



+ T

James left his prestigious CERN Staff Member job and gained, against strong competition, a faculty position at Durham in Sept. 1986

He joined a small, active, outward-looking particle thy group. He stayed in Durham for 22 very successful years

He now taught u/grads, supervised post-grades but his innovative research continued unabated: --- continued research with colleagues at CERN and elsewhere --- started new projects with colleagues at Durham

one of the first was MRS

-1.1624 plat is dealer a corp to a particle Some info 311 - on a note (b) Jainte 1 have a grant with the second will and good on a b and fail to on Stranger 1914 as an alling when but got fring in my 1993 - to all rate my school fill spin and to the first state of the a balance a, in y , and shat arong it that - C. 24 the at Roy of a se altered of a - Adv ins and anythe star manual the plants from many south affect by Ergense is not the state when a same 14 and a contract to the t N which have been as it is good - director plant hereards. The of a proper work of goe ingo apple with a new store that by discourse they want of the second pression Vire, wisher I is it's which have a Carlo Horas a Day of the 13 miles his anther the yield as at the

La she much hit

114823

Barriel and

m_t= 40, >23, <67 GeV ??

N_v=3,4...

ON THE DETERMINATION OF LIMITS ON THE NUMBER OF LIGHT NEUTRINOS AND THE TOP QUARK MASS FROM W, Z PRODUCTION IN pp COLLISIONS

A.D. MARTIN^a, R.G. ROBERTS^b and W.J. STIRLING^a

MRS: January 1987

$$R = \frac{\#(W \to e\nu)}{\#(Z \to ee)} = \overbrace{\sigma_{Z}}^{\sigma_{W}} \frac{BR(W \to e\nu)}{BR(Z \to ee)} = R_{\sigma} \cdot R_{BR}$$

Main uncertainty due to use of existing LO PDF sets
MRS directly analysed p,d DIS data \rightarrow NLO PDFs
 F_{2}^{n}/F_{2}^{p} data crucial for u/d

 $N_v < 4.9$, m_t not constrained

James' plots



Error on R_{σ} due to uncertainty on $\sin^2 \theta_W$

 $R_{\sigma} = 3.36 + / -0.09$

Next step followed soon after (July 1987)

- \rightarrow First ever NLO fit to global data
- → Towards the determination of the partonic structure of proton that is the PDFs of the proton
- → An activity which has become increasingly important to this day

Structure-function analysis and ψ , jet, W, and Z production: Determining the gluon distribution

100

0.38

MRS July 1987









Next MRS paper: Dec.1987

IMPLICATIONS OF NEW DIS DATA FOR PARTON DISTRIBUTIONS

Altho' equally good, but different fits to EMC, BCDMS μ N data + ν N data are possible, Drell-Yan data favours BCDMS Another MRS innovation, now standard practice in PDF studies, was to give benchmark predictions for processes which may be observed in future collider experiments.

Benchmark cross sections for $p\bar{p}$ collisions at 1.8 TeV

August 1988

Kwiecinski +MRS performed an early study (1990) of PDFs at low x where the gluon dominates. This analysis went beyond the conventional (leading twist) formalism to include and quantify shadowing corrrections---and most importantly gave the effect of these corrections for other processes.

Sutton +MRS (1992) gave the first determination of the PDFs of the pion in a NLO "global" analysis of data from Drell-Yan and prompt photon experiments. Moments of q^{π}_{val} shown to agree with lattice QCD



MRS were continually updating the (conventional) PDFs of the proton as new data became available.

Global fit in 1994 following advent of HERA DIS data, allows a better determination of the gluon PDF

gluon with HERA data included in global fit



 $W^{\pm} \rightarrow l^{\pm} \nu$ asymmetry in rapidity depends on slope of d/u



pp, pn Drell-Yan asymmetry pins down $\bar{d} - \bar{u}$ (WJS+SE) $\frac{\sigma_{pp} - \sigma_{pp}}{\sigma_{pp} + \sigma_{pp}}$ σ_{pn} A_{D} 0.2 ADY 0.1 MRS(H) NA 51 -0.1 MRS(A) -0.2 CTEQ 2M 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 Vτ

Process/experiment	Leading-order subprocess	Parton determination
DIS $(\mu N \rightarrow \mu X)$ BCDMS, NMC $F_2^{\mu p}, F_2^{\mu n}$	$\gamma^* q o q$	Four structure functions \rightarrow $u + \bar{u}$ $d + \bar{d}$ $\bar{u} + \bar{d}$
$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$W^*q o q'$	$s \;({ m assumed}{=}ar{s}), \ { m but \; only } \int xg(x)dx \simeq 0.5 \ [ar{u} - ar{d} \; { m is \; not \; determined}]$
$\mu N ightarrow c ar{c} X F_2^c$, EMC	$\gamma^* c \to c$	$cpprox 0.1s$ at Q_0^2
$\nu N \to \mu^+ \mu^- X$ CCFR	$egin{array}{c} W^{ullet}s ightarrow c \ \hookrightarrow \mu^+ \end{array}$	$spprox rac{1}{2}ar{u}~({ m or}~rac{1}{2}ar{d})$
DIS (HERA) F_2^{ep} (H1,ZEUS)	$\gamma^{ullet}q o q$	$egin{aligned} \lambda\ (xar q\sim xg\sim x^{-\lambda}, ext{via} g ightarrow qar q) \end{aligned}$
$pp ightarrow \gamma X$ WA70 (UA6)	$qg ightarrow \gamma q$	g(xpprox 0.4)
$pN ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X ightarrow ho$	$qar{q} o \gamma^{ullet}$	$ar{q} = \cdots (1-x)^{\eta_S}$
$pp, pn ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$ NA51	$egin{aligned} uar{u}, dar{d} & o \gamma^{*} \ uar{d}, dar{u} & o \gamma^{*} \end{aligned}$	$(ar{u}-ar{d})$ at $x{=}0.18$
$p\bar{p} \rightarrow WX(ZX)$ UA2, CDF, D0	ud ightarrow W	$egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
$egin{array}{c} & \to W^{\pm} \ { m asym} \ & { m CDF} \end{array}$		slope of u/d at $x \approx 0.05$

TABLE I. Experimental data used to determine the MRS parton distributions. The last column gives an indication of the main type of constraint imposed by a particular set of data.



MRS responded to new measurements and emphasized the importance of examining all data involving PDFs

1992-6 regularly updated MRS PDFs

e.g. in 1996 -- MRS fits were able to include new precise HERA DIS data + Fermilab jet data One consequence: $\alpha_s \sim 0.113 \rightarrow 0.116 - 0.120$

Then in 1997 a major event happened

 $\mathsf{MRS} \xrightarrow{} \mathsf{MRS} + \mathsf{T}$