Light Dark Matter

Martin Bauer IPPP Durham

Edinburgh, 20. February 2019

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Omega_X \\ 0.2 \end{pmatrix} \approx \frac{10^{-8} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}}{\sigma}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sigma \sim \frac{g^4}{m_\chi^2} \\ g^2 \lesssim 4 \pi \\ \Rightarrow m_\chi \lesssim 120 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad M_{\mathrm{Pl}}$$

$$\left(\frac{\Omega_X}{0.2}\right) \approx \frac{10^{-8} \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}}{\sigma}$$

$$\Gamma = n \cdot \sigma = H$$

$$(m_{\chi}T)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{m_{\chi}}{T}} \cdot \sigma = \frac{T^2}{M_{\mathrm{Pl}}} \qquad \qquad \sigma \sim \frac{g^4}{m_{\chi}^2}$$

$$g^2 \lesssim 4 \pi$$

$$m_{\chi} > \frac{1}{\sigma M_{\mathrm{Pl}}} \Rightarrow m_{\chi} > 0.1 \,\mathrm{eV} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad m_{\chi} \lesssim 120 \,\mathrm{TeV}$$

$$0.1 \,\mathrm{eV} \qquad v \qquad 120 \,\mathrm{TeV} \qquad \qquad M_{\mathrm{Pl}}$$

Extensive Programme



Direct detection

Indirect detection



Collider searches

What do we know about the scale of DM?

v $M_{\rm Pl}$

What do we know about the scale of DM?

For Fermions, the Fermi exclusion principle provides a lower limit

dwarf galaxies



 $200\,\mathrm{eV}$

For bosons there is no such lower limit.

There is however a scale that is particularly motivated:

$$m_{\phi} \approx 10^{-22} \,\mathrm{eV} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \lambda_{dB} = \frac{hc}{10^{-3}m_{\phi}} \approx 1 \,\mathrm{kpc}$$



For bosons there is no such lower limit.

There is however a scale that is particularly motivated:

$$m_{\phi} \approx 10^{-22} \text{ eV} \Rightarrow$$

$$\lambda_{dB} = \frac{hc}{10^{-3}m_{\phi}} \approx 1 \text{ kpc}$$
Suppresses small scale sub-halos, addressing the missing satellites problem and cusp-core.

For very light scalar fields, the occupation number is very high and the field can be treated classically.



For very light scalar fields, the occupation number is very high and the field can be treated classically.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a \partial^{\mu} a - \mu^4 (1 - \cos a)$$

Relic density from misalignment:



early universe: Hubble friction



late universe: oscillations

Cosmological constraints



[Marsh et al 1410.2896]

Cosmological constraints



Scalar Dark Matter

Pseudoscalar Dark Matter (Axion)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\phi}{\Lambda} m_{\psi} \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{4g^2} \frac{\phi}{\Lambda} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

 $\phi = \phi_0 \cos(m_\phi t)$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial^{\mu} a}{f} \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \psi - \frac{a}{f} F^{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} + \dots$$

$$a = a_0 \cos(m_a t)$$

Time varying spin-dependent effects

$$m_{\psi} \rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{\phi_0}{\Lambda}\cos(m_{\phi}t)\right)$$

 $\alpha \rightarrow \left(1 - \frac{\phi_0}{\Lambda}\cos(m_{\phi}t)\right)$

Scalar Dark Matter

Atomic clocks

Optical Cavities

Fifth-force searches

Pseudoscalar Dark Matter (Axion)

Magnetometers

Nuclear magnetic Resonance

Torion Pendula

Pseudoscalar Dark Matter (Axion)

Every spontaneously broken continuous symmetry gives rise to massless spin-0 fields.



Every spontaneously broken continuous symmetry gives rise to massless spin-0 fields.

$$V(\phi) = \mu^2 \phi \phi^{\dagger} + \lambda (\phi \phi^{\dagger})^2$$
$$\phi = (f+s)e^{ia/f}$$

$$m_s^2 = 4\lambda f^2 = |\mu^2|$$
$$m_a^2 = 0$$



Since the GB corresponds to the phase of a complex field, it is protected by a shift symmetry

$$\phi = (\mathbf{f} + s)e^{ia/\mathbf{f}}$$

it is protected by a shift symmetry

$$e^{ia(x)/f} \rightarrow e^{i(a(x)+c)/f} = e^{ia(x)/f}e^{ic/f}$$

This symmetry forbids a mass term, and all couplings are suppressed by the UV scale

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} a \, \partial^{\mu} a + c_{\mu} \frac{\partial^{\nu} a}{4\pi f} \, \bar{\mu} \gamma_{\nu} \mu + \dots$$

An exactly massless boson is problematic.

• Explicit (external) symmetry breaking

$$\mathcal{L} \ni m^2 (\phi \phi + \phi^{\dagger} \phi^{\dagger}) \qquad m_a^2 = m^2$$

Anomalous symmetry breaking



The most famous example is the pion

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \bar{q}_L i \not \!\!\!D \, q_L + \bar{q}_R i \not \!\!\!D \, q_R + m_q \bar{q}_L q_R$$

$$\langle \bar{q}_L q_R \rangle = \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^3 \approx {\rm GeV}^3$$

The pion mass is controlled by the explicit breaking through light quark masses

$$m_{\pi}^2 = \frac{m_u + m_d}{f_{\pi}^2} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^3 \approx (140 \,\text{MeV})^2$$

 ρ, P, N

The most famous example is the pion

other states at f

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \bar{q}_L i \not \!\!\!D \, q_L + \bar{q}_R i \not \!\!\!D \, q_R + m_q \bar{q}_L q_R$

$$\langle \bar{q}_L q_R \rangle = \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^3 \approx {\rm GeV}^3$$

The pion mass is controlled by the explicit breaking through light quark masses

$$m_{\pi}^2 = \frac{m_u + m_d}{f_{\pi}^2} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^3 \approx (140 \,\text{MeV})^2$$

axion

Do we need to be super-sensitive?

At
$$\frac{T_0^2}{M_{\rm Pl}} = m = \frac{\mu^2}{f}$$
 $H \sim m$

the energy densities read $ho_\phi\sim\mu^4$ $ho_\gamma\sim T_0^4$ At matter-radiation $T_1=1{
m eV}$ equality they should be equal

$$\frac{\mu^4}{T_0^4} \frac{T_0}{T_1} \sim 1$$

Do we need to be super-sensitive?

At
$$\frac{T_0^2}{M_{\rm Pl}} = m = \frac{\mu^2}{f}$$
 $H \sim m$

the energy densities read $\rho_{\phi} \sim \mu^4 \quad \rho_{\gamma} \sim T_0^4$ At matter-radiation $T_1 = 1 \text{eV}$ equality they should be equal



[1610.08297]



Spin-dependent effects

$$H_{\rm aNN} = \gamma \vec{B}_{\rm ALP}.\vec{\sigma}_{\rm N} \qquad \qquad \vec{B}_{\rm ALP} = g_{\rm aNN} \frac{\sqrt{2\rho_{\rm DM}}}{\gamma} \cos(\omega_{\rm a} t) \vec{v}.$$

Axion wind





Scan mass by varying ext. magnetic field

[1902.04644]

Scalar Dark Matter

Concernance of the second

Scalar Dark Matter

$$\alpha \to \left(1 - \frac{\phi_0}{\Lambda}\cos(m_\phi t)\right)$$

Measure ratios of transition frequencies for different distances

Also sensitive to fifth forces



Scalar Dark Matter

Atom spectroscopy in Dysprosium



Scalar Dark Matter

Durham Rydberg Spectroscopy experiment



Many more transitions at continuous splitting, estimated 3-6 orders of magnitude improvement.



Joint Atmol&IPPP QSFP proposal, MB Jones, Carty

Quantum Sensors for Fundamental Physics



first QSFP workshop, Oxford, Oct 2018

New collaborations between atomic physicists and particle physicists.

STFC initiative 40M £

What if the mediator to the Dark Sector is light?

A new gauge boson?

Possible new gauge groups are strongly constrained.

Anomaly cancellation is necessary for gauge invariance.



$$\Rightarrow m_{\gamma} \neq 0$$

[Gross, Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D6, 477 (1972).

All triangle diagrams have to vanish



This fixes the Standard Model hypercharges.

[S. Adler (1969). Physical Review. 177 (5): 2426] [Bell, Jackiw (1969) II Nuovo Cimento A. 60:47]

How to couple to the Standard Model?

Even if all SM particles are uncharged, coupling through mixing

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} F_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu}$$

 $B_{\mu} \sim A_{\mu}' \qquad \epsilon$ is a free parameter



$$\epsilon \propto \frac{g_X e}{8\pi^2} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}$$

How to couple to the Standard Model?

Even if all SM particles are uncharged, coupling through mixing

 $B_{\mu} \sim A_{\mu}' \qquad \epsilon$ is a free parameter



Charged SM matter is millicharged under $U(1)_X$

 $\sim \sim < e A_{\mu} J_{\rm EM}^{\mu} - \epsilon e A_{\mu}' J_{\rm EM}^{\mu}$

Leads to "universal" couplings.

There is a limited number of possible new light gauge bosons consistent with the SM (= anomaly free).



[MB, Foldenauer, Jaeckel, 1803.05466]

{Theory detour



Theory detour



Even though anomaly free, B-L gauge bosons have divergent one-loop mixing

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} F_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu}$$



Theory detour



Even though anomaly free, B-L gauge bosons have divergent one-loop mixing

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} F_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu}$$

$$B_{\mu} \longrightarrow B_{\mu} \quad \text{divergent} \quad \frac{e^2}{\epsilon}$$
Only two field strength tensors. gent
$$\frac{g_{B-L}^2}{\epsilon}$$
How to absorb 3 gent
$$\frac{e g_{B-L}}{\epsilon}$$

Theory detour



Even though anomaly free, B-L gauge bosons have divergent one-loop mixing

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} F_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} X_{\mu\nu} X^{\mu\nu}$$

The theory tells us that the couplings at the high scale must be related.

$$SU(2)_L \times SU(2_R) \times U(1)_X \to U(1)_{B-L} \times U(1)_Y$$





very predictive model

There is a limited number of possible new light gauge bosons consistent with the SM (= anomaly free, and able to reproduce mixing structures).



[MB, Foldenauer, Jaeckel, 1803.05466]





The Mu3e experiment can search for light hidden photons

$$\mu^+ \to \gamma' e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu \to e^+ e^- e^+ \nu_e \bar{\nu}_\mu$$





Prompt decays[Echenard, Essig, Zhong, 1411.1770]Displaced vertices[Mu3E collaboration, in prep.]

allow for irreducible mixing









Conclusions

Ultra-light dark matter can be searched for with table-top experiments.

STFC funds this programme with a special initiative (QSFP).

We propose an optical Rydberg spectroscopy experiment in Durham to search for fifth forces and Dark Matter.

Muon experiments can test a special, well-motivated class of mediators to the dark sector.