# ATLAS Muon Reconstruction and MuonSpectrometer Performance

# Nektarios Chr. Benekos MPI fur Physik-Munich



ATLAS UK Physics Meeting IPPP, 18-20 Sept.2006









# Outline

### Physics involving muons in ATLAS

Benchmark" processes involving muons have driven LHC detector and trigger designs:

 □mainly ③ SM and SUSY Higgs decays
 □but also ③ new vector bosons discovery b-quark physics and CP violation heavy quarkonium decays

### Muon Identification systems in ATLAS

- Muon Reconstruction Packages
  - Reco Event Types

Muon Identification Performances

- Muon Reconstruction
- Reconstruction Performances
- Conclusions Plans





# Physics involving muons @ ATLAS/LHC

#### Standard Model Higgs



## Muon rates and Background



Muon Rate dominated by: b,c,light mesons and W decays



Particles produced in the interactions of primary hadrons from p-p collisions with the material of the Detector. The result of these interactions is mainly the production of neutrons that diffuse throughout the hall producing secondary particles when interacting with matter.

A Gas of Photons (E < 1 MeV) and low energy Neutrons (E < 100 KeV) is the main source of uncorrelated background in the MS (cavern bkgr.)



Single counting rate (ATLAS,high Lum.): Barrel < 40 Hz/cm<sup>2</sup> End Cap 20-1000 Hz/cm<sup>2</sup>

**ATLAS UK Physics meeting** 



# Muon Identification systems in ATLAS Detector

 $\Box$  When a charged particle( $\mu$ ) moves through a detector it leaves a set of hits in the Inner Detector(ID) and in the MuonSpectrometer(MS). A hit provides information about the track parameters at the measurement location.

□ The **reconstruction goal** is to find the track associated to the hits and perform a fit in order to obtain the better estimate of the trajectory parameters describing the particle.

### ·<u>MS</u>:

#### B toroïdal (inhomogeneous)~0.5 T

 $\Rightarrow p_T : with high p_T$   $\Rightarrow Problems for low p_T$   $\Rightarrow Muon(\mu) Identification$ •Calorimeters:

Loss of energy (sometimes catastrophic) Fluctuations of E\_loss Electromagnetic showers •ID:

### Solenoid B ~2 T ⇒Precise measurements at low pT, but muon Identification is needed



### >Why Pattern Recognition?

There are several sources of hits: Tracks from interaction in LHC, coming from different bunch crossing, cosmic particles, electronic noise, Radioactivity

>The pattern recognition main task is to properly associate hits to particle trajectories in order to find the full set of track parameters by searching for the best fit of a physically valid track.





# **ATLAS Muon Spectrometer**

□ Barrel divided in 16  $\varphi$  sectors (small(S) and large(L)) □3 air-core (to minimize multiple scattering) superconducting toroids: 1 barrel (BT) + 2 End Caps toroids (ECT) → track curvature in r-z plane.

### **bending of the muons:**

- $|\eta| < 1$  for toroid of the **barrel**
- $\Box$  1.4< $|\eta|$ <2.7 from the magnets of the endcap

 $\Box$  1.0< $|\eta|$ <1.4 in the **transition region.** This Radial Overlap of the BT and ECT fields *ensures the widest acceptance for single* 

#### muons

#### **Equipped with trigger and precision chambers(4 technologies)**

Trigger selectivity: threshold pT > 6GeV/c for b-physics and pT > 20GeV/c for high momentum particle

❑Low pT muons ⊠ ID and Tile calorimeter (for these momenta pT resolution is dominated by inner tracker ⊠ for B physics the MS is primarily used as a Level 1 muon trigger or to validate the muon candidate and match it with inner tracker)
 ❑Second coordinate measurement (in the non bending plane) for reliable momentum determination.

The final aim is to reach the highest efficiency with standalone momentum resolution of a few % level at 10-100 GeV/c and ~10% at 1 TeV/c





Figure 3-5 Definition of sectors; view into +z direction

~(Ip3)



# **Precision Muon Chambers**

### Precision measurement in the bending direction of the Magnetic Filed (MF) MDTs (Monitored Drift Chambers)

> The basic elements are **drift tubes** with a diameter of 3 cm and a variable length from 70 cm to 630 cm

> The tubes are organized in multi-layers of 3

>(4 for inner stations)

> single wire average spatial resolution  $\approx$  80  $\mu$ m



### Ocscs (Cathode Strip Chambers)

>Placed in the inner ring of the endcap region, 2 < |n| < 2.7 where an high density of tracks is to be expected

>MWPC with readout on the segmented cathode strips perpendicular to the anode wires

> Spatial resolution  $\approx$  60  $\mu$ m, small drift time (30 ns), good time resolution  $\approx$  7 ns

Transversal coordinate measurement is given by cathodical strips parallels to anode wires



~(Ip3



# Muon Trigger chambers

Muon trigger chambers are used in ATLAS for selecting bunch crossings containing interesting interactions ( $\mu$  trigger), and for measuring the second coordinate ( $\phi$ ). The *trigger* system cover the  $|\eta|$ <2.4 region.

*Barrel* **RPC**s (Restistive Plate Chambers): on both sides of MDT in the "middle" stations and directly above or immediatly below the "outer" stations .



*Endcap* TGCs (Thin Gap Chambers) : 3 stations close to MDT "middle" stations. MWPC (with wires parallels to MDTs ) and read-out strips perpendicular to the second coordinate measurement.

Time resolution  $\approx 1$  ns Space resolution in  $\phi \approx 1$  cm

Very short drift time due to the thin gap  $\rightarrow$  good time resolution needed for Bunch Crossing ID

Wire (//to the MDT wires) signal used to provide the trigger, strip ( $\perp$  to the wires) signals used for the second coordinate

Fine granularity is needed since trigger chambers are outside B and will have a short lever arm

**ATLAS UK Physics meeting** 



# Measuring muons in MS Barrel sector



# Measuring muons in MS Endcap sector



~(**Ip**<sup>3</sup>

**ATLAS UK Physics meeting** 



# ATLAS Muon Magnet System

### BT: |η|<1

- 26 m long
- Internal/External radii: 9.5m/20m
- 8 separate coils,1 cryostat per coil
- bending power ∫BdI=2-6 Tm

### ECTs: 1.4< |η| <2.7

- Inserted into the BT edges, 8 coils per ECT, rotated of 22.5° with respect to BT coils
- 5 m long
- Internal/External radii: 1.7m/10.7m
- 1 cryostat per ECT
- bending power ∫BdI=4-8 Tm

### $\text{ECT} \rightarrow \textbf{p}_{T}$ resolution constant up to $|\eta|$ <2.7

- BT Open structure  $\rightarrow$  allows for chambers installed inside and for alignment optical corridors to cross it
- Long barrel+short EC  $\rightarrow$  minimize magnetic forces and costs
- B field measured with 5000 Hall probes but global calibration of the energy scale is needed

Need to measure accurately the coordinate in the non bending plane

Field integral inhomogeneous in the tracking volume

Need to take into account the differences in Lorentz angle for the calibration of the tracking chambers



**ATLAS UK Physics meeting** 

IPPP 18-20/09/06



 $\phi = 0$ 

Barrel toroid

7.5

2.5

∫BdI (Tm) ₅ Detector Feet

Barrel region

Field integral vs n for radial tracks

egion

Rails

End-cap region EC toroid

### Muon Reconstruction principles



### StandAlone (SA) Muon Reconstruction



>Fast identification of "regions of activity" in the muon detector guided by the trigger chambers where exist an intersection of  $\eta$  and  $\phi$  strips.

>Reconstruction of local straight track segments in the bending plane in each station:

drift distance calculation from R-T relation
 corrections for time propagation along the wire by using the 2nd-coord. from RPC and TGC and for Lorentz angle
 linear fit

Combinations of compatible segments in the same MDT station and in different stations to identify track candidates.

These segments are combined if they are close in direction

Track fit:

>takes into account multiple scattering
 and energy loss in the MS inert materials
 >track parameters and the relative
 covariance matrix are given at the
 first measured point inside the MS

#### **Track extrapolation back to the interaction region:**

□takes into account multiple scattering (parametrized by means of scattering planes in the Calos) and
 □energy loss in the calorimeters (as a function of (η,p))
 □track re-fit and new parameters at the interaction vertex

Track parameters:

- a0: the transverse impact parameter.
- z0: the longitudinal impact parameter.
- Φ: the azimuthal angle Φ=py/px

~(Ip3)

- **c**ot( $\theta$ ) : cotangent of the polar angle cot( $\theta$ )=pz/pT
- 1/pt: reciprocal of the transverse momentum. It is signed according to the charge of the particle.



#### ATLAS UK Physics meeting



### $p_{T}$ resolutions

The muon spectrometer resolution dominates for  $P_T > 100 \text{ GeV}/c$ 

Resolution limited by :
> Mult.Scatt. and Energy Loss Fluct. for P<sub>T</sub><30 GeV/c</li>
> Mult.Scatt. for 30<P<sub>T</sub><300 GeV/c</li>
> Chamber Resolution, calibration and alignment for P<sub>T</sub>>300 GeV

14



### **Combined Muon Reconstruction and Identification**

 Track extrapolation from MS to the IP
 Multiple Scattering parameterized by means of scattering planes in the calorimeters

The Energy loss in the calorimeters is
 evaluated from calorimeters
 reconstruction as a function of (n,p)
 Re-fit: the track parameters are
 expressed to the vertex

The tracks coming from the MS and from the ID are combined by means of a  $\chi^2$  cut-off construction

 $\Box \chi^2$  constructed starting from the differences from track parameters and covariance matrix

### □Fit of combined track

### Important aspects:

Alignment μ-System/ID,
Measuring accuracy of E\_loss in the calorimeters
Scale of energy of the ID and the μ-System

System

hà

ATLAS UK Physics meeting

**First measured point Calorimeter correction** IP Refit @ vertex Matching with the inner tracks and combined fit



~~(**Ip**<sup>3</sup>

### **4** Improves the parameters measured by the track

Allows a better momentum resolution
 20 GeV < pT < 100 GeV</li>
 Reduces the tails in the muon spectrometer resolution, mainly related to

fluctuations in the calorimeters energy deposition

### **L** Improves Muon Identification

Reduction of fakes reconstruction, particularly in presence of cavern background

Reconstruction of low pT muons that do not reach all the spectrometer stations

**H**Rejection of  $\mu$  coming from K, $\pi$  decay requiring that the tracks are generated at the vertex

+Discrimination of muon in hadronic jet from hadrons. A good  $\mu$ 

identification for non isolated muons is needed for an efficient b-tagging.

### **#**Allows a better understanding of the experimental apparatus

Test of calorimeter calibration
 Cross check for Inner Detector and spectrometer results





# Why Combined Reconstruction (II)

Single  $\mu$ 45 Muon Spectrometer
Combined 40 **Inner** Detector 35 30



Improvement in momentum resolution 20 GeV < pT < 100 GeV



• Improvement of  $\sigma(\text{Zmass})$  by ~10% (~30%) compared to ID (MS)

~~ **Ip**3~~



 $p_{\rm T}$  resolution (%)

**ATLAS UK Physics meeting** 



### pT resolution: variation with pT and n

 $(\Delta p_T/p_T)$  is sum of measurement component (increases with pT) and Coulomb scattering component (constant)





Resolution in extended barrel region ( $\eta < 1.9$ ) ~40%\*p<sub>T</sub> (TeV) Measurement: ~1.5% constant term Scatterina: **200%\*** $p_{\tau}$  + 3% at  $\eta$  = 2.5 (acceptance limit) **Risina** to as track exits solenoid with smaller traversed radial lenght Resolution approximately Gaussian In barrel and endcap toroids ~10%\*p\_ (TeV) Measurement: Scattering: 2~3% in barrel 3~4% for n > 1.8 Scattering dominates for  $p_T$  below ~300 GeV ~150X<sub>o</sub> material traversed => non-Gaussian tails when back-tracked to vertex region

~\_1p3~~

#### Inner Detector more precise at low momenta

```
■barrel toroid region : for p<sub>T</sub> below 40~80 GeV
Lat substantially higher p_T for toroid transition region (1.2 < \eta < 1.8)
endcap toroid region
      • cross-over at ~80 GeV at \eta ~ 1.8
      = falls with increasing \eta to below 20 GeV at InDet acceptance limit \eta = 2.5)
     ATLAS UK Physics meeting
                                                        IPPP 18-20/09/06
```



Reconstruction (SA-Combined) efficiency decreases very quickly at low p<sub>T</sub>
 Muon reconstruction at low pT in a very inhomogeneous MF is delicate

 "complicated" trajectories, increased rate of "noisy" tracks
 Many µs leave the calorimeters with a very weak energy and they don't reach at "medium" or "outer" stations
 I leave fraction of the E leave in the Calorimeters (3 GeV) at low n

Iarge fraction of the E\_loss in the Calorimeters (3 GeV), at low n



**ATLAS UK Physics meeting** 

IPPP 18-20/09/06

~<u>lp</u>3~

# **Muon Reconstruction Algorithms**



# Reconstruction Output – Event Types

ESD (Event Summary Data) - This is the output of combined reconstruction
 Contains reconstruction output data, at the level of hits on track and calo cells
 Calibration, alignment studies, refitting tracks, track extrapolation, analyses
 Size ~ 1 Mb / event

**AOD** (Analysis Object Data) - This is the reduced event representation output of reconstruction on ESD suitable for most physics analysis.

□Contains reconstruction output data, at the level TrackParticles and CaloClusters, very loosely identified particles

TAG - This is an event-level meta-data which is a thumbnail to give efficient identification and selection of events of interest to a given analysis.

Size: ~2 kB per event.

Web page and documentation: <u>https://uimon.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/Atlas/PhysicsAnalysisTools</u>

### Muons in the ESD/AOD

"high Pt" and "low Pt" algorithms "give" muons which are merged and placed inside a MuonContainer (i.e. Data access key :"MuidMuonCollection", "StacoMuonCollection")
 <u>StoregateKeysForESD</u>, <u>StoregateKeysForAOD</u>, <u>Muon in the EventTag</u>
 The class that stores the muons can be found at: <u>AOD Muon Class</u>
 Below is a list of some of the functions and what they return
 <u>MuonAnalysisTutorial</u>
 <u>ATLAS UK Physics meeting</u>

# **Reconstruction Performances**

Several MC data samples have been used

- Single muon samples for a fixed pT
   (from 3 GeV/c up to 1 TeV/c)
- $Z \rightarrow \mu \mu$  (Jimmy)
- $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\mu$





### MuonSpectrometer Layout

**Initial Layout** main modifications wrt DC1/2:

- EES and EEL chambers have been removed:
  - performance degradation is expected for 1.0 < eta < 1.4
- Half as many CSC chambers (single layer)



# Single muons refficiency vrs pT



Efficiency plateau ~ 95%

Low  $p_T$  :

- Only  $\mu$  with E>3-4 GeV/c reach the MS
- $\bullet$  These muons don't reach the MS external station  $\rightarrow$  few measurements
- Multiple scattering and inhomogeneous B make the pattern recognition more complicated High p<sub>T</sub>:
- decreased efficiency of combined reconstruction at very high  $p_T$  since pattern recognition suffers of possible e.m. showers accompanying high  $p_T$  muons

~(**IP**<sup>3</sup>





# Single muons : efficiency vrs $\phi$



# Single muons : efficiency vrs $\eta$



# Single muons : momentum resolutions



**ATLAS UK Physics meeting** 



# pT resolution vrs η





Degradation of pT resolution in the transition region caused by a magnetic field highly inhomogeneous and from the fluctuations related to the absorption material in the calorimeters (mainly for µ at low pt)

r to take material 28



a theoretical prediction of the momentum resolution curve, averaged over the φ-angle, as a function of η
Complicating items that have been taken into account are the
•three-dimensional magnetic field,
•resolution on position measurements,
•detailed chamber layout, and
•a simplified material distribution in order to take material
effects into account.

~(Ip3





# Uniform resolution Vs \u00f6

Small effect in the feet region



**ATLAS UK Physics meeting** 



### Higgs (130) mass before applying constraint on the Z mass



### Higgs mass when applying constraint on the Z mass



### Potential of low-pT Algorithms as a Soft Muon b-tagger

Study STACO / MuTag performance in jet environment
Use (WH, mH=120GeV, H->bbbar) rome samples



### High efficiency of STACO/MuTag down to p ~ 4GeV in jets



**ATLAS UK Physics meeting** 

IPPP 18-20/09/06

~(IP3

# Keeping in mind

+Muon reconstruction efficiency and resolution depends on the knowledge of the following effects :
+Chambers Positions (Alignment)
+Chamber Deformations (Including Temperature Effects)
+Wire Sag
+TO, R-T Relations
+B Field
+Dead / Noisy / Anomalous Channels
+neutron / γ Cavern Background
+Geometric Material Distribution
+Reconstruction Algorithm Optimization

+We plan to address all of these issues before LHC start-up.



~(Ip3



# Conclusions

A robust and reliable muon identification and momentum measurement is crucial to fully exploit the physics potential of LHC over a large momentum range, starting from few GeV (B-physics study) to few TeV, where the presence of new physics might be expected.

Atlas Muon spectrometer presents complementary designs with large pseudorapidity coverage (|n| < 2.7 for track reconstruction)</p>

Magnetic Field configuration determines the main features of the MS:
 good pT resolution up to high η → air-core toroids: low bending power → very good resolution of the muon chambers, alignment and calibration are crucial items
 Flat resolution in η, σpT/pT of few % up to 100 GeV/c

Muon Reconstruction Algorithms follow similar approaches: local reconstruction of segments in the multi-layer chambers, then extrapolated in defined detector regions.

standalone track reconstruction in the spectrometer, then matching with inner detector tracks



~(IP3

# Plans

### Muon CSC notes studying

The effects of misalignment, magnetic field and miscalibration of the MDTchambers on the efficiency, fake-rate and momentum resolution of the ATLAS Muon Spectrometer.

▶In a first step these effects are estimated on the basis of Monte-Carlo Truth information to provide an overview of the importance of these effects and a limit to which these effects must be understood to achieve the required performance.

►In a second step we study different approaches to determine the resolution and the efficiency of the ATLAS Muon Spectrometer with data only not relying on any MC information.

These methods are based on the one hand on the study of well known resonances and their decay into two muons like  $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$  or  $Y \rightarrow \mu\mu$  and  $J/Ps \rightarrow \mu\mu$  and on the other hand on in-situ use of single muons.

How to the presented methods for the correction of misalignment and miscalibration of the MDT-chambers.

A big effort is now concentrating on tuning geometry model, simulation (detectors and trigger), calibration, tracking, event-selection and analysis and on preparing detector commissioning before the data taking startup, with the very good colaboration with Muon Simulation Team





# **MuonSimulation Plans of Mis-Alignment**

### Plans for Release 13

- Larger Random Displacements
  - $\Box \sigma_{\theta}$  up to 3 mrad and  $\sigma_{xyz}$  up to 4 mm
- Global Barrel and Big Wheel displacements
   Details Under Discussion
- Additional Inert Material Decriptions
  - Saddle, Big Wheel Supports, ID Patch Panel, Access Platforms
- Resolution of Some GEANT4 Issues
  - Handling Complex Chamber Shapes without Using Up Allotted Memory
- A More realistic implementation of Cavern Backgound in GEANT4

### Not for GEANT4

- Chamber deformations (sag, torsion, crossplate expansions, global temperature expansion...etc)
- Deformation Corrections to be applied at Reconstruction





# Documentation

# TDR - ATLAS Muon Technical Design Report Muon Software Repository (All Versions)

Wiki pages

- Atlas MuonSpectrometer HomePage
- MuonSoftware HomePage
- MuonSoftwareTutorials
- \* <u>MuonSpectrometerSimulation</u>
- \* <u>MuonCalibAlign</u>
- \* <u>MuonReconstruction</u>
- Combined Muon Reconstruction
- MuonSoftwareValidation
- MuonSoftwareHyperNews





# Acknowledgements

Many thanks to those who shared their knowledge, and helped me directly or indirectly to make this talk possible and in particular to my colleagues of the SaMuSog, MOORE and MuonSimulation Team in ATLAS Collaboration

the Organizers of this School, for inviting me to give this talk



# BACKUP SLIDES



ATLAS UK Physics meeting



# **ATLAS Conventional Coordinate System**

**z-axis** directed along the tunnel (beam axis)

**x-y** define the plane perpendicular to the beam direction

□ **x-axis** pointing from the interaction point to the center of the LHC ring

Given the symmetry, cylindrical coordinates are more useful : φ, θ, R

Instead of the polar angle θ, the so called pseudorapidity η is often used:

 $\Box \eta = -\ln(\tan(\theta/2))$ 



with z in beam direction





# **ATLAS Offline Software**

#### **Requirements**

Description of geometry and Event

Visualization

Simulation of detector

Reconstruction of physical objects starting from raw data

Output by the Event Filter (The final level of the Atlas Trigger) with <1.5 MB> arriving at a rate of about 200 Hz.

The data format is a "byte-stream" - that is a organized set of packed bits containing all the information about the event

Data store - Data analysis

### Characteristics

High complexity

Long lifetime of the experiment (20 years)

Large Data Volume (1 Pbyte/years)

Several Developers - Scattered all around the world

### □ Need of

Flexibility, abstraction, maintainability, uniformity, modularity, reusability, computing mechanism and development distributed.

Development of a software framework common to all the applications:

ATHENA (ATLAS realization of a High Energy and Nuclear physics data analysis Architecture)

Detector Geometry, Data Description and Maintenance, Calibration Services, Databases, Simulation, Reconstruction.

Choice of using GRID technology ATLAS UK Physics meeting

IPPP 18-20/09/06

~~ **Ip**3~~