# Lepton Flavour Violation PPAP July 2018

Joel Goldstein University of Bristol



# Introduction

- Dedicated charged LFV experiments
  - 1. Mu2e
  - 2. Mu3e
  - 3. COMET
    - $\cdot$  (MEG has no UK involvement)
  - 4. Future
- Thanks for input from Yoshi, Joost and Mark



- LFV already established in the neutrino sector
- Resulting effects in charged lepton decays Br << 10<sup>-50</sup>
- Existing limits ~ 10<sup>-12</sup>
- Sensitive to multi-TeV scale new physics
  - SUSY, leptoquarks, dark matter....



- LFV already established in the neutrino sector
- Resulting effects in charged lepton decays Br << 10<sup>-50</sup>
- Existing limits ~ 10<sup>-12</sup>
- Sensitive to multi-TeV scale new physics
  - SUSY, leptoquarks, dark matter....



- LFV already established in the neutrino sector
- Resulting effects in charged lepton decays Br << 10<sup>-50</sup>
- Existing limits ~ 10<sup>-12</sup>
- Sensitive to multi-TeV scale new physics
  - SUSY, leptoquarks, dark matter....



- LFV already established in the neutrino sector
- Resulting effects in charged lepton decays Br << 10<sup>-50</sup>
- Existing limits ~ 10<sup>-12</sup>
- Sensitive to multi-TeV scale new physics
  - SUSY, leptoquarks, dark matter....



# Mu2e - Status



- DOE approved in July 2016
- UK providing the Stopping Target Monitor (STM)
- STFC-TD to provide the proton target (DOE Funded)





Mu2e - Plans



### • First beam in 2020/21; concluding 2025.





# Mu2e UK







- Prototype STM irradiated at HZDR.
  - No degradation in resolution
  - 100 Hz signal (60 kHz photon bkgnd)
- DAQ/readout tests at FNAL

Joel Goldstein



## Mu3e - Status





- Approved by PSI in 2013
- UK responsible for outer pixel layers
  - HV CMOS Mupix sensor
- Also clock and timing



# Mu3e - Plans





- Commissioning late 2019
- Physics operation in 2020
- Recurl stations added 2021
- Phase-II ~2024:
  - Upgraded beam line
  - Increased acceptance
  - Possible e-gamma option



# Mu3e UK







- Deliver complete pixel outer tracker by 2020
- Participate in installation and commissioning 2019-21
- Operations and exploitation 2020-2024
- Natural for UK to build Mu3e-II pixel extension

Joel Goldstein









- Phase-I detector systems approaching completion
- UK designed DAQ/fast control
- UK leading software and analysis

Joel Goldstein



# COMET - Plans





- Protons to COMET by end 2019
- Phase-II construction in parallel to operations
  - 100x increase in sensitivity
- Growing international collaboration
  - $\cdot$  16+ countries, 40+institutions

Joel Goldstein



# Funding

- Phase I construction:
  - $\cdot$  Support from CG
  - Mu2e and Mu3e supported by STFC project funding
  - Construction of all three experiments fully funded
- Operations
  - $\cdot\,$  Bid for common fund/engineer, travel and RA support in CG
- Phase-II less certain (UK, international)
  - Mu3e-II pixel extension PPRP bid, ...??



# Future

- Mu2e Phase II
  - Presented to FNAL PAC yesterday
  - Timescale ~2030, 10x sensitivity
  - Change targets to allow model discrimination
- COMET PRISM
  - FFAG baseline lattice established, larger acceptance (UK)
  - 100x improvement in sensitivity
- TauFV
- Future "combined facility"...?!?
- UK charged lepton "medium" Big Idea proposal



# TauFV





- Dedicated search for  $(D_s \rightarrow) \tau \rightarrow 3\mu$
- Sensitivity  $\sim 10^{-10}$
- Installed at SPS BDF
  - $\cdot$  Parasitic to SHiP

Joel Goldstein





- Smaller, cheaper and faster experiments
- Clear discovery potential
  - No SM backgrounds
- Complimentary to energy frontier
- Maintain breadth and diversity
- Train next generations



## MU2E (Mark Lancaster)

Mu2e PPAP Material

## Mu2e Status

Full-budget (\$274M) DOE approved in July 2016.

UK PPRP funding from April 2017 – April 2020 to provide the **S**topping **T**arget **M**onitor (STM) & DOE has funded STFC-TD to provide the proton target (\$1M).



## HPGe STM detector

### Located 34m from aluminium target where muons are captured



UK providing detector(s), readout and collimation system.

### Mass Production of solenoids/tracker







Mu2e PPAP Material

Mu2e-II

#### arXiv:1802.02599

#### Expression of Interest for Evolution of the Mu2e Experiment<sup>†</sup>

F. Abusalma<sup>23</sup>, D. Ambrose<sup>23</sup>, A. Artikov<sup>7</sup>, R. Bernstein<sup>8</sup>, G.C. Blazey<sup>27</sup>, C. Bloise<sup>9</sup>, S. Boi<sup>33</sup>, T. Bolton<sup>14</sup>, J. Bono<sup>8</sup>, R. Bonventre<sup>16</sup>, D. Bowring<sup>8</sup>, D. Brown<sup>16</sup>, D. Brown<sup>20</sup>, K. Byrum<sup>1</sup>, M. Campbell<sup>22</sup>, J.-F. Caron<sup>12</sup>, F. Cervelli<sup>30</sup>, D. Chokheli<sup>7</sup>, K. Ciampa<sup>23</sup>, R. Ciolini<sup>30</sup>, R. Coleman<sup>8</sup>, D. Cronin-Hennessy<sup>23</sup>, R. Culbertson<sup>8</sup>, M.A. Cummings<sup>25</sup>, A. Daniel<sup>12</sup>, Y. Davydov<sup>7</sup>, S. Demers<sup>35</sup>, D. Denisov<sup>8</sup>, S. Denisov<sup>13</sup>, S. Di Falco<sup>30</sup>, E. Diociaiuti<sup>9</sup>, R. Djilkibaev<sup>24</sup>, S. Donati<sup>30</sup>, R. Donghia<sup>9</sup>, G. Drake<sup>1</sup>, E.C. Dukes<sup>33</sup>, B. Echenard<sup>5</sup>, A. Edmonds<sup>16</sup>, R. Ehrlich<sup>33</sup>, V. Evdokimov<sup>13</sup>, P. Fabbricatore<sup>10</sup>, A. Ferrari<sup>11</sup>, M. Frank<sup>32</sup>, A. Gaponenko<sup>8</sup>, C. Gatto<sup>26</sup>, Z. Giorgio<sup>17</sup>, S. Giovannella<sup>9</sup>, V. Giusti<sup>30</sup>, H. Glass<sup>8</sup>, D. Glenzinski<sup>8</sup>, L. Goodenough<sup>1</sup>, C. Group<sup>33</sup>, F. Happacher<sup>9</sup>, L. Harkness-Brennan<sup>19</sup>, D. Hedin<sup>27</sup>, K. Heller<sup>23</sup>, D. Hitlin<sup>5</sup>, A. Hocker<sup>8</sup>, R. Hooper<sup>18</sup>, G. Horton-Smith<sup>14</sup>, C. Hu<sup>5</sup>, P.Q. Hung<sup>33</sup>, E. Hungerford<sup>12</sup>, M. Jenkins<sup>32</sup>, M. Jones<sup>31</sup>, M. Kargiantoulakis<sup>8</sup>, K. S. Khaw<sup>34</sup>, B. Kiburg<sup>8</sup>, Y. Kolomensky<sup>3,16</sup>, J. Kozminski<sup>18</sup>, R. Kutschke<sup>8</sup>, M. Lancaster<sup>15</sup>, D. Lin<sup>5</sup>, I. Logashenko<sup>29</sup>, V. Lombardo<sup>8</sup>, A. Luca<sup>8</sup>, G. Lukicov<sup>15</sup>, K. Lynch<sup>6</sup>, M. Martini<sup>21</sup>, A. Mazzacane<sup>8</sup>, J. Miller<sup>2</sup>, S. Miscetti<sup>9</sup>, L. Morescalchi<sup>30</sup>, J. Mott<sup>2</sup>, S. E. Mueller<sup>11</sup>, P. Murat<sup>8</sup>, V. Nagaslaev<sup>8</sup>, D. Neuffer<sup>8</sup>, Y. Oksuzian<sup>33</sup>, D. Pasciuto<sup>30</sup>, E. Pedreschi<sup>30</sup>, G. Pezzullo<sup>35</sup>, A. Pla-Dalmau<sup>8</sup>, B. Pollack<sup>28</sup>, A. Popov<sup>13</sup>, J. Popp<sup>6</sup>, F. Porter<sup>5</sup>, E. Prebys<sup>4</sup>, V. Pronskikh<sup>8</sup>, D. Pushka<sup>8</sup>, J. Quirk<sup>2</sup>, G. Rakness<sup>8</sup>, R. Ray<sup>8</sup>, M. Ricci<sup>21</sup>, M. Röhrken<sup>5</sup>, V. Rusu<sup>8</sup>, A. Saputi<sup>9</sup>, I. Sarra<sup>21</sup>, M. Schmitt<sup>28</sup>, F. Spinella<sup>30</sup>, D. Stratakis<sup>8</sup>, T. Strauss<sup>8</sup>, R. Talaga<sup>1</sup>, V. Tereshchenko<sup>7</sup>, N. Tran<sup>2</sup>, R. Tschirhart<sup>8</sup>, Z. Usubov<sup>7</sup>, M. Velasco<sup>28</sup>, R. Wagner<sup>1</sup>, Y. Wang<sup>2</sup>, S. Werkema<sup>8</sup>, J. Whitmore<sup>8</sup>, P. Winter<sup>1</sup>, L. Xia<sup>1</sup>, L. Zhang<sup>5</sup>, R.-Y. Zhu<sup>5</sup>, V. Zutshi<sup>27</sup>, R. Zwaska<sup>8</sup>

06 February 2018

Presented to FNAL-PAC yesterday.

To form part of 2020/P5 & CLFV European Strategy document

Will allow running with different targets

Achieve a sensitivity x10 that of Mu2e.

Timescale: 2030.

## Mu2e-II

In event of a signal, Mu2e-II would give x10 stats and running with Al, titanium and gold targets would give sensitivity to BSM interaction type.

In absence of signal improve sensitivity by x10.



## Mu2e-II challenges

Challenges:

- high resolution tracking in a v. high-rate environment e.g.
  8µm vs 15µm straws required.
- UK interested in developing ASIC/FPGA tracking.
- handling the radiation/power issues from a 100kW (0.8 GeV) PIP-II beam.
  cf. Mu2e (COMET-I) is 8 (3kW).
  Expertise for this is in STFC-TD (C. Densham)

### **COMET Status**

- Phase-I Detector systems approaching completion: physics detector & Phase-II prototypes
- Clarification of schedule by J-PARC/KEK:
  - to ensure Phase-I and II are "competitive internationally"
  - by end of JFY 2019, proton beam line to COMET branch to be completed and detector systems to be ready and tested; beam studies to follow



Main drift chamber, in cosmics testing

- PRISM progress
  - New Fixed Field Accelerator ring baseline lattice established with significantly larger horizontal dynamical acceptance



Left: COMET beam line under construction Far right: existing Kaon beam line

Yoshi.Uchida@imperial.ac.uk COMET Update July 2018



24

### **COMET: Medium and Long-Term Plans**

- Phase-I (×100 improvement to current measurement)
  - No technical or funding issues to achieve completion
  - UK to continue to lead Software and Analysis efforts
  - UK-designed DAQ and Trigger/Fast Control systems being deployed across subdetector systems
    - approximately 13 FC7 boards being used by collaboration
    - will continue to support subdetector and DAQ systems
  - Further intra-European collaboration with Czech Republic, France, Georgia, Germany
- Phase-II (better than ×10000 improvement)
  - 56kW beam power
  - 6×10<sup>-17</sup> (90%CL) sensitivity for 2×10<sup>7</sup> seconds of running
  - Continuing R&D to improve sensitivity beyond current design
  - Start construction soon after Phase-I running
- PRISM (a further ×100 improvement)
  - Long-term plan for muon-to-electron conversion, using PRISM FFAG storage ring
  - R&D by UK-led PRISM Task Force

### **COMET** Funding

#### **COMET Phase-II**

- Collaboration growing
  - New institutions in past year:
    - Monash (Australia)
    - Caen (France)
    - Clermont Ferrand (France)
    - Sun Yat Sen University (China)
    - Institute of Nuclear Physics (Kazakhstan)
  - Now at 16 nations + JINR, 40+ institutions
  - UK with participation from Imperial since 2007
- Phase-I: All funding secured to Phase-I completion
  - Recent funding news:
    - German funding granted through to Phase-II (one institution)
    - France to become official IN2P3-funded experiment (four institutions)
- UK Leadership
  - European coordination (funding, European Strategy)
  - TDR executive editor (for publication)
  - Collaboration Board Chair (also Executive Board member)
  - Analysis and Software working group leadership

### **GENERAL STUFF (Mark L)**

Mu2e PPAP Material

## Why cLFV ?

### Rate in SM is O(10<sup>-50</sup>) per muon capture

Observation **IS** new physics



### No SM theory systematic How far we can probe is limited by experiment

## Why cLFV ?

No new physics observed coupling to quarks at LHC

In light of v-oscillations: is the lepton sector different ?



 $\gamma_1=3\pi/8, \gamma_2=\pi/2$ 

Gives a portal to the physics potentially explaining anti-matter asymmetry through leptogenesis

## Why cLFV ?

### Access to mass scales beyond that probed by ATLAS/CMS



Scales of upto 8000 TeV for unity coupling

Mu2e/Mu3e will extend mass reach by factor of 5 compared to MEG

### An example: split SUSY



Slepton masses of 300 TeV probed in "Split-SUSY" model.

Present ATLAS direct search limit is 0.6 TeV

### **Complements LHC at higher scale**

### Probing similar range of BSM interactions as LHC



## Why MEG, Mu2e and Mu3e ?

Ratio of the 3 different CLFV processes is model dependent and BR (ratios) depend on model parameters.

Mu2e probes: lepton and quark BSM couplings; Mu3e only lepton

Both probe non-dipole/loop interactions that MEG doesn't.



Important to make all 3 CLFV measurements.

Mu2e and Mu3e together provide the most detailed study of cLFV in next 10 years.

### Model Dependence



## Anomalous Higgs Couplings

### Aswell as probing extended Higgs: also probe FV Higgs couplings



#### Possible since Mu2e/Mu3e utilise 10<sup>7</sup> – 10<sup>10</sup> muons/sec

Extend sensitivity by 8 orders of magnitude vs LHC

Mu2e PPAP Material

## Synergy with g-2

For BSM dipole interactions e.g. SUSY Rate (CLFV)  $\sim g^2 \times \theta_{e\mu}^2 \times \left(\frac{m_{\mu}}{\Lambda}\right)^2$ 

 $a_{\mu} \sim g^2 \times \left(\frac{m_{\mu}}{\Lambda}\right)^2$ 

But no theoretical motivation for any particular  $\theta_{e\mu}$  value.

### If g-2 anomaly is confirmed then we have evidence for a BSM Muon interaction

Need <u>both</u> measurements to resolve model degeneracy

Reconciling  $(g-2)_{\mu}$  and charged lepton flavor violating processes through a doubly charged scalar

Joydeep Chakrabortty, Pradipta Ghosh, Subhadeep Mondal, and Tripurari Srivastava Phys. Rev. D **93**, 115004 – Published 3 June 2016

## Physics summary

The two major research themes in the UK:

- BSM searches and Higgs physics at the LHC
- Neutrino mass hierarchy and CPV in neutrino sector

are <u>both</u> extended and complemented by Mu2e/Mu3e



If new physics is observed at the LHC, Mu2/3e is critical to elucidating degenerate models

If the new physics is at a higher scale then Mu2/3e can probe it

## Imperial College London



# **COMET Update for PPAP/**

July 2018

Yoshi Uchida