Prospects for LHC Run 3 and HL-LHC Experimental overview

Monica D'Onofrio, University of Liverpool

Only a small subset of interesting results and prospects are shown in this talk, focusing on ATLAS and CMS

UK HEP Forum 2019 The Cosener's House, Oxford, UK, 24/9/2019



- ► LHC data collected during Run-2 (~140 fb⁻¹ / exp.) are being analysed now
- Fantastic results have been already achieved, and more are in the pipeline:
 - Broad and diverse research programme \rightarrow data-driven times experiments take the lead!
 - Precise Higgs mass, cross-section and coupling measurements



- ► LHC data collected during Run-2 (~140 fb⁻¹ / exp.) are being analysed now
- Fantastic results have been already achieved, and more are in the pipeline:
 - ullet Broad and diverse research programme o data-driven times experiments take the lead



- ► LHC data collected during Run-2 (~140 fb⁻¹ / exp.) are being analysed now
- Fantastic results have been already achieved, and more are in the pipeline:
 - Broad and diverse research programme ightarrow data-driven times experiments take the lead
 - New physics searches continue to improve their sensitivity and probe new signatures



New searches address "difficult" regions with diverse techniques that push the capabilities of the detectors

Soft-leptons for top squarks



- ► LHC data collected during Run-2 (~140 fb⁻¹ / exp.) are being analysed now
- Fantastic results have been already achieved, and more are in the pipeline:
 - Broad and diverse research programme ightarrow data-driven times experiments take the lead
 - New physics searches continue to improve their sensitivity and probe new signatures



New searches address "difficult" regions with diverse techniques that push the capabilities of the detectors

Delayed jets for Long-Lived gluinos



Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

24/9/19

- ► LHC data collected during Run-2 (~140 fb⁻¹ / exp.) are being analysed now
- Fantastic results have been already achieved, and more are in the pipeline:
 - Broad and diverse research programme ightarrow data-driven times experiments take the lead
 - Synergies with other experiments being exploited: i.e. Dark Matter and Dark Sectors



WIMP-nucleon scattering cross section



Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

Thoroughly investigating the SM...



.. and searching everywhere

Model-dependent searches as well as theoryagnostic and signature-based ones





erging jet + jet) 0.0022-0.3

10-

). The y-axis tick labels indicate the studied long-lived particle

10

cτ [m]

Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

 $\rightarrow XX(10\%), X \rightarrow \mu\mu, m_H = 125 \text{ GeV}, m_X = 20 \text{ GeV}$ X

iark QCD, $m_{n_{0x}} = 5$ GeV, $m_{X_{0x}} = 1200$ GeV

103

10

20 fb⁻¹ (8 TeV)

16 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV

A long and bright future: the road for the HL-LHC



Short-term future: Run 3

First round of upgrades for ATLAS and CMS detectors being finalized

Phase-1 upgrades of CMS

- Calorimeter (HCAL barrel): installation of new readout system
- Tracker (Pixel detector): replacement of layer 1 and DCDC converters



Phase-1 upgrades of ATLAS • Trigger DAQ upgrade: L1 Calo, L1

- New Small Muon Wheels
- Forward detector system







24/9/19

Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

Short-term future: Run 3

Run 3 provides the opportunity to implement novel trigger and new analysis methods and approaches (e.g. machine learning)

Unprecedented accuracy and search reach.

A couple of examples

Axion-like scalar particles

Additional scalar particles below the higgs mass Looking at h $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ with m($\gamma \gamma$) < 65 GeV

Major limitation in trigger, plans to lower thresholds and improve triggers at L1



Short-term future: Run 3

Run 3 provides the opportunity to implement novel trigger and new analysis methods and approaches (e.g. machine learning)

Unprecedented accuracy and search reach.

A couple of examples

Displaced vertex at Trigger level

New particles might be long-lived (from SUSY to heavy Majorana neutrinos... a wide area not yet fully covered)

Use L1 object to identify the Primary Vertex and area of interest

OR

Improve selection at trigger level associating displaced leptons or tracks to standard object



Medium-long term: Run 4, better known as HL-LHC

Major upgrades for ATLAS and CMS detectors expected and in track



Taken from W. Adam: Highlights from the CMS experiment Many new & Innovative elements!

Phase-2 upgrade starts now!

Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

Medium-long term: Run 4, better known as HL-LHC

Major upgrades for ATLAS and CMS detectors expected and in track



The HL-LHC potential

- The physics potential of the HL-LHC and a possible HE upgrade has been studied in detail for the European Strategy. Open symposium in Granada - May 2019
- Prospects were presented in all areas:
 - 5 Working Groups (SM, Higgs, BSM, Flavour, Heavy **Ion):** ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, ALICE exp. and theorists worked to enrich and consolidate the HL physics program: precision, exploration potential and breadth
 - Prospects for a 27 TeV HE-LHC are also studied
 - Five dedicated reports and two executive summaries for HL and HE have been released in December ''18 and updated in February '19 \rightarrow over 1000 pages of documents!
 - See also: <u>HLHELHCWorkshop</u>



The physics potential of HE-LHC

ditere: Workshop stering group: A. Dunsee, M.L. Mongono, A.B. Mayer, A. Naali, G.P. Salam, M. Vesterinen Workshop stering, S. Ersey, P. Hou, N. A. Hook, and Y. Huang, M. Wasterinen WG3 commercers: M. Gepéda, S. Gori, P. J. Ilen, M. Kaso, and F. Fina, WG3 commercers: A. Gevida, M. O. Condo, P. J. Fon, F. Toros, and K. Uliner WG3 commercers: J. Corn, J. F. Gorses Cerbrighaus, J. M. Auster, and J. Zupan WG3 commercers: J. Corn, J. F. Gorses Cerbrighaus, J. M. Auster, Y. Le, B. U. Wedemann, M. Winn WG3 commercers: J. Corn, J. F. Gorses Cerbrighaus, J. M. Auster, Y. Li, Le, U. Wedemann, M. Winn WG3 commercers: J. Corn, J. F. Gorses Cerbrighaus, J. M. Auster, Y. J. Lee, U. Wedemann, M. Winn WG3 commercers: J. Song, J. Song, J. Song, S. Song, Y. Sung, J. Martin, Carnalich, and J. Zupan Contributing authors: see Addendum

This document summarizes the physics potential of the Hgh-Energy LHC (HE-LHC), under consideration as a possible project at CERR. The HE-LHC is at 27 Vay positions to be installed in the LHC thurnel, reflying on the 18 T magnet technological december LHC that the LHC is at 25 Hg. The LHC is at 25 Hg. The the LHC is 25 Hg. The the the LHC is at 25 Hg. The the HLC is 25 teraction point focused on flavour physics, delivering 3 ab^{-1} of integrated luminosity to an upgraded LHCb de ontinue the programme of heavy ion collisions. The results presented here were obtained in the context of The physics of HL-LHC, and perspectives on HE-LHC", which ran for over a year after its kick-off m November 2017. These studies complemented those focused on the engineering and technological aspects of the performed in the context of the FCC conceptual design report (CDR) for the HE-LHC, and documented elsewhere [pering and technological aspects of the project he activity has been carried out by five working groups (WGs): "Standard Model" (WG1), "Higgs" (WG2), "Beyond the standard Model" (WG3), "Flavour" (WG4) and "QCD matter at high density" (WG5). The reports from the WGs, extending thi executive summary with much more detail and many more results, are available on the CERN Document Server [2-6], and with ppear on arXiv. The documents describing in full detail the HL-LHC and HE-LHC studies performed by the ATLAS and CM aborations can be found in Ref. [7] (available in early 2019).

- M. Benedikt, J. Gutleber, and F. Zimmermann, (editors), Future Circular Collider Study. Volume 4: The High Energy LHC (HE-LHC) Conceptual Design Report, CERN-ACC-2018-0059, CERN, Geneva, Dec, 2018. Submitted to Eur. Phys. J. S'
- P. Azzi, S. Farry, P. Nason, A. Tricoli, and D. Zeppenfeld, (conveners), et al, St HE-LHC, CERN-LPCC-2018-03, CERN, Geneva, 2018. https://cds.cerr M. Cepeda, S. Gori, P. J. Ilten, M. Kado, and F. Riva, (conveners), et al. Higgs Physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC, CERN-LPCC-2018-04, CERN, Geneva, 2018. https://ds.cern.ch/record/2650162.
- X. Cid-Vidal, M. D'Onofrio, P. J. Fox, R. Torre, and K. Ulmer, (conveners), et al, B HL-LHC and HE-LHC, CERN-LPCC-2018-05, CERN, Geneva, 2018. https://
- A. Cerri, V. V. Gligorov, S. Malvezzi, J. Martin Camalich, and J. Zupan, (conveners), et al, Flavour Physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC, CERN-LPCC-2018-06, CERN, Geneva, 2018. https://cds.cern.ch/record/2650175.
- Z. Citron, A. Dainese, J. F. Grosse-Ostringhaus, J. M. Jowett, Y.-J. Lee, U. Wiedemann, and M. A. Winn. (conveners), et Future physics opportunities for high-density OCD at the LHC with heavy-ion and proton beams, CERN-LPCC 2018-07 CERN, Genewa, 2018. arXiv:1812.06772, [hep-ph]. https://cds.cern.ch/record/2650176.

74/9/19

The ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Report on the Physics at the HL-LHC and Perspectives for the HE-LHC, CERN-LPCC-2019-01, CERN, Geneva, 2019. https://cds.cern.ch/record/2651134.

Editors:

Workshop steering group: A. Dainese, M.L. Mangano, A.B. Meyer, A. Nisati, G.P. Salam, M. Vesterinen WG1 conveners: P. Azzi, S. Farry, P. Nason, A. Tricoli, and D. Zeppenfeld WG2 conveners: M. Cepeda, S. Gori, P. Ilten, M. Kado, and F. Riva, WG3 conveners: X. Cid-Vidal, M. D'Onofrio, P. J. Fox, R. Torre, and K. Ulmer WG4 conveners: A. Cerri, V.V. Gligorov, S. Malvezzi, J. Martin Camalich, and J. Zupan WG5 conveners: Z. Citron, J. F. Grosse-Oetringhaus, J. M. Jowett, Y.-J. Lee, U. Wiedemann, M. Winn

Analyses strategies

- Different approaches have been used by experiments and in theoretical prospects
 - **Extrapolations** of existing results using simple scale factors on individual processes
 - Fast-simulations, e.g. using DELPHES (CMS, theorists) and common HL-LHC cards
 - Parametric-simulations, using particle-level definitions for the main objects and taking into account the pile-up conditions, effects of an upgraded detector taken into account by applying smearing functions.
 - Systematic uncertainties are based on existing data analyses and estimated using common guidelines for projecting the expected improvements foreseen thanks to large dataset and upgraded detectors
 - intrinsic statistical uncertainty is reduced by a factor 1/JL
 - Theoretical uncertainties are halved or divided by 4; PDF reduced up to 20-50%
 - **Detector-related uncertainties (JES, JER, b-tagging,** $e/\gamma/\mu/\tau$ ID) are ~ halved







74/9/19

Higgs physics

Question	κ_V	κ_3	κ_g	κ_{γ}	λ_{hhh}	σ_{hZ}	$\mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{inv}}$	$\mathrm{BR}_{\mathrm{und}}$	$\kappa_\ell \ \mu_{4f}$	$\mathrm{BR}_{\tau\mu}\ \Gamma_h$
Is h Alone?	+	+			+	+			+	+
Is h elementary?	+	+	+	+		+				
Why $m_h^2 \ll m_{\rm Pl}^2$?	+	+					+	+	+	+
1st order EWPT?			+	+	+	+			+	
CPV?		+(CP)								
Light singlets?							+	+	+ +	+
Flavor puzzles?		+							+	+

Many problems of particle physics today relate to Higgs observables

B. Heinemann (Granada'19)

All production modes/decays including rare ones such as $h \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $h \rightarrow Z\gamma$ will be observable at HL Rate measurements show that percent level precision can be reached for most couplings

Higgs physics: properties

rare production and decay processes:

With minimal assumptions, the total width Γ_{H} will be constrained with a 5% precision and an upper limit on the Higgs invisible BR of 2.5% will be reached.

The exploration of the Higgs sector includes precision measurements, as well as searches for



74/9/19

arXiV:1902.00134

Higgs physics: properties

- The exploration of the Higgs sector includes precision measurements, as well as searches for rare production and decay processes:
 - ► All production modes/decays including rare ones such as $h \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $h \rightarrow Z\gamma$ will be observable at HL
 - Rate measurements show that percent level precision can be reached for most couplings
 - With minimal assumptions, the total width Γ_H will be constrained with a 5% precision and an upper limit on the Higgs invisible BR of 2.5% will be reached.



74/9/19

Higgs physics: properties

- The exploration of the Higgs sector includes precision measurements, as well as searches for rare production and decay processes:
 - All production modes/decays including rare ones such as $h \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $h \rightarrow Z\gamma$ will be observable at HL
 - Rate measurements show that percent level precision can be reached for most couplings
 - With minimal assumptions, the total width Γ_H will be constrained with a 5% precision and an upper limit on the Higgs invisible BR of 2.5% will be reached.



Higgs physics: di-higgs

- ggF HH \rightarrow 4b / bb $\tau\tau$ / bb $\gamma\gamma$ with detailed analysis of systematic uncertainties impact
- Assuming SM Higgs self-coupling λ : observation sensitivity of 3 s.d. per exp., 4 s.d. combined



Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

Higgs physics: portals to NP

HL-LHC will enhance the sensitivity to BSM physics. For example:

Minimal Higgs - Dark Matter portal models

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &\supset -\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{hSS} H^{\dagger} H S^{2} \quad (\text{scalar DM}) \quad \text{or} \\ \mathcal{L} &\supset +\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{hVV} H^{\dagger} H V_{\mu} V^{\mu} \quad (\text{vector DM}) \quad \text{or} \\ \mathcal{L} &\supset -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_{h\chi\chi}}{\Lambda} H^{\dagger} H \bar{\chi} \chi \quad (\text{fermion DM}), \end{split}$$

Scalar or Vector quartic interaction of the SM Higgs doublet field H with the DM field

If $M_{\rm DM}\,<\,M_H/2\,\simeq\,62.5{\rm GeV}$

→ Higgs invisible constraints can be translated in terms of upper limits on λ → related to $\sigma_{\text{DM-nucleon}}$



[constraints on other models e.g. with additional heavy scalars also studied]

Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

SM measurements and top physics

HL-LHC will be critical to reduce systematics or bypass their limitations with new analyses, lead to measurements of unanticipated precision and give access to rare phenomena

Some examples in the following

Multi-boson production @ HL-LHC





Results can be used to constrain anomalous couplings

Process	$W^{\pm}W^{\pm}$	WZ	WV	ZZ	WWW	WWZ	WZZ
Final state	$\ell^\pm\ell^\pm jj$	3ℓjj	ℓjjjj	4ℓjj	$3\ell 3v$	$4\ell 2v$	$5\ell v$
Precision	6%	6%	6.5%	10-40%	11%	27%	36%
Significance	$> 5\sigma$	$> 5\sigma$	$> 5\sigma$	$> 5\sigma$	$> 5\sigma$	3.0 σ	3.0 σ



Uncertainty [%]



24

W and top mass precision measurements

- W mass precision measurement uses dedicated dataset at low <mu>
 - \rightarrow exploit the extended leptonic coverage



- Top mass precision measurement
 - Several methods compared

Method:	$t\bar{t}$ lepton+jets	t-channel single top	$m_{SV\ell}$	J/ψ	$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$
Δm_{top} (GeV):	0.17	0.45	0.62	0.50	1.2

Progress on Δm_t will be driven by future theoretical developments



25

Rare top processes and FCNC

Prospects for measurements of rare top processes

(1) tttt (4 tops): also a probe for new physics



(2) tt_γ: fiducial differential cross section measurements



Process	HL-LHC
tī	3×10^{9}
$t\bar{t} (p_T^{top} > 2 \text{ TeV})$	3×10^2
<i>t</i> -channel	8×10^8
Wt	2×10^8
s-channel	3×10^7
tqZ	3×10^{6}
tīW	2×10^{6}
tīZ	3×10^{6}
tīH	2×10^{6}
tH	3×10^5
tītī	$5 imes 10^4$

 $t \rightarrow \gamma c$

Flavor changing neutral currents are forbidden at tree level, and strongly suppressed by GIM mechanism - SM predicts O(10⁻¹² - 10⁻¹⁶), BSM extensions allow significant enhancements

 $t \to gc$ $t \to qZ$ $t \to \gamma u$

 3.8×10^{-6} 3.2×10^{-5} $2.4 - 5.8 \times 10^{-5}$ 8.6×10^{-6} 7.4×10^{-5}

Anomalous couplings:

top-g/γ/Z/H

 $t \rightarrow g u$

 $t \rightarrow Hq$

 10^{-4}

Beyond Standard Model

- What's the origin of Dark matter / energy ?
- What's the origin of baryon asymmetry in the universe?
- What's the origin of neutrino masses?
- What's the origin of EW symmetry breaking?
- What's the origin of the flavour structure of the SM?
- What's the solution to the hierarchy problem?
- \bullet

Everything is a BSM search in the end

Searching for new physics: what

Standard Model of Elementary Particles



Non minimal Higgs sector

- Exotics / Rare / Invisible decays
- Higgs as portal to DM
- Extended: Two-Higgs-Doublet-Models, MSSM, NMSSM and more
- Charged Scalars
- Composite Higgs

"Exotics": referred to a large variety

of theories and models

- Heavy vector bosons, vector-like quarks, excited quarks, non-SUSY Dark-Matter models, lepto-quarks, dark/hidden sectors and more
- The unknown!

SUSY: strong production

Top squarks might have a prominent role for regularization of the higgs mass



SUSY EWK production: Phenomenology

- Mass and hierarchy of the four neutralinos and the two charginos, as well as their production cross sections and decay modes, depend on the M_1 , M_2 , μ (bino, wino, higgsino) values and hierarchy
 - EWK phenomenology broadly driven by the LSP and Next-LSP nature
 - Examples of classifications (cf: arXiV: <u>1309.5966</u>)



Used as benchmarks:

• <u>Bino LSP, wino-bino cross sections</u> (1) Mass (χ^{\pm}_1) = Mass (χ^0_2) (2) $\chi^+_1\chi^-_1$ and $\chi^{\pm}_1\chi^0_2$ processes

 $\sigma_{W}(\chi^{\pm}_{1}\chi^{0}_{2}) \sim 2 \sigma_{W}(\chi^{\pm}_{1}\chi^{-}_{1})$

- <u>Higgsino-LSP, higgsino-like cross sections</u>

 (1) Small mass splitting χ⁰₁, χ[±]₁, χ⁰₂
 (2) Consider triplets for cross sections
 - (3) Role of high-multiplicity neutralinos and charginos also relevant

 $\sigma_{\mathsf{H}}(\chi^{\pm}_{1}\chi^{0}_{2} + \chi^{\pm}_{1}\chi^{-}_{1} + \chi^{\pm}_{1}\chi^{0}_{1})$ $< 0.7 \sigma_{\mathsf{W}}(\chi^{\pm}_{1}\chi^{0}_{2})$

[depending on masses!]

Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

24/9/19

Charginos and neutralinos

The sensitivity to EW states will extend considerably at the HL-LHC







Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeti Indicative partonic rescaling of HE for FCC-hh soft-lepton 24/9/19

Direct searches for new physics: Dark Matter

4top searches

- Several searches for DM candidates, SUSY-like and beyond
- Mono-X searches reach sensitivity 2-8 times better than Run 2
- Good complementarity ATLAS CMS, with various scenarios covered
 - Monojet, MET+HF, monotop, monophoton and VBF prospects (ATLAS)
 - Mono-Z CMS

Mono-Z searches

Also complementary with 4-top 2HDM-a model studies



3.0 ab⁻¹ (14 TeV) CMS Projection ATLAS Simulation Preliminary (YR18) σ/ σ_{thec} with YR18 syst. uncert. √s = 14 TeV. 3 ab⁻¹ = with Run 2 syst. uncert. Same Sign SR, $m_{..} = 600 \text{ GeV}$, $\sin\theta = 0.35$ $\mu = 200$ 800 · · · with stat, uncert, only 30 Same Sign SR, $m_{..} = 1 \text{ TeV}$, $\sin\theta = 0.7$ Limits at 95% CL 20 2HDM+a model Multi-lep SR, $m_{\mu} = 600 \text{ GeV}, \sin \theta = 0.35$ Vector mediator, Dirac DM Multi-lep SR, $m_{..} = 1$ TeV, $\sin\theta = 0.7$ (Cev) go = 0.25, gom = 1.0 £ 400 200 02 200 500 600 1000 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 m_{red} (GeV) m_a [GeV]

DM + *top/bottom quarks*

74/9/19

Non-prompt signatures and DM

Disappearing track analyses are quite relevant for DM WIMPs searches



Long-lived particles

- Various feebly interacting particle cases studied for HL-LHC
- Examples: displaced muons, lepton-jets e.g. targeting dark photons



ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-002

Direct searches for new physics: Resonances

Searches for Z' and W' have been performed in a variety of final states

W' mass reach improves by more than 2 TeV w.r.t. the Run 2, by more than 1TeV w.r.t. 300 fb⁻¹



Flavor physics: a wide program relevant for NP

- There is a wide program of studies with progressive improvements which provides also a window for NP
 - "High pT" flavor physics
 - CKM unitarity and related observables
 - Charm-quark probes for NP
 - Strange-quark probes for NP
 - Tau leptons and LFV
 - Hadron spectroscopy
 - Bottom probes and B-anomalies

[more in Heavy Flavour talk]

Observable	Current LHCb	LHCb 2025	Upgrade II
EW Penguins			
$\overline{R_K \left(1 < q^2 < 6 \mathrm{GeV}^2 c^4\right)}$	0.1 [5]	0.025	0.007
$R_{K^*} (1 < q^2 < 6 \mathrm{GeV}^2 c^4)$	0.1 [6]	0.031	0.008
$R_{\phi}^{n}, R_{pK}, R_{\pi}$	-	0.08, 0.06, 0.18	0.02, 0.02, 0.05
CKM tests			
$\overline{\gamma, \text{with } B_s^0} \to D_s^+ K^-$	$\binom{+17}{-22}^{\circ}$ [7]	4°	1°
γ , all modes	$(^{+5.0}_{-5.8})^{\circ}$ [8]	1.5°	0.35°
$\sin 2\beta$, with $B^0 \to J/\psi K_{ m S}^0$	0.04 [9]	0.011	0.003
ϕ_s , with $B_s^0 \to J/\psi\phi$	49 mrad [10]	14 mrad	4 mrad
ϕ_s , with $B_s^0 \to D_s^+ D_s^-$	170 mrad [11]	35 mrad	9 mrad
$\phi_s^{s\bar{s}s}$, with $B_s^0 \to \phi\phi$	154 mrad [12]	39 mrad	11 mrad
$a_{ m sl}^s$	33×10^{-4} [13]	10×10^{-4}	3×10^{-4}
$ V_{ub} / V_{cb} $	6% [14]	3%	1%
$B^0_s, B^0 { ightarrow} \mu^+ \mu^-$			
$\overline{\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-)} / \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$	90% [15]	34%	10%
$\tau_{B^0 \rightarrow u^+ u^-}$	22% [15]	8%	2%
$S_{\mu\mu}^{\Sigma_s \to \mu}$	_	_	0.2
$b \to c \ell^- \bar{\nu_l}$ LUV studies			
$\overline{R(D^*)}$	0.026 [16, 17]	0.0072	0.002
$\hat{R(J/\psi)}$	0.24 [18]	0.071	0.02
Charm			
$\overline{\Delta A_{CP}}(KK - \pi\pi)$	8.5×10^{-4} [19]	1.7×10^{-4}	3.0×10^{-5}
$A_{\Gamma} (\approx x \sin \phi)$	2.8×10^{-4} [20]	4.3×10^{-5}	$1.0 imes 10^{-5}$
$x\sin\phi$ from $D^0 \to K^+\pi^-$	13×10^{-4} [21]	3.2×10^{-4}	$8.0 imes 10^{-5}$
$x \sin \phi$ from multibody decays	_	$(K3\pi) 4.0 \times 10^{-5}$	$(K3\pi) 8.0 \times 10^{-6}$

High-pT flavour-related studies

- High p_T searches for possible motivation of flavour anomalies:
 - Test of Z' and lepto-quark models which could explain them
- **Searches for LQ:**
 - b+tau projections

3.5

3.0

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

0.0

 g_U

- top+tau/mu projections
- Results can be interpreted in terms of scalar/vector LQ



HL-LHC projection (14 TeV)

HL-LHC projection (14 TeV

BSM summary plots

HL/HE-LHC SUSY Searches

	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	E_{T}^{miss}	<i>L dt</i> [al	-1] Mass limit			$\sqrt{s} = 14, 27$ lev
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow g \bar{g} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	4 jets	Yes	3	ĝ	2.9 (3.2) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0$	HL-LHC: 5 <i>o</i> discovery (95% CL exclusion
ino	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q\bar{q}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$	0	4 jets	Yes	15	ğ	5.2 (5.7) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0$	HE-LHC: 5 <i>σ</i> discovery (95% CL exclusion
Glu	NUHM2, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow t\tilde{t}$				15	ĝ	5.7 (5.9) TeV		
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	Mult./2b	Yes	3	\tilde{t}_1	1.25 (1.7) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0$	
top	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0	Mult./2b	Yes	3	\tilde{t}_1	0.6 (0.85) TeV	$\Delta m(\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{\chi}_1^0) \sim m(t)$	
S	$\tilde{t}_1\tilde{t}_1,\tilde{t}_1{\rightarrow}b\tilde{\chi}^{\pm}/t\tilde{\chi}^0_1,\tilde{\chi}^0_2$				15	ĩ	3.16 (3.65) TeV		Model
lino	$\tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^\pm \rightarrow W^\pm \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 e, µ	0-1 jets	Yes	3	$ ilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	0.66 (0.84) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0$	KK Ab
eutra	$ ilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} ilde{\chi}_2^0$ via WZ	3 e, µ	0-1 jets	Yes	3	$ ilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}/ ilde{\chi}_2^0$	0.92 (1.15) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0$	$\frac{\kappa\kappa \rightarrow 40}{2}$
u ʻor	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ via <i>Wh</i> , <i>Wh</i> $\rightarrow \ell v b \bar{b}$	1 e, µ 2	2-3 jets/2b	Yes	3	$ ilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}/ ilde{\chi}_2^0$	1.08 (1.28) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0$	$HVT \rightarrow VV$
Chargi	$\tilde{\chi}_2^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_4^0 {\longrightarrow} W^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 W^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	2 <i>e</i> , <i>µ</i>	-	Yes	3	$ ilde{\chi}^{\pm}_2/ ilde{\chi}^0_4$	0.9 TeV	m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$)=150, 250 GeV	$G_{RS} \rightarrow W_{-}$
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0 + \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow I$	$W \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 2 e, μ	1 jet	Yes	3	$ ilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}/ ilde{\chi}_2^0$	0.25 (0.36) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=15 \text{ GeV}$	$G_{RS} \rightarrow tt$
gsinc	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0 + \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 {\rightarrow} Z \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} {\rightarrow} I$	$W \tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 2 e, μ	1 jet	Yes	15	$ ilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}/ ilde{\chi}_2^0$	0.42 (0.55) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=15 \text{ GeV}$	$Z'_{TC2} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$
Hig	$\tilde{\chi}^0_2 \tilde{\chi}^\pm_1, \tilde{\chi}^\pm_1 \tilde{\chi}^\mp_1, \tilde{\chi}^\pm_1 \tilde{\chi}^0_1$	2 μ	1 jet	Yes	3	${ ilde X}^0_2$	0.21 (0.35) TeV	$\Delta m(\tilde{\chi}^0_2, \tilde{\chi}^0_1) {=} 5 \mathrm{GeV}$	$Z'_{SSM} \rightarrow t\bar{t}$
Wino	${ ilde{\chi}}_2^{\pm} { ilde{\chi}}_4^0$ via same-sign WW				3	Wino	0.86 (1.08) TeV		$Z^{'}_{\psi} ightarrow \ell^+ \ell^-$
	$\tilde{\tau}_{L,R}\tilde{\tau}_{L,R}, \tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	2 τ	-	Yes	3	τ	0.53 (0.73) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0$	$Z_{SSM} \to \ell^+$
stau	ττ	$2\tau,\tau(e,\mu)$	-	Yes	3	$ ilde{ au}$	0.47 (0.65) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0, m(\tilde{\tau}_L)=m(\tilde{\tau}_R)$	$Z'_{SSM} \rightarrow \tau^+$
0)	ττ	$2\tau,\tau(e,\mu)$	-	Yes	15	τ	0.81 (1.15) TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0, m(\tilde{\tau}_L)=m(\tilde{\tau}_R)$	$W_{SSM} \rightarrow T$
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}, \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	Disapp. trk	. 1 jet	Yes	3	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} [\tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})=1 \text{ns}]$	0.8 (1.1) TeV	Wino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	$W'_{oout} \rightarrow \ell$
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}, \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	Disapp. trk	. 1 jet	Yes	3	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} = [\tau(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}) = 1 \text{ns}]$	0.6 (0.75) TeV	Higgsino-like $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$	
	MSSM, Electroweak DM	Disapp. trk	. 1 jet	Yes	3	DM mass	0.88 (0.9) TeV	Wino-like DM	$\frac{VV_R \rightarrow UD}{VR}$
σ.,	MSSM, Electroweak DM	Disapp. trk	. 1 jet	Yes	15	DM mass	2.0 (2.1) TeV	Wino-like DM	$Q^* \rightarrow jj$
j-live ticles	MSSM, Electroweak DM	Disapp. trk	. 1 jet	Yes	3	DM mass	0.28 (0.3) TeV	Higgsino-like DM	v ^{Majorana} —
Long	MSSM, Electroweak DM	Disapp. trk	. 1 jet	Yes	15	DM mass	0.55 (0.6) TeV	Higgsino-like DM	v ^{Heavy} (m
	\tilde{g} R-hadron, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq \tilde{\chi}_1^0$		Multiple	Yes	3	$\tilde{g} = [\tau(\tilde{g}) = 0.1 - 3 \text{ ns}]$	3.4 TeV	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ =100 GeV	$\ell^* \to \ell \nu$
	\tilde{g} R-hadron, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq \tilde{\chi}_1^0$				3	$\tilde{g} = [\tau(\tilde{g}) = 0.1 - 10 \text{ ns}]$	2.8 TeV		1 O(nair ni
	GMSB $\tilde{\mu} \rightarrow \mu \tilde{G}$	displ. μ			3	$\tilde{\mu}$	0.2 TeV	<i>cτ</i> =1000 mm	
	1								$LQ \rightarrow t\mu$
					1	<u> </u>			$LQ \rightarrow t\tau$
						U I	mass scale [TeV]		



Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

Simulation Preliminary

 $\sqrt{s} = 14, 27 \text{ TeV}$ HC: 5 discovery (95% CL exclusion

Conclusions

- Run 2 results are being released using the full data-set: very high precision SM measurements, access to rare processes, wide programme of searches, and much more to be investigated!
- The Run-3 and Run-4 (HL-LHC) upgrades are well on-track
- Preparation for HL-LHC in terms of physics programme has seen a big boost in the past ~2 years in view of the European Strategy. Five documents have been delivered on SM, Higgs, BSM, Flavor physics and Heavy Ions for a total of +1K pages plus two short summaries.
- Overall a very strong programme for the HL-LHC:
 - Impressive potential in the higgs sector for properties and BSM prospects [CMS-ATLAS combined]
 - Impressive expectations for di-higgs production using bb+X modes [CMS-ATLAS combined]
 - Possibilities to discover new particles, i.e. in the EWK SUSY sector, and/or at high mass
 - Precision SM measurements that allow reduction of uncertainties and provide indirect probe to searches for NP
 - interesting studies possible in the context of Heavy Ion and forward physics (some in back-up)
- Now, let's build the new detectors (while waiting to see what comes next...)

Back up

Higgs physics: properties

- The exploration of the Higgs sector includes precision measurements, as well as searches for rare production and decay processes:
 - ► All production modes/decays including rare ones such as $h \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $h \rightarrow Z\gamma$ will be observable at HL
 - Rate measurements show that percent level precision can be reached for most couplings
 - With minimal assumptions, the total width Γ_H will be constrained with a 5% precision and an upper limit on the Higgs invisible BR of 2.5% will be reached. κ_i : coupling strength modified parameters





24/9/19

Higgs physics: Effective Field Theory

Global fit to observables in Higgs physics, diboson and DY

- The EFT framework, where the SM Lagrangian is supplemented with dimension-6 operators, allows to systematically parametrise BSM effects
- E.g.. Constraints translate into a sensitivity to the Higgs compositeness scale f > 1.6 TeV, corresponding to a new physics mass scale of 20 TeV for an underlying strongly coupled theory



Higgs physics: BSM



Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

Weak mixing angle

45

Prospects for the measurement of the effective weak mixing angle using the forward-backward asymmetry, A_{FB}, in Drell-Yan di-lepton events. LHCb data used for high rapidity coverage



• Precision better than $5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for $\sin^2\theta_{eff} \rightarrow 1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ if PDF unc. are improved with LHeC

WW/WZ at HE-LHC

- HE-LHC will certainly improve high- p_T measurements
- However, the experimental environment is expected to be challenging at the HE-LHC
 - assess the performance of pile-up mitigation technique at the HE-LHC in order to have a reliable estimate of the search sensitivity [reminder, pile-up @ HE is ~ 800!]



improve the expected significance for VBS W_LW_L analyses

Luminosity [fb⁻¹]

A way to quantify this: global fits

- global significance of the tensions can be defined in a specific framework of NP
 - Sensitivity to Wilson-Coefficient C₉ and C₁₀
 - illustrating scenarios with modifications of just C_9 (vector current) and of both $C_9 = -C_{10}$ (pure left-handed current).
 - Use $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and the angular observables from the decay $B_0 \rightarrow K_{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ in the low- q^2 region (e.g. P'_5).



Reach for generic new physics at tree-level is found to exceed 100 TeV

Foreword

Common assumptions:

HL-LHC:	3/ab (<u>ම</u> 14 TeV	c.o.m.	with	<µ>=200
---------	--------	-----------------	--------	------	---------

For LHCb: luminosity of 50/fb and 300/fb assumed for Phase1b and 2 upgrades

► HE-LHC: 15/ab @ 27 TeV c.o.m. (<µ>=800)

NOTE: results for BSM searches and SM measurements often refer to a **single experiment BUT:** Statistical combinations in case of **Higgs** studies



Collider	Туре	\sqrt{s}	P [%]	N(Det.)	$\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{inst}}$	L	Time
			$[e^{-}/e^{+}]$		$[10^{34}] \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$[ab^{-1}]$	[years]
HL-LHC	pp	14 TeV	-	2	5	6.0	12
HE-LHC	pp	27 TeV	-	2	16	15.0	20
FCC-hh	pp	100 TeV	-	2	30	30.0	25
FCC-ee	ee	M_Z	0/0	2	100/200	150	4
		$2M_W$	0/0	2	25	10	1-2
		240 GeV	0/0	2	7	5	3
		$2m_{top}$	0/0	2	0.8/1.4	1.5	5
		*					(+1)
ILC	ee	250 GeV	$\pm 80/\pm 30$	1	1.35/2.7	2.0	11.5
		350 GeV	$\pm 80/\pm 30$	1	1.6	0.2	1
		500 GeV	$\pm 80/\pm 30$	1	1.8/3.6	4.0	8.5
							(+1)
CEPC	ee	M_Z	0/0	2	17/32	16	2
		$2M_W$	0/0	2	10	2.6	1
		240 GeV	0/0	2	3	5.6	7
CLIC	ee	380 GeV	±80/0	1	1.5	1.0	8
		1.5 TeV	$\pm 80/0$	1	3.7	2.5	7
		3.0 TeV	$\pm 80/0$	1	6.0	5.0	8
							(+4)
LHeC	ep	1.3 TeV	-	1	0.8	1.0	15
HE-LHeC	ep	2.6 TeV	-	1	1.5	2.0	20
FCC-eh	ep	3.5 TeV	-	1	1.5	2.0	25

(arXiV:1905.03764)

HE-LHC: 10/ab per experiment in 20 years

Prospects for High-Energy

- Higgs samples will typically increase by a factor between 10 and 25
 - Potential reduction in the statistical uncertainties by factors of 3 to 5
- Biggest improvements arise for the channels favoured by the higher energy, such as ttH and HH.

	$gg \rightarrow H$	VBF	WH	ZH	tīH	HH
N ₂₇	2.2×10^{9}	1.8×10^{8}	5.4×10^{7}	3.7×10^{7}	4×10^{7}	2.1×10^{6}
N_{27}/N_{14}	13	14	12	13	23	19

Coupling	S2	S2'
k_{γ}	1.6	1.2
k_W	1.5	1.0
k_Z	1.3	0.8
k_{g}	2.2	1.3
k_t	3.2	1.9
k_b	3.5	2.1
$k_{ au}$	1.7	1.1
k_{μ}	2.2	1.7
$\dot{k_{Z\gamma}}$	6.9	4.1

Projected sensitivities with different assumptions on systematics



Prospects for High-Energy (2)

For Higgs boson transverse momenta between 50 and 500 GeV, a precision in the range of 2-4% is achievable for the ratios $BR(H \rightarrow \mu\mu)/BR(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ and $BR(H \rightarrow 4l)/BR(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$, and therefore of order 1-2% for the ratios of the relevant Higgs couplings.



constraints on the EFT operators



- Potential for discovery of deviations will grow considerably, allowing to test energy scales ~ 25 TeV
- Other highlights: longitudinal scattering, exotics Higgs decays, heavier additional higgses

SUSY: strong production (1)



• At the HL-LHC, exclusion 3.2 TeV (qq χ^0), 2.5 TeV (tt χ^0), 2.6 TeV (tc χ^0)

• Valid for low χ^0 mass; reach deteriorates when increasing mass of the lightest SUSY particle, χ^0



Charginos and neutralinos (wino-cross sections)

For SUSY, the kinematic reach is reflected foremost in the sensitivity to EW states



24/9/19

Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

Charginos and neutralinos (higgsino-like)

charginos and neutralinos scenarios in case of compressed scenarios - challenging but not impossible





Discovery potential up to ~ 200 GeV

Stau reach

 τ_1 is the lightest of two states, mixing of LH and RH component of τ **Cross section depends on that** $\rightarrow \tau_R$ very challenging!

Compressed regions can be addressed with ISR+ $\tau\tau$









24/9/19

HL discovery up to 550 GeV $(\tilde{\tau}_R = \tilde{\tau}_L)$ For HE: ~ 800 GeV

Direct vs indirect constraints

- If mZ'>>5 TeV, main contributions from interference effects modifying DY
- Complementarities hadron / lepton colliders
- Hadron colliders relevant for $g_{Z'}>g_{SM}$ couplings: [mass/coupling] $\gg 0.5/s$ (lepton colliders; sensitive to [mass/coupling] \gg /s)





Characterizing a discovery @ HE-LHC

IF a Z' resonance of 6 TeV is seen at HL-LHC, it can be "characterized" at HE-LHC via cross sections, AFB and central/forward ratios



Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

CKM unitarity triangle

- Improved knowledge of parameters
- The evolving constraints in the $\rho^- \eta^-$ plane from LHCb inputs and lattice-QCD calculations are shown standalone.

	Current.	LHCb 2025	LHCb p.2
CKM tests			
γ , with $B_s^0 \to D_s^+ K^-$	$\binom{+17}{-22}^{\circ}$ [7]	4°	1°
γ , all modes	$\binom{+5.0}{-5.8}^{\circ}$ [8]	1.5°	0.35°
$\sin 2\beta$, with $B^0 \to J/\psi K_{\rm S}^0$	0.04 [9]	0.011	0.003
ϕ_s , with $B_s^0 \to J/\psi \phi$	49 mrad [10]	14 mrad	4 mrad
ϕ_s , with $B_s^0 o D_s^+ D_s^-$	170 mrad [11]	35 mrad	9 mrad
$\phi^{sar{s}s}_s$, with $B^0_s o \phi\phi$	154 mrad [12]	39 mrad	11 mrad
$a^s_{ m sl}$	33×10^{-4} [13]	10×10^{-4}	3×10^{-4}
$\left V_{ub} ight /\left V_{cb} ight $	6% [14]	3%	1%

 $\rho - \eta$ plane







prospects for *B*-anomalies

Good prospects for confirming or discarding anomalies



Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

Lepton Flavour Violation in τ decays

Bounds on Tau Lepton Flavour Data from the existing experiments are compiled by HFLAV





Good synergy ATLAS/CMS/LHCb for $\tau \not \rightarrow$ 3 μ

$BR(\tau \rightarrow 3\mu)$	Ref.	Comments
(90% CL limit)		
3.8×10^{-7}	ATLAS [429]	Actual limit (Run 1)
4.6×10^{-8}	LHCb [428]	Actual limit (Run 1)
3.3×10^{-8}	BaBar [417]	Actual limit
2.1×10^{-8}	Belle [423]	Actual limit
3.7×10^{-9}	CMS HF-channel at HL-LHC	Expected limit (3000 fb^{-1})
6×10^{-9}	ATLAS W-channel at HL-LHC	Expected limit (3000 fb^{-1})
2.3×10^{-9}	ATLAS HF-channel at HL-LHC	Expected limit (3000 fb^{-1})
$\mathcal{O}(10^{-9})$	LHCb at HL-LHC	Expected limit (300 fb^{-1})
3.3×10^{-10}	Belle-II [196]	Expected limit (50 ab^{-1})

59

Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting

Flavour physics (a) ATLAS and CMS

- Interesting and competitive studies can be performed at HL-LHC ATLAS and CMS also in B/C sectors thanks to the enhanced B-physics capabilities of the upgrades. E.g.:
 - Measurements of the CP-violating phase ϕ_s , potentially sensitive to BSM, in $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$ channel



Prospects for measuring the BR of the very rare decays $B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ and $B_{s}^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$

Fundamental probe for BSM and/or L \rightarrow HL-LHC will provide increased ma

thanks to ITk \rightarrow by 20% (40%) wrt Run 2 (Run 1)

Results strongly depend on

the trigger thresholds

- resolution and large statistics
- \rightarrow Statistics can be improved but depends on triggers

FV:		$\mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-)$		$\mathcal{B}(B^0\to\mu^+\mu^-)$	
		stat $[10^{-10}]$	$stat + syst [10^{-10}]$	stat $[10^{-10}]$	stat + syst $[10^{-1}]$
SS	Run 2	7.0	8.3	1.42	1.43
	HL-LHC: Conservative	3.2	5.5	0.53	0.54
	HL-LHC: Intermediate	1.9	4.7	0.30	0.31
	HL-LHC: High-yield	1.8	4.6	0.27	0.28



Heavy Ion Physics

Heavy Ion physics

- Very detailed research programme
 - The integrated luminosity target of 13 nb⁻¹ by the end of Run 4 (= a seven-fold increase wrt Run 2)
 - high-density QCD and the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP)
 - Goals:
- 1. Characterizing the macroscopic long-wavelength properties of the QGP with unprecedented precision.
- 2. Accessing the microscopic parton dynamics underlying QGP properties.
- 3. Developing a unified picture of QCD particle production from small (pp) to larger (pA and AA) systems.
- 4. Probing nuclear PDFs in a broad (x, Q^2) range, searching for the possible onset of parton saturation.



Heavy Ion physics (2)

- Very detailed research programme
 - The integrated luminosity target of 13 nb-1 by the end of Run 4 (= a seven-fold increase wrt Run 2)
 - high-density QCD and the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP)
 - Also, searches for new physics!

Just one example: ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-018

Measurements of Photon-Induced Processes in Ultra-Peripheral Collisions of Heavy lons

Photon-photon interactions in lead-lead collisions Good potential for axion-like particle searches





Monica D'Onofrio, UK IoP HEP Meeting