(4)	[52]	192.0(4.3)	[48, 53-56]	188(7)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_s}[\text{MeV}]$	8.1	224(5)	[52]	228.4(3.7)	[48, 53-56]	227(7)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_s}/f_B$	8.1	1.205(7)	[52]	1.201(16)	[48, 53-56]	1.206(23)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_d}\sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_d}}[\text{MeV}]$	8.2			219(14)	[54, 59]	216(10)	[20]
$f_{B_s}\sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_s}}[\text{MeV}]$	8.2			270(16)	[54, 59]	262(10)	[20]
$\hat{B}_{B_d}$	8.2			1.26(9)	[54, 59]	1.30(6)	[20]
$\hat{B}_{B_s}$	8.2			1.32(6)	[54, 59]	1.32(5)	[20]
ξ	8.2			1.239(46)	[54,  60]	1.225(31)	[20]
$B_{B_s}/B_{B_d}$	8.2			1.039(63)	[54,  60]	1.007(21)	[20]

#### b-mass and matrix elements

- lattice QFT is only slightly older than the *b*-quark
- what has the lattice done for *b*-flavour physics?

$\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)[{ m GeV}]$		4.190(21)	[5,19]	4.164(23)	[9]	4.256(81)	[20,21]
$f_B[\text{MeV}]$	8.1	186(4)	[52]	192.0(4.3)	[48, 53-56]	188(7)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_s}[\text{MeV}]$	8.1	224(5)	[52]	228.4(3.7)	[48, 53-56]	227(7)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_s}/f_B$	8.1	1.205(7)	[52]	1.201(16)	[48, 53-56]	1.206(23)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_d} \sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_d}} [\text{MeV}]$	8.2			219(14)	[54, 59]	216(10)	[20]
$f_{B_s}\sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_s}}[\text{MeV}]$	8.2			270(16)	[54, 59]	262(10)	[20]
$\hat{B}_{B_d}$	8.2			1.26(9)	[54, 59]	1.30(6)	[20]
$\hat{B}_{B_s}$	8.2			1.32(6)	[54, 59]	1.32(5)	[20]
ξ	8.2		10000	1.239(46)	[54, 60]	1.225(31)	[20]
$B_{B_s}/B_{B_d}$	8.2			1.039(63)	[54,  60]	1.007(21)	[20]

*b*-mass and matrix elements

Semileptonic form factors (tree, rare)

- lattice QFT is only slightly older than the *b*-quark
- what has the lattice done for *b*-flavour physics?

#### *b*-mass and matrix elements

$\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)[\text{GeV}]$		4.190(21)	[5,19]	4.164(23)	[9]	4.256(81)	[20,21]
$f_B[\text{MeV}]$	8.1	186(4)	[52]	192.0(4.3)	[48, 53-56]	188(7)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_s}[\text{MeV}]$	8.1	224(5)	[52]	228.4(3.7)	[48, 53-56]	227(7)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_s}/f_B$	8.1	1.205(7)	[52]	1.201(16)	[48, 53-56]	1.206(23)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_d}\sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_d}}[\text{MeV}]$	8.2			219(14)	[54, 59]	216(10)	[20]
$f_{B_s} \sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_s}} [\text{MeV}]$	8.2			270(16)	[54, 59]	262(10)	[20]
$\hat{B}_{B_d}$	8.2			1.26(9)	[54, 59]	1.30(6)	[20]
$\hat{B}_{B_s}$	8.2			1.32(6)	[54, 59]	1.32(5)	[20]
ξ	8.2			1.239(46)	[54,  60]	1.225(31)	[20]
$B_{B_s}/B_{B_d}$	8.2			1.039(63)	[54,  60]	1.007(21)	[20]

#### CKM matrix elements V<sub>ub</sub>, V<sub>cb</sub>



Semileptonic form factors (tree, rare)



- lattice QFT is only slightly older than the *b*-quark
- what has the lattice done for *b*-flavour physics?

### *b*-mass and matrix elements

$\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)[\text{GeV}]$		4.190(21)	[5,19]	4.164(23)	[9]	4.256(81)	[20,21]
$f_B[\text{MeV}]$	8.1	186(4)	[52]	192.0(4.3)	[48, 53-56]	188(7)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_s}[\text{MeV}]$	8.1	224(5)	[52]	228.4(3.7)	[48, 53-56]	227(7)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_s}/f_B$	8.1	1.205(7)	[52]	1.201(16)	[48, 53-56]	1.206(23)	[20, 57, 58]
$f_{B_d} \sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_d}} [\text{MeV}]$	8.2			219(14)	[54, 59]	216(10)	[20]
$f_{B_s} \sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_s}} [\text{MeV}]$	8.2			270(16)	[54, 59]	262(10)	[20]
$\hat{B}_{B_d}$	8.2			1.26(9)	[54, 59]	1.30(6)	[20]
$\hat{B}_{B_s}$	8.2			1.32(6)	[54, 59]	1.32(5)	[20]
ξ	8.2			1.239(46)	[54,  60]	1.225(31)	[20]
$B_{B_s}/B_{B_d}$	8.2			1.039(63)	[54, 60]	1.007(21)	[20]

#### Semileptonic form factors (tree, rare)



#### CKM matrix elements V<sub>ub</sub>, V<sub>cb</sub>



more channels:  $B \rightarrow \pi l v, B \rightarrow D l v$   $B_s \rightarrow D_s l v, B_s \rightarrow K l v$   $B_s \rightarrow \phi l l, B_s \rightarrow K^* l v$ talk to Oliver Witzel...

- lattice QFT is only slightly older than the *b*-quark
- what has the lattice done for *b*-flavour physics?

#### $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)[\text{GeV}]$ 4.190(21) [5,19] 4.164(23)[9] 4.256(81) [20, 21] $f_B[MeV]$ 186(4)[52] 192.0(4.3)[48, 53-56][20, 57, 58]8.1 188(7) $f_{B_s}[\text{MeV}]$ 8.1 224(5)[52]228.4(3.7)[20, 57, 58][48, 53-56]227(7) $f_{B_s}/f_B$ 8.1 1.205(7)[52] 1.201(16)[48, 53-56]1.206(23)[20, 57, 58] $f_{B_d} \sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_d}} [\text{MeV}]$ 8.2 219(14)[54, 59]216(10)[20] $f_{B_s} \sqrt{\hat{B}_{B_s}[\text{MeV}]}$ 8.2 270(16)[54, 59]262(10)[20] $\hat{B}_{B_d}$ [20]8.21.26(9)[54, 59]1.30(6) $\hat{B}_{B_s}$ 8.2 1.32(6)[54, 59]1.32(5)[20]8.2 1.239(46)1.225(31)[20]ξ [54, 60] $B_{B_s}/B_{B_d}$ 8.2 1.039(63)1.007(21)[20][54, 60]

#### b-mass and matrix elements





Plenty more going on, e.g. spectroscopy ...

Semileptonic form factors (tree, rare)



more channels:  $B \rightarrow \pi l v, B \rightarrow D l v$   $B_s \rightarrow D_s l v, B_s \rightarrow K l v$   $B_s \rightarrow \phi l l, B_s \rightarrow K^* l v$ talk to Oliver Witzel...

## Summary I

- (non-rare) Lattice Flavour Physics is a mature research field
- several independent groups competing
- (sub-)percent precision for *standard* quantities feasible
- FLAG summarises particularly mature quantities for use in SM and BSM phenomenology

### Beyond precision lattice QCD

Go beyond factorisation

$$\Gamma_{\text{exp.}} \stackrel{???}{=} V_{\text{CKM}}(\text{WEAK})(\text{EM})(\text{STRONG})$$

### Beyond precision lattice QCD

Go beyond factorisation

$$\Gamma_{\text{exp.}} \stackrel{???}{=} V_{\text{CKM}}(\text{WEAK}) (\text{EM})(\text{STRONG})$$
  
treat jointly in lattice OCD+OED

### Beyond precision lattice QCD

Go beyond factorisation

$$\Gamma_{\text{exp.}} \stackrel{???}{=} V_{\text{CKM}}(\text{WEAK}) (\text{EM})(\text{STRONG})$$
  
treat jointly in lattice QCD+QED

Go beyond short distance physics



 Precision on MEs such that EM and strong isospin effects important remember: so far mostly only QCD (*m<sub>l</sub>=m<sub>u</sub>=m<sub>d</sub>*, *α<sub>EM</sub>=0*) but 1/137 relevant once 1% precision on QCD ME

- Precision on MEs such that EM and strong isospin effects important remember: so far mostly only QCD (*m<sub>l</sub>=m<sub>u</sub>=m<sub>d</sub>*, *α<sub>EM</sub>=0*) but 1/137 relevant once 1% precision on QCD ME
- we are starting go beyond EFT treatment (e.g. replace ChPT estimates within factorisation approximation)

- Precision on MEs such that EM and strong isospin effects important remember: so far mostly only QCD (*m<sub>l</sub>=m<sub>u</sub>=m<sub>d</sub>*, *α<sub>EM</sub>=0*) but 1/137 relevant once 1% precision on QCD ME
- we are starting go beyond EFT treatment (e.g. replace ChPT estimates within factorisation approximation)
- need to understand how this can be done conceptually

- Precision on MEs such that EM and strong isospin effects important remember: so far mostly only QCD (*m<sub>l</sub>=m<sub>u</sub>=m<sub>d</sub>*, *α<sub>EM</sub>=0*) but 1/137 relevant once 1% precision on QCD ME
- we are starting go beyond EFT treatment (e.g. replace ChPT estimates within factorisation approximation)
- need to understand how this can be done conceptually
- already many results in spectroscopy but not for matrix elements

- Precision on MEs such that EM and strong isospin effects important remember: so far mostly only QCD (*m<sub>l</sub>=m<sub>u</sub>=m<sub>d</sub>*, *α<sub>EM</sub>=0*) but 1/137 relevant once 1% precision on QCD ME
- we are starting go beyond EFT treatment (e.g. replace ChPT estimates within factorisation approximation)
- need to understand how this can be done conceptually
- already many results in spectroscopy but not for matrix elements
- finite size effects with photons pose a substantial problem

### Isospin corrections are important

• e.g.  $K \rightarrow \pi l \nu$ :  $\Gamma_{K \rightarrow \pi l \nu} = C_K^2 \frac{G_F^2 m_K^5}{192\pi^2} S_{\rm EW} (1 + \Delta_{SU(2)} + \Delta_{\rm EM})^2 I |f_+^{K\pi}(0)|^2 |V_{us}|^2$ 

3%

Kastner & Neufeld Eur. Phys. J. C 57 (2008) 541

### Isospin corrections are important

• e.g.  $K \to \pi l \nu$ :  $\Gamma_{K \to \pi l \nu} = C_K^2 \frac{G_F^2 m_K^5}{192\pi^2} S_{\rm EW} (1 + \Delta_{SU(2)} + \Delta_{\rm EM})^2 I |f_+^{K\pi}(0)|^2 |V_{us}|^2$ 

3% Kastner & Neufeld Eur. Phys. J. C 57 (2008) 541

• precision now such that corrections need to be improved:

	Approx contrib to % err						
Mode	$V_{us} f_+(0)$	$\% \ \mathrm{err}$	BR	τ	Δ	Ι	2014
$K_{Le3}$	0.2163(6)	0.26	0.09	0.20	0.11	0.05	M
$K_{L\mu3}$	0.2166(6)	0.28	0.15	0.18	0.11	0.06	
$K_{Se3}$	0.2155(13)	0.61	0.60	0.02	0.11	0.05	lson
$K_{e3}^{\pm}$	0.2172(8)	0.36	0.27	0.06	0.23	0.05	Mou
$K_{\mu 3}^{\pm}$	0.2170(11)	0.51	0.45	0.06	0.23	0.06	-

$$S[U, A, \bar{\psi}, \psi] = S_g[U; g] + S_\gamma[A] + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f D[U, A; e, q_f, m_f] \psi_f$$
$$S_\gamma^{naive} = -\frac{a^4}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu, x} \left(\partial_\mu A_{\nu, x} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu, x}\right)^2$$

Action:

$$S[U, A, \bar{\psi}, \psi] = S_g[U; g] + S_\gamma[A] + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f D[U, A; e, q_f, m_f] \psi_f$$
$$S_\gamma^{naive} = -\frac{a^4}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu, x} \left(\partial_\mu A_{\nu, x} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu, x}\right)^2$$

• MC simulation of discretised theory

$$S[U, A, \bar{\psi}, \psi] = S_g[U; g] + S_\gamma[A] + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f D[U, A; e, q_f, m_f] \psi_f$$
$$S_\gamma^{naive} = -\frac{a^4}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu, x} \left(\partial_\mu A_{\nu, x} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu, x}\right)^2$$

- MC simulation of discretised theory
- QCD has a mass gap  $\rightarrow$  finite volume effects  $\propto e^{-m_{\pi}L}$  (for simple MEs)

$$S[U, A, \bar{\psi}, \psi] = S_g[U; g] + S_\gamma[A] + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f D[U, A; e, q_f, m_f] \psi_f$$
$$S_\gamma^{naive} = -\frac{a^4}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu, x} \left(\partial_\mu A_{\nu, x} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu, x}\right)^2$$

- MC simulation of discretised theory
- QCD has a mass gap  $\rightarrow$  finite volume effects  $\propto e^{-m_{\pi}L}$  (for simple MEs)
- photon is massless and interacts over long range
   → power-like finite volume effects ∝ 1/L, 1/L<sup>2</sup>, ... from exchange of photon around torus

$$S[U, A, \bar{\psi}, \psi] = S_g[U; g] + S_\gamma[A] + \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f D[U, A; e, q_f, m_f] \psi_f$$
$$S_\gamma^{naive} = -\frac{a^4}{4} \sum_{\mu, \nu, x} \left(\partial_\mu A_{\nu, x} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu, x}\right)^2$$

- MC simulation of discretised theory
- QCD has a mass gap  $\rightarrow$  finite volume effects  $\propto e^{-m_{\pi}L}$  (for simple MEs)
- photon is massless and interacts over long range
   → power-like finite volume effects ∝ 1/L, 1/L<sup>2</sup>, ... from exchange of photon around torus
- sufficiently large volumes currently not feasible, so use effective field theory to subtract finite volume effects

**BMW Collaboration** Science 347 (2015) 1452-1455 <u>arXiv:1406.4088</u>

Example: FV correction to mass of a spin-1/2 particle in QED

analytically compute the difference of the *finite volume* and *infinite volume* self energies  $\Sigma$ :

$$m^{2}(T,L) \stackrel{T,L\to\infty}{\propto} m^{2} \left\{ 1 - q^{2} \alpha \left[ \frac{\kappa}{2mL} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{mL} \right) - \frac{3\pi}{(mL)^{3}} \right] \right\}$$

leading behaviour universal in  $\kappa$  (structure- and spin-independent)

**BMW Collaboration** Science 347 (2015) 1452-1455 <u>arXiv:1406.4088</u>

Example: FV correction to mass of a spin-1/2 particle in QED

analytically compute the difference of the *finite volume* and *infinite volume* self energies  $\Sigma$ :

$$m^{2}(T,L) \stackrel{T,L\to\infty}{\propto} m^{2} \left\{ 1 - q^{2}\alpha \left[ \frac{\kappa}{2mL} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{mL} \right) - \frac{3\pi}{(mL)^{3}} \right] \right\}$$

leading behaviour universal in  $\kappa$  (structure- and spin-independent)


## QCD+QED

**BMW Collaboration Science 347 (2015) 1452-1455** <u>arXiv:1406.4088</u>

Example: FV correction to mass of a spin-1/2 particle in QED

analytically compute the difference of the *finite volume* and *infinite volume* self energies  $\Sigma$ :

$$m^{2}(T,L) \stackrel{T,L\to\infty}{\propto} m^{2} \left\{ 1 - q^{2}\alpha \left[ \frac{\kappa}{2mL} \left( 1 + \frac{2}{mL} \right) - \frac{3\pi}{(mL)^{3}} \right] \right\}$$

leading behaviour universal in  $\kappa$  (structure- and spin-independent)



# QCD+QED: baryon mass splitting

- relative neutron-proton mass difference found in nature 0.14%
- the value has significant implication for nature
  - smaller value  $\rightarrow$  inverse  $\beta$ -decay of H
  - much larger value  $\rightarrow$  faster  $\beta$ -decay for neutrons in BBN

# QCD+QED: baryon mass splitting

- relative neutron-proton mass difference found in nature 0.14%
- the value has significant implication for nature
  - smaller value  $\rightarrow$  inverse  $\beta$ -decay of H
  - much larger value  $\rightarrow$  faster  $\beta$ -decay for neutrons in BBN

BMW carried out simulations of  $N_f = 1+1+1+1 QCD+QED$  simulations and determined the light baryon isospin splitting

# QCD+QED: baryon mass splitting

- relative neutron-proton mass difference found in nature 0.14%
- the value has significant implication for nature
  - smaller value  $\rightarrow$  inverse  $\beta$ -decay of H
  - much larger value  $\rightarrow$  faster  $\beta$ -decay for neutrons in BBN



BMW carried out simulations of  $N_f = 1+1+1+1 \ QCD+QED$  simulations and determined the light baryon isospin splitting



• leptonic decay at  $O(\alpha^0)$ :

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2 f_\pi^2}{8\pi} m_\pi m_l^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_\pi^2}\right)^2$$

• leptonic decay at  $O(\alpha^0)$ :

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2 f_\pi^2}{8\pi} m_\pi m_l^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_\pi^2}\right)^2$$

• including elm. effects @  $O(\alpha)$ :

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l(\gamma)) = \Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l) + \Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l \gamma)$ 

• leptonic decay at  $O(\alpha^0)$ :

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l) = \frac{G_F^2 |V_{ud}|^2 f_\pi^2}{8\pi} m_\pi m_l^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_l^2}{m_\pi^2}\right)^2$$

• including elm. effects @  $O(\alpha)$ :

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l(\gamma)) = \Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l) + \Gamma(\pi^+ \to l^+ \nu_l \gamma)$$
$$\equiv \Gamma_0 + \Gamma_1$$

IR div. cancel between terms on r.h.s. between virtual and real photons (Bloch Nordsieck)

Carrasco et al. PRD 91 074506 (2015) arXiv:1502.00257

• cut on small photon momentum  $< \Delta E \rightarrow \gamma$  sees point-like  $\pi$  $\Delta E \approx 20$ MeV experimentally accessible and  $\pi$  point like

Carrasco et al. PRD 91 074506 (2015) <u>arXiv:1502.00257</u>

• cut on small photon momentum  $< \Delta E \rightarrow \gamma$  sees point-like  $\pi$  $\Delta E \approx 20$ MeV experimentally accessible and  $\pi$  point like

point approximation

$$\Gamma(\Delta E) = \lim_{V \to \infty} (\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_0^{\text{pt}}) + \lim_{V \to \infty} (\Gamma_0^{\text{pt}} + \Gamma_1^{\text{pt}}(\Delta E))$$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu_l) \qquad \Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu_l \gamma(\Delta E))$ lattice and analytical analytically in  $V \rightarrow \infty$ finite V

both terms separately IR finite, gauge invariant on its own

Carrasco et al. PRD 91 074506 (2015) <u>arXiv:1502.00257</u>

• cut on small photon momentum  $< \Delta E \rightarrow \gamma$  sees point-like  $\pi$  $\Delta E \approx 20$ MeV experimentally accessible and  $\pi$  point like

point approximation

$$\Gamma(\Delta E) = \lim_{V \to \infty} (\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_0^{\text{pt}}) + \lim_{V \to \infty} (\Gamma_0^{\text{pt}} + \Gamma_1^{\text{pt}}(\Delta E))$$

 $\Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu_l) \qquad \Gamma(\pi^+ \rightarrow l^+ \nu_l \gamma(\Delta E))$ lattice and analytical analytically in  $V \rightarrow \infty$ finite V

both terms separately IR finite, gauge invariant on its own

first simulations are under way!

## QCD+QED: first applications for ME

Southampton-Edinburgh particularly interested in studying IB effects on:

• light flavour matrix elements  $f_{\pi}$ ,  $f_{K}$ ,  $f_{+}(0)$ , ...

## QCD+QED: first applications for ME

Southampton-Edinburgh particularly interested in studying IB effects on:

- light flavour matrix elements  $f_{\pi}$ ,  $f_{K}$ ,  $f_{+}(0)$ , ...
- lattice predictions of leading hadronic contribution to muon g-2



- lattice (isospin symmetric,  $\alpha_{\text{EM}}=0$  is getting competitive with experimental determination  $(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons))$
- next step would be inclusion of isospin breaking effects

## QCD+QED: first applications for ME

Southampton-Edinburgh particularly interested in studying IB effects on:

- light flavour matrix elements  $f_{\pi}$ ,  $f_{K}$ ,  $f_{+}(0)$ , ...
- lattice predictions of leading hadronic contribution to muon g-2



- lattice (isospin symmetric,  $\alpha_{\text{EM}}=0$  is getting competitive with experimental determination  $(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadrons))$
- next step would be inclusion of isospin breaking effects

inclusion of QED effects will be one of the big challenges in Lattice phenomenology over the next years

# Long distance effects in kaon physics - mixing



$$\epsilon_{K} = \frac{A(K_{L} \to (\pi\pi)_{l=0})}{A(K_{S} \to (\pi\pi)_{l=0})} = e^{i\Phi_{\varepsilon}} \sin \phi_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\operatorname{Im}\langle \bar{K}^{0} | H_{W}^{\Delta S=2} | K^{0} \rangle}{\Delta M_{K}} + \begin{array}{c} \text{L.D. effects} \\ \text{Buras, Guadagnoli PRD 78 (2008)} \\ \text{Buras, Guadagnoli, Isidori,} \\ \text{PLB 688 (2010)} \end{array} \right)$$



$$\epsilon_{K} = \frac{A(K_{L} \to (\pi\pi)_{I=0})}{A(K_{S} \to (\pi\pi)_{I=0})} = e^{i\Phi_{\varepsilon}} \sin \phi_{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\operatorname{Im}\langle \bar{K}^{0} | H_{W}^{\Delta S=2} | K^{0} \rangle}{\Delta M_{K}} + \frac{L.D. \text{ effects}}{Buras, Guadagnoli PRD 78 (2008)}_{Buras, Guadagnoli, Isidori, PLB 688 (2010)} \right)$$

Long Distance effects amount to O(5%), so certainly worth considering on the lattice



Beyond short distance: e.g. 
$$\Delta M_K$$
  

$$\Delta M_K = m_{K_S} - m_{K_L} = 2 \operatorname{Re} M_{00} \qquad M_{\bar{0}0} = \mathcal{P} \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\langle \overline{K^0} | H_W | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | H_W | K^0 \rangle}{m_K - E_{\lambda}}$$

- experimentally  $\Delta M_K = 3.483(6) \times 10^{-12} \text{MeV}$  (PDG)
- suppressed by 14 orders of magnitude with respect to QCD  $\rightarrow$  poses strong BSM constraints (e.g.  $(1/\Lambda)^2 \ \bar{s}d\bar{s}d$  BSM contribution) knowing  $\Delta M_K$  at 10%-level  $\rightarrow \Lambda \geq 10^4 \text{TeV}$
- SD about 70% of experimental value rest LD?
- PT large contributions at μ~m<sub>c</sub> where PT turns out to converge badly (NLO->NNLO constitutes 36% correction)Brod, Gorbahn PRL 108 121801 (2012) arXiv:1108.2036



N. Christ et al. PRD 88 (2013) 014508 <u>arXiv:1212.5931</u> Bai et al. PRL 113 (2014) 112003 <u>arXiv:1406.0916</u>

$$M_{\bar{0}0} = \mathcal{P}\sum_{\lambda} \frac{\langle \overline{K^0} | H_W | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | H_W | K^0 \rangle}{m_K - E_\lambda}$$

N. Christ et al. PRD 88 (2013) 014508 <u>arXiv:1212.5931</u> Bai et al. PRL 113 (2014) 112003 <u>arXiv:1406.0916</u>

$$M_{\bar{0}0} = \mathcal{P}\sum_{\lambda} \frac{\langle \overline{K^0} | H_W | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | H_W | K^0 \rangle}{m_K - E_\lambda}$$

Integrate operators (here  $H_W$ ) over time interval where initial and final kaon dominate



N. Christ et al. PRD 88 (2013) 014508 <u>arXiv:1212.5931</u> Bai et al. PRL 113 (2014) 112003 <u>arXiv:1406.0916</u>

$$M_{\bar{0}0} = \mathcal{P}\sum_{\lambda} \frac{\langle \overline{K^0} | H_W | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | H_W | K^0 \rangle}{m_K - E_\lambda}$$

Integrate operators (here  $H_W$ ) over time interval where initial and final kaon dominate



$$\mathcal{A} = \langle 0|T \left\{ K^{0}(t_{f}) \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{A}}^{t_{B}} dt_{2} \int_{t_{A}}^{t_{B}} dt_{1} H_{W}(t_{2}) H_{W}(t_{1}) K^{0^{\dagger}}(t_{i}) \right\} |0\rangle$$

N. Christ et al. PRD 88 (2013) 014508 <u>arXiv:1212.5931</u> Bai et al. PRL 113 (2014) 112003 <u>arXiv:1406.0916</u>

$$M_{\bar{0}0} = \mathcal{P}\sum_{\lambda} \frac{\langle \overline{K^0} | H_W | \lambda \rangle \langle \lambda | H_W | K^0 \rangle}{m_K - E_\lambda}$$

Integrate operators (here  $H_W$ ) over time interval where initial and final kaon dominate



$$\mathcal{A} = \langle 0|T \left\{ K^{0}(t_{f}) \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{A}}^{t_{B}} dt_{2} \int_{t_{A}}^{t_{B}} dt_{1} H_{W}(t_{2}) H_{W}(t_{1}) K^{0^{\dagger}}(t_{i}) \right\} |0\rangle$$

Exciting new work on  $\varepsilon_K$  and  $M_K$  in progress ...

#### Y/Z Nr u, c, tlong distance effects: Rare kaon decays \*\* d u, c, tW $K^+$ $\pi^+$ U U S d u, c, t $K^+$ $\pi^+$ U U U

 $\pi^+$ 

U

Two new experiments dedicated to rare kaon decays NA62 (CERN) and KOTO (J-PARC) are running

- FCNC (W-W or  $\gamma$ /Z-exchange diagrams)
- deep probe into flavour mixing and SM/BSM due to suppression in the SM
- can determine  $V_{td}$ ,  $V_{ts}$  and test SM

#### long distance effects: Rare kaon decays K+



Two new experiments dedicated to rare kaon decays NA62 (CERN) and KOTO (J-PARC) are running

- FCNC (W-W or  $\gamma$ /Z-exchange diagrams)
- deep probe into flavour mixing and SM/BSM due to suppression in the SM
- can determine  $V_{td}$ ,  $V_{ts}$  and test SM

$$K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$$

- KOTO (J-PARC)
- direct CP violation
- exp. BR  $\leq 2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ 
  - theory BR  $3.0(3) \times 10^{-11}$
- GIM → top dominated and charm suppressed, purely SD

u, c, t

#### long distance effects: Rare kaon decays K+



Two new experiments dedicated to rare kaon decays NA62 (CERN) and KOTO (J-PARC) are running

- FCNC (W-W or  $\gamma$ /Z-exchange diagrams)
- deep probe into flavour mixing and SM/BSM due to suppression in the SM
- can determine  $V_{td}$ ,  $V_{ts}$  and test SM

 $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ 

- NA62 (CERN)
- CP conserving
- exp. BR  $1.73(^{+1.15}_{-1.05}) \times 10^{-10}$ theory BR  $0.911(72) \times 10^{-10}$

45

• small LD contribution, candidate for lattice

$$K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$$

- KOTO (J-PARC)
- direct CP violation
- exp. BR  $\leq 2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ 
  - theory BR  $3.0(3) \times 10^{-11}$
- GIM → top dominated and charm suppressed, purely SD

#### long distance effects: Rare kaon decays <sup>K+</sup>



Two new experiments dedicated to rare kaon decays NA62 (CERN) and KOTO (J-PARC) are running

- FCNC (W-W or  $\gamma$ /Z-exchange diagrams)
- deep probe into flavour mixing and SM/BSM due to suppression in the SM
- can determine  $V_{td}$ ,  $V_{ts}$  and test SM

 $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ 

- NA62 (CERN)
- CP conserving
- exp. BR  $1.73(^{+1.15}_{-1.05}) \times 10^{-10}$ theory BR  $0.911(72) \times 10^{-10}$

45

• small LD contribution, candidate for lattice

$$K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$$

- KOTO (J-PARC)
- direct CP violation
- exp. BR  $\leq 2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ 
  - theory BR  $3.0(3) \times 10^{-11}$
- GIM → top dominated and charm suppressed, purely SD

U

$$K^+ \to \pi^+ l^+ l^- \qquad K_S \to \pi^0 l^+ l^-$$

- 1-photon exchange LD dominated
- indirect contribution to CP-violating rare *K*<sub>L</sub> decay
- SM prediction mainly ChPT
- lattice can predict ME and LECs
- experimenters will be able to look at these channels as well

#### long distance effects: Rare kaon decays <sup>K+</sup>



Two new experiments dedicated to rare kaon decays NA62 (CERN) and KOTO (J-PARC) are running

- FCNC (W-W or  $\gamma$ /Z-exchange diagrams)
- deep probe into flavour mixing and SM/BSM due to suppression in the SM
- can determine  $V_{td}$ ,  $V_{ts}$  and test SM

$$K_L \to \pi^0 \nu \bar{\nu}$$

- KOTO (J-PARC)
- direct CP violation
- exp. BR  $\leq 2.6 \times 10^{-8}$
- theory BR  $3.0(3) \times 10^{-11}$
- GIM → top dominated and charm suppressed, purely SD

U

 $K^+ \to \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ 

- NA62 (CERN)
- CP conserving
- exp. BR  $1.73(^{+1.15}_{-1.05}) \times 10^{-10}$ theory BR  $0.911(72) \times 10^{-10}$

compute in lattice Q

• small LD contribution, candidate for lattice

 $K^+ \to \pi^+ l^+ l^- \qquad K_S \to \pi^0 l^+ l^-$ 

- 1-photon exchange LD dominated
  indirect contribution to CP-violating rare *K<sub>L</sub>* decay
- SM prediction mainly ChPT
- lattice can predict ME and LECs
- experimenters will be able to look at these channels as well

## Rare kaon decays $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ l^+ l^-$

N. Christ et al. <u>arXiv:1507.03094</u> <u>arXiv:1602.01374</u>



LD contribution given through  $K \rightarrow \gamma^*$  contribution which is computed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = (q^2) \int d^4x \langle \pi(p) | T \left[ J_{\mu}(0) H_W(x) \right] | K(k) \rangle$$

dominant operators:  $Q_1^q = (\bar{s}_i \gamma_\mu^L d_i) (\bar{q}_j \gamma_\mu^L q_j), \qquad Q_2^q = (\bar{s}_i \gamma_\mu^L q_i) (\bar{q}_j \gamma_\mu^L d_j)$ 

## Rare kaon decays $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ l^+ l^-$

N. Christ et al. <u>arXiv:1507.03094</u> <u>arXiv:1602.01374</u>



LD contribution given through  $K \rightarrow \gamma^*$  contribution which is computed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = (q^2) \int d^4x \langle \pi(p) | T \left[ J_{\mu}(0) H_W(x) \right] | K(k) \rangle$$

dominant operators:  $Q_1^q = (\bar{s}_i \gamma_\mu^L d_i) (\bar{q}_j \gamma_\mu^L q_j), \qquad Q_2^q = (\bar{s}_i \gamma_\mu^L q_i) (\bar{q}_j \gamma_\mu^L d_j)$ 

Decay amplitude in terms of elm. transition form factor

$$A_{i} = -\frac{G_{F}\alpha}{4\pi} V_{i}(z)(k+p)^{\mu} \bar{u}_{l}(p_{-})\gamma_{\mu}\nu_{l}(p_{+}) \qquad (i=+,S)$$
$$V_{i}(z) = a_{i} + b_{i}z + V_{i}^{\pi\pi}(z)$$

- the *a*<sup>S</sup> and *a*<sup>+</sup> can be extracted from experiment or lattice
- *a<sub>s</sub>* parameterises also the CP-violating contribution to the *K<sub>L</sub>* decay

## Rare kaon decays $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ l^+ l^-$

N. Christ et al. <u>arXiv:1507.03094</u> <u>arXiv:1602.01374</u>



LD contribution given through  $K \rightarrow \gamma^*$  contribution which is computed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu} = (q^2) \int d^4x \langle \pi(p) | T \left[ J_{\mu}(0) H_W(x) \right] | K(k) \rangle$$

dominant operators:  $Q_1^q = (\bar{s}_i \gamma_\mu^L d_i) (\bar{q}_j \gamma_\mu^L q_j), \qquad Q_2^q = (\bar{s}_i \gamma_\mu^L q_i) (\bar{q}_j \gamma_\mu^L d_j)$ 

Decay amplitude in terms of elm. transition form factor

$$A_{i} = -\frac{G_{F}\alpha}{4\pi} V_{i}(z)(k+p)^{\mu} \bar{u}_{l}(p_{-})\gamma_{\mu}\nu_{l}(p_{+}) \qquad (i=+,S)$$
$$V_{i}(z) = a_{i} + b_{i}z + V_{i}^{\pi\pi}(z)$$

- the *a*<sup>S</sup> and *a*<sup>+</sup> can be extracted from experiment or lattice
- *a<sub>s</sub>* parameterises also the CP-violating contribution to the *K<sub>L</sub>* decay



## Summary II

- considerable set of SM parameters, spectra and matrix elements now reliably and precisely predicted in full lattice QCD — "bread and butter"
- results with good control over systematics summarised by Flavour Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG) (3rd edition is out)
- New challenges in Flavour physics:
  - precision on "bread and butter" such that isospin breaking in matrix elements and spectra needs to be taken into account
  - long distance effects (neutral main mixing, rare kaon decays, ...)

loads of new questions and theoretical problems and potential impact on SM and BSM phenomenology