#### Top Quark Production and Decay in Herwig

Stephen Webster

IPPP, Durham University

Based on work by: J. Bellm, K. Cormier, S. Plätzer, C. Reuschle, P. Richardson, S. Webster

# Herwig 7.1

#### Heavy Flavours in Herwig 7.0

- Angular-ordered shower for both the production and decay of heavy partons.
- Dipole shower for the production of heavy partons only.
- Automated NLO matching to both showers using MC@NLO and Powheg-type matching schemes.

#### New HF features in Herwig 7.1 - Released earlier this year

- NLO Multi-jet Merging The primary new feature in Herwig 7.1
- Full revision of heavy quark treatment in the dipole shower
- Dipole shower for top quark decays.



2 Top Quark Decays in the Dipole Shower

3 Multi-jet Merging in Top Pair Production

4 Shower Starting Scales in MC@NLO for  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ 

## Dipole Shower - Massive Quark Treatment

We have fully revised the kinematics used to describe splittings off dipoles involving massive partons.

#### Initial-Final Dipoles

- Important for the first few emissions in  $t\bar{t}$  production.
- Previously:
  - Inconsistent definition of  $p_{\rm T}$  between the ordering variable and physical transverse momentum.
  - Did not agree with the massless dipole splitting kinematics in the massless limit.
- A new (fully covariant) formulation which fixes these issues is implemented in Herwig 7.1.

## Dipole Shower - Massive Quark Treatment

We have fully revised the kinematics used to describe splittings off dipoles involving massive partons.

#### **Final-Final Dipoles**

- Massive spectator and emitter  $\rightarrow$  most algebraically involved.
- As in the IF case, previously we used an inconsistent definition of the transverse momentum.
- Introduce a new formulation based on a modified version of the quasi-collinear Sudakov parametrisation:
  - Standard:

$$q_i^\mu = lpha(z) ilde{
ho}_{ij}^\mu + eta(z) n^\mu + k_{
m T}^\mu$$

Modified:

$$q_i^\mu = lpha(z)q_{ij}^\mu + eta(z)n^\mu + k_{\mathrm{T}}^\mu \,, \qquad q_{ij} = q_{ij}( ilde{
ho}_{ij}, ilde{
ho}_k)$$

## Dipole Shower - Massive Quark Treatment

We have fully revised the kinematics used to describe splittings off dipoles involving massive partons.

#### Jacobian Corrections

- Need to perform variable changes between the Catani-Seymour splitting variables and our evolution/generated variables.
- Previously for each massive dipole the Jacobian expressions were missing mass terms.
- ullet e.g. Simplest case: final-initial dipole,  $(z,x) \to (z, \textit{p}_{\mathrm{T}})$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x(1-x)} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}}^2}{p_{\mathrm{T}}^2} \left[ \frac{1}{1 + (1-z)m_{\mathrm{i}}^2/p_{\mathrm{T}}^2 + zm_{\mathrm{j}}^2/p_{\mathrm{T}}^2 - z(1-z)m_{\mathrm{ij}}^2/p_{\mathrm{T}}^2} \right]$$

• These corrections have significant effects on results.

#### Dipole Shower - Massive Quark Treatment B-Fragmentation at LEP

These changes have fixed the outstanding problems, (see Simon Plätzer's talk at HF@LHC 2016), with B-fragmentation in the Dipole Shower.



SLD\_2002\_S4869273, arXiv:hep-ex/0202031

## Dipole Shower - Top Quark Decays

- The Dipole Shower has been extended to include the showering of top quark decays.
- We use the Narrow Width Approximation  $\rightarrow$  the production and decay processes are showered independently



• Note: Currently limited to on-shell top quarks in the Dipole Shower.

- Conserve the momentum of the incoming top quark and absorb recoil amongst the outgoing particles.
- The splitting kinematics are identical to the massive final-final dipole.



- The first emission can be performed at NLO accuracy using the builtin POWHEG correction.
   [P. Richardson, A. Wilcock, arXiv:1303.4563]
- All SM decays can be performed and showered in the dipole shower, including the NLO correction for each decay.

#### Top Pair Production and Decay

# Both showers in Herwig can now handle top quark production and decay at NLO.



# NLO Multi-jet Merging in $pp ightarrow t ar{t}$

- A new algorithm for merging NLO multi-jet matrix elements with parton showers has been implemented in Herwig 7.1
   [J. Bellm, S. Gieseke, S. Plätzer, arXiv:1705.06700]
- It is built on the Matchbox implementation for NLO matching in Herwig.
- Currently implemented for the dipole shower only.
- With the new developments in the Dipole Shower for massive quarks, we can produce NLO multi-jet merged  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  events.

# NLO Multi-jet Merging in $pp ightarrow t ar{t}$

 $p_{\mathrm{T}}(tar{t})$  and  $\Delta\phi(tar{t})$ 



ATLAS\_2015\_I1404878, arXiv:1511.04716

Top in Herwig 7.1

- 一司

3 🕨 🖌 3

NLO Multi-jet Merging in  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ 

$$H_{
m T} = \sum_{
m all jets} p_{
m T}^{
m jet}$$

$$S_{\mathrm{T}} = H_{\mathrm{T}} + E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} + p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{lepton}}$$



CMS\_2016\_I1473674, arXiv:1607.00837

Observation: In some observables the agreement between MC@NLO and Powheg depends strongly on the choice of the scale  $\mu = \mu_R = \mu_F$ 

$$\mu = \frac{m_{\mathrm{T},t} + m_{\mathrm{T},\bar{t}}}{2} \qquad \qquad \mu = m_{t\bar{t}} = \sqrt{(p_t + p_{\bar{t}})^2}$$



ATLAS\_2014\_I1304688, arXiv:1407.0891

- In MC@NLO the cancellation between the real matrix element and the shower kernel subtraction piece is non-exact.
- It follows that H-events with a soft NLO emission will be produced.
- Therefore we cannot simply take the transverse momentum of the emission as the shower starting scale
- Powheg-type matching does not suffer from this issue and we can simply use  $Q_{\rm shower} = p_{\rm T,hardemission}$ .

• The default choice for MC@NLO events in Herwig is:

$$Q_{\rm shower} = \mu_{\rm F} = \mu_{\rm R}$$

 In Herwig 7.1 we have introduced a new optional choice for the shower starting scale in pp → tt events,

$$Q_{\mathrm{shower}}^2 = m_{\mathrm{T,mean}}^2 = rac{1}{n_{\mathrm{out}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\mathrm{out}}} m_{\mathrm{T},i}^2$$

 $m_{{\rm T},i}^2$  - the transverse mass of the *i*th particle outgoing from the hard process.

• As with other scale choices, there is no correct or incorrect choice of the shower starting scale.

$$\mu = \frac{m_{\mathrm{T},t} + m_{\mathrm{T},\bar{t}}}{2}$$

$$\mu = m_{t\bar{t}} = \sqrt{(p_t + p_{\bar{t}})^2}$$



$$\mu = \frac{m_{\mathrm{T},t} + m_{\mathrm{T},\bar{t}}}{2}$$

$$\mu = m_{t\bar{t}} = \sqrt{(p_t + p_{\bar{t}})^2}$$



ATLAS\_2014\_I1304688, arXiv:1407.0891

- The treatment of heavy quarks in the Dipole Shower has been reviewed and improved.
- The Dipole Shower has been extended to include the showering of top quark decays.
- Herwig now has a built-in multi-jet merging algorithm which can be used to produce improved results for  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  with the Dipole Shower
- We have added a new optional shower starting-scale for MC@NLO  $pp \rightarrow t \bar{t}$  events

An upcoming publication on  $t\bar{t}$ -production and decay, and the associated parton shower and matching uncertainties, in Herwig is in the works.

E + 4 E +

#### Backup: Dipole Shower Decay Tests

360 GeV,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ , clustered to three jets using the  $k_{\rm T}$ -algorithm.

 $\Delta R_{\min}$  - The smallest jet separation



#### Backup: Dipole Shower Decay Tests

360 GeV,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ , clustered to three jets using the  $k_{\rm T}$ -algorithm.

$$y_3 = rac{2}{s} \min_{ij} \left( \min\left(E_i^2, E_j^2\right) (1 - \cos heta_{ij}) 
ight)$$
, for 3-jet  $o$  2-jet event.

