

ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE POUR LA RECHERCHE NUCLEAIRE
CERN EUROPEAN ORGANIZATION FOR NUCLEAR RESEARCH

Action to be taken

Voting Procedure

For Approval	EUROPEAN STRATEGY SESSION OF COUNCIL 16 th Session - 30 May 2013 European Commission Berlaymont Building - Brussels	Simple Majority of Member States represented and voting
--------------	--	---

The European Strategy for Particle Physics
Update 2013

Having finalised its text by consensus at its Session of 22 March 2013, the Council is now invited to formally adopt the Update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics set out in this document.

The European Strategy for Particle Physics

Update 2013

Preamble

Since the adoption of the European Strategy for Particle Physics in 2006, the field has made impressive progress in the pursuit of its core mission, elucidating the laws of nature at the most fundamental level. A giant leap, the discovery of the Higgs boson, has been accompanied by many experimental results confirming the Standard Model beyond the previously explored energy scales. These results raise further questions on the origin of elementary particle masses and on the role of the Higgs boson in the more fundamental theory underlying the Standard Model, which may involve additional particles to be discovered around the TeV scale. Significant progress is being made towards solving long-standing puzzles such as the matter-antimatter asymmetry of the Universe and the nature of the mysterious dark matter. The observation of a new type of neutrino oscillation has opened the way for future investigations of matter-antimatter asymmetry in the neutrino sector. Intriguing prospects are emerging for experiments at the overlap with astroparticle physics and cosmology. Against the backdrop of dramatic developments in our understanding of the science landscape, Europe is updating its Strategy for Particle Physics in order to define the community's direction for the coming years and to prepare for the long-term future of the field.

General issues

- a) The success of the LHC is proof of the effectiveness of the European organisational model for particle physics, founded on the sustained long-term commitment of the CERN Member States and of the national institutes, laboratories and universities closely collaborating with CERN. *Europe should preserve this model in order to keep its leading role, sustaining the success of particle physics and the benefits it brings to the wider society.*
- b) The scale of the facilities required by particle physics is resulting in the globalisation of the field. *The European Strategy takes into account the worldwide particle physics landscape and developments in related fields and should continue to do so.*

High-priority large-scale scientific activities

After careful analysis of many possible large-scale scientific activities requiring significant resources, sizeable collaborations and sustained commitment, the following four activities have been identified as carrying the highest priority.

- c) The discovery of the Higgs boson is the start of a major programme of work to measure this particle's properties with the highest possible precision for testing the validity of the Standard Model and to search for further new physics at the energy frontier. The LHC is in a unique position to pursue this programme. *Europe's top priority should be the exploitation of the full potential of the LHC, including the high-luminosity upgrade of the machine and detectors with a view to collecting ten times more data than in the initial design, by around 2030. This upgrade programme will also provide further exciting opportunities for the study of flavour physics and the quark-gluon plasma.*
- d) To stay at the forefront of particle physics, Europe needs to be in a position to propose an ambitious post-LHC accelerator project at CERN by the time of the next Strategy update, when physics results from the LHC running at 14 TeV will be available. *CERN should undertake design studies for accelerator projects in a global context, with emphasis on proton-proton and electron-positron high-energy frontier machines. These design studies should be coupled to a vigorous*

accelerator R&D programme, including high-field magnets and high-gradient accelerating structures, in collaboration with national institutes, laboratories and universities worldwide.

e) There is a strong scientific case for an electron-positron collider, complementary to the LHC, that can study the properties of the Higgs boson and other particles with unprecedented precision and whose energy can be upgraded. The Technical Design Report of the International Linear Collider (ILC) has been completed, with large European participation. The initiative from the Japanese particle physics community to host the ILC in Japan is most welcome, and European groups are eager to participate. *Europe looks forward to a proposal from Japan to discuss a possible participation.*

f) Rapid progress in neutrino oscillation physics, with significant European involvement, has established a strong scientific case for a long-baseline neutrino programme exploring CP violation and the mass hierarchy in the neutrino sector. *CERN should develop a neutrino programme to pave the way for a substantial European role in future long-baseline experiments. Europe should explore the possibility of major participation in leading long-baseline neutrino projects in the US and Japan.*

Other scientific activities essential to the particle physics programme

g) Theory is a strong driver of particle physics and provides essential input to experiments, witness the major role played by theory in the recent discovery of the Higgs boson, from the foundations of the Standard Model to detailed calculations guiding the experimental searches. *Europe should support a diverse, vibrant theoretical physics programme, ranging from abstract to applied topics, in close collaboration with experiments and extending to neighbouring fields such as astroparticle physics and cosmology. Such support should extend also to high-performance computing and software development.*

h) Experiments studying quark flavour physics, investigating dipole moments, searching for charged-lepton flavour violation and performing other precision measurements at lower energies, such as those with neutrons, muons and antiprotons, may give access to higher energy scales than direct particle production or put fundamental symmetries to the test. They can be based in national laboratories, with a moderate cost and smaller collaborations. *Experiments in Europe with unique reach should be supported, as well as participation in experiments in other regions of the world.*

i) The success of particle physics experiments, such as those required for the high-luminosity LHC, relies on innovative instrumentation, state-of-the-art infrastructures and large-scale data-intensive computing. *Detector R&D programmes should be supported strongly at CERN, national institutes, laboratories and universities. Infrastructure and engineering capabilities for the R&D programme and construction of large detectors, as well as infrastructures for data analysis, data preservation and distributed data-intensive computing should be maintained and further developed.*

j) A range of important non-accelerator experiments take place at the overlap of particle and astroparticle physics, such as searches for proton decay, neutrinoless double beta decay and dark matter, and the study of high-energy cosmic-rays. These experiments address fundamental questions beyond the Standard Model of particle physics. The exchange of information between CERN and ApPEC has progressed since 2006. *In the coming years, CERN should seek a closer collaboration with ApPEC on detector R&D with a view to maintaining the community's capability for unique projects in this field.*

k) A variety of research lines at the boundary between particle and nuclear physics require dedicated experiments. *The CERN Laboratory should maintain its capability to perform unique experiments. CERN should continue to work with NuPECC on topics of mutual interest.*

Organisational issues

l) Future major facilities in Europe and elsewhere require collaboration on a global scale. *CERN should be the framework within which to organise a global particle physics accelerator project in Europe, and should also be the leading European partner in global particle physics accelerator projects elsewhere. Possible additional contributions to such projects from CERN's Member and Associate Member States in Europe should be coordinated with CERN.*

m) A Memorandum of Understanding has been signed by CERN and the European Commission, and various cooperative activities are under way. Communication with the European Strategy Forum on Research Infrastructures (ESFRI) has led to agreement on the participation of CERN in the relevant ESFRI Strategy Working Group. The particle physics community has been actively involved in European Union framework programmes. *CERN and the particle physics community should strengthen their relations with the European Commission in order to participate further in the development of the European Research Area.*

Wider impact of particle physics

n) Sharing the excitement of scientific discoveries with the public is part of our duty as researchers. Many groups work enthusiastically in public engagement. They are assisted by a network of communication professionals (EPPCN) and an international outreach group (IPPOG). For example, they helped attract tremendous public attention and interest around the world at the start of the LHC and the discovery of the Higgs boson. *Outreach and communication in particle physics should receive adequate funding and be recognised as a central component of the scientific activity. EPPCN and IPPOG should both report regularly to the Council.*

o) Knowledge and technology developed for particle physics research have made a lasting impact on society. These technologies are also being advanced by others leading to mutual benefits. Knowledge and technology transfer is strongly promoted in most countries. The HEPTEch network has been created to coordinate and promote this activity, and to provide benefit to the European industries. *HEPTEch should pursue and amplify its efforts and continue reporting regularly to the Council.*

p) Particle physics research requires a wide range of skills and knowledge. Many young physicists, engineers and teachers are trained at CERN, in national laboratories and universities. They subsequently transfer their expertise to society and industry. Education and training in key technologies are also crucial for the needs of the field. *CERN, together with national funding agencies, institutes, laboratories and universities, should continue supporting and further develop coordinated programmes for education and training.*

Concluding recommendations

q) This is the first update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics. It was prepared by the European Strategy Group based on the scientific input from the Preparatory Group with the participation of representatives of the Candidate for Accession to Membership, the Associate Member States, the Observer States and other organisations. Such periodic updates at intervals of about five years are essential. *Updates should continue to be undertaken according to the principles applied on the present occasion. The organisational framework for the Council Sessions dealing with European Strategy matters and the mechanism for implementation and follow-up of the Strategy should be revisited in the light of the experience gained since 2006.*

Background information on the Strategy update process

The first European Strategy for Particle Physics adopted in 2006 (CERN-Council-S/002) stipulates that *“the Council will define and update the strategy based on proposals and observations from a dedicated scientific body that it shall establish for this purpose”*. In 2007-2008, the Council approved the organisational framework for the implementation of the Strategy and the update process¹. In particular, it decided that the establishment of a proposal for the Strategy Update should form the remit of a dedicated Council Working Group set up at regular intervals for that purpose, namely the European Strategy Group, which would be assisted by a second dedicated body, the Preparatory Group.

At its European Strategy Session on 16 September 2011, the Council decided to launch the first update of the European Strategy for Particle Physics and agreed on the composition of the European Strategy Group and the Preparatory Group.

The Preparatory Group, chaired by the Scientific Secretary, Professor T. Nakada, held monthly meetings to prepare the Open Symposium in Kraków aimed at gathering the community’s opinion, as well as dedicated meetings to produce the Physics Briefing Book, which summarised the outcome of the Symposium and written contributions from the worldwide community. The Briefing Book was submitted to the European Strategy Group in December 2012.

The European Strategy Group, also chaired by the Scientific Secretary, met on five occasions:

- 16 March 2012 at CERN,
- 19 June 2012 at CERN,
- 12 September 2012 at the Open Symposium of the particle physics community in Kraków, Poland,
- 11 December 2012 at CERN,
- 21-25 January 2013 for the Strategy Drafting Session in Erice, Italy.

During its first four meetings, the European Strategy Group heard progress reports by the Preparatory Group and discussed non-scientific issues related to the Strategy, such as organisation, education, communication and knowledge transfer. During the Strategy Drafting Session in Erice, the contents of the draft updated Strategy Statements were produced and then agreed by consensus of all the members of the European Strategy Group at the conclusion of the meeting. The Strategy document was widely circulated within the particle physics community, in Europe and beyond, in February and March 2013 with a view to its subsequent submission to the Council.

At its European Strategy Session on 22 March 2013, the Council considered the draft Strategy Update, agreed on three minor wording amendments and agreed by consensus that the resulting draft could be considered final and be submitted for formal adoption at the special European Strategy Session in Brussels on 30 May 2013.

¹ Document CERN/2732/Rev., supplemented by document CERN/2779.

COMPOSITION EUROPEAN STRATEGY GROUP (ESG)

MEMBERS	NAME	esg-council-members@cern.ch
Member States		
Austria	Prof. A. H. Hoang	andre.hoang@univie.ac.at
Belgium	Prof. W. Van Doninck	walter.vandoninck@cern.ch
Bulgaria	Prof. L. Litov	leander.litov@cern.ch
Czech Republic	Prof. J. Chyla	chyla@fzu.cz
Denmark	Prof. J.J. Gaardhøj	gardhoje@nbi.dk
Finland	Prof. P. Eerola	paula.eerola@helsinki.fi
France	Prof. E. Augé (until 11.2012) Prof. J. Martino (from 12.2012)	eaugé@admin.in2p3.fr jmartino@admin.in2p3.fr
Germany	Prof. S. Bethke	bethke@mpp.mpg.de
Greece	Prof. P. Rapisdis	dimitri@physics.tamu.edu
Hungary	Prof. P. Levai	plevai@rmki.kfki.hu
Italy	Prof. F. Ferroni	fernando.ferroni@presid.infn.it
Netherlands	Prof. S. De Jong	sjbrand@hef.ru.nl
Norway	Prof. A. Read	a.l.read@fys.uio.no
Poland	Prof. A. Zalewska (until 12.2012) Prof. J. Krolikowski (from 1.2013)	agnieszka.zalewska@ifj.edu.pl Jan.krolikowski@fuw.edu.pl
Portugal	Prof. G. Barreira	gaspar@lip.pt
Slovakia	Dr L. Sandor	ladislav.sandor@cern.ch
Spain	Prof. F. del Aguila	faguila@ugr.es
Sweden	Prof. B. Asman	barbro.asman@cern.ch
Switzerland	Prof. K. Kirch	klaus.kirch@psi.ch
UK	Prof. J. Butterworth	jmb@hep.ucl.ac.uk
DG CERN	Prof. R. Heuer	rolf.heuer@cern.ch
Invited:		
Former President of Council	Prof. M. Spiro	michel.spiro@cnrs-dir.fr
President of Council	Prof. A. Zalewska	agnieszka.zalewska@ifj.edu.pl
Major European Nat. Labs		
CIEMAT	Dr M. Cerrada	Marcos.cerrada@cern.ch
DESY	Prof. J. Mnich	joachim.mnich@desy.de
IRFU	Dr Ph. Chomaz	philippe.chomaz@cea.fr
LAL	Dr A. Stocchi	stocchi@lal.in2p3.fr
NIKHEF	Prof. F. Linde	f.linde@nikhef.nl
LNF	Dr U. Dosselli	dosselli@pd.infn.it
LNGS	Prof. S. Ragazzi	Stefano.ragazzi@lngs.infn.it
PSI	Prof. L. Rivkin	leonid.rivkin@psi.ch
STFC-RAL	Dr J. Womersley	john.womersley@stfc.ac.uk
Strategy Secretariat Members		
Scientific Secretary (Chair)	Prof. T. Nakada	tatsuya.nakada@cern.ch
SPC Chair	Prof. F. Zwirner	fabio.zwirner@pd.infn.it
ECFA Chair	Prof. M. Krammer	manfred.krammer@oeaw.ac.at
EU Lab. Directors Representative	Dr Ph. Chomaz	philippe.chomaz@cea.fr
Scientific Secretary Assistant	Dr E. Tsesmelis	emmanuel.tsesmelis@cern.ch

INVITEES	NAME	esg-council-invitees@cern.ch
<i>Candidate for Accession</i>		
Romania	Dr S. Dita	sanda.dita@cern.ch
<i>Associate Member State</i>		
Israel	Prof. E. Rabinovici	eliezer@vms.huji.ac.il
Serbia	H.E. Amb. U.Zvekić	ugi.zvekić@bluewin.ch
<i>Observer States</i>		
India	Prof. T. Aziz	aziz@tifr.res.in
Japan	Prof. Sh. Asai	Shoji.asai@cern.ch
Russian Federation	Prof. A. Bondar	bondar@inp.nsk.su
Turkey	Prof. Dr M. Zeyrek	zeyrek@metu.edu.tr
United States	Prof. M. Shochet	shochet@hep.uchicago.edu
EU	Dr R. Lečbychová	Rita.lecbychova@ec.europa.eu
ApPEC	Dr S. Katsanevas	katsan@admin.in2p3.fr
Chairman FALC	Prof. Y. Okada	Yasuhiro.okada@kek.jp
Chairman ESFRI	Dr B. Vierkorn-Rudolph	beatrix.vierkorn-rudolph@bmbf.bund.de
Chairman NuPECC	Prof. A. Bracco	Angela.bracco@mi.infn.it
JINR, Dubna	Prof. V. Matveev	matveev@inr.ac.ru

COMPOSITION PREPARATORY GROUP (ESG-PG)

MEMBERS		pg-esg-council@cern.ch
<i>Strategy Secretariat Members</i>		
Scientific Secretary (Chair)	Prof. T. Nakada	tatsuya.nakada@cern.ch
Scientific Secretary Assistant	Dr E. Tsesmelis	emmanuel.tsesmelis@cern.ch
SPC Chair	Prof. F. Zwirner	fabio.zwirner@pd.infn.it
ECFA Chair	Prof. M. Krammer	manfred.krammer@oeaw.ac.at
EU Lab. Directors Representative	Dr Ph. Chomaz	philippe.chomaz@cea.fr
<i>SPC</i>		
Prof. R. Aleksan (FR)		roy.aleksan@cea.fr
Prof. P. Braun-Munzinger (DE)		p.braun-munzinger@gsi.de
Prof. M. Diemoz (IT)		marcella.diemoz@roma1.infn.it
Prof. D. Wark (UK)		david.wark@stfc.ac.uk
<i>ECFA</i>		
Prof. C. De Clercq (BE)		catherine.de.clercq@vub.ac.be
Prof. K. Desch (DE)		desch@physik.uni-bonn.de
Prof. K. Huitu (FIN)		katri.huitu@helsinki.fi
Prof. A.F. Zarnecki (PL)		filip.zarnecki@fuw.edu.pl
CERN		
Dr P. Jenni		peter.jenni@cern.ch
ASIA/Americas		
Prof. Yoshitaka Kuno (Asia)		kuno@phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp
Prof. Patricia McBride (Americas)		mcbride@fnal.gov