TOP2020

Durham (Virtually)

September $14^{th} 2020$

Matthew McCullough

CERN

Relevance of the Top Quark

The top quark is the only field the Standard model participating in the two most relevant interactions...



and thus provides the bridge between QCD and the Higgs.

TOP2020

Top and Higgs: A true love story.

Three courses

1. Associated tops

Observation of ttH production

The CMS Collaboration*

Why do these titles hold the key to the UV?

Observation of Higgs boson production in association with a top quark pair at the LHC with the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration

Scientific history is littered with empirical models, with some unexplained parameters, which were later superceded by some deeper, more microscopic structure.

These models tended to look like...







At low energies all we have of QCD is the pions $U=f_{\pi}e^{i\Pi/f_{\pi}}$

The phenomenological action

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} |D_{\mu}U|^2 - \text{Tr} [\Sigma U + \text{h.c.}] + ...$$

contains the parameters which fix the dynamics, but does not explain their origin.







The G-L Theory of superconductivity involves a complex scalar field and the photon

The Free energy is

$$F = \left| \left(\nabla + 2ieA \right) \Phi \right|^2$$

$$+m^{2}(T)|\Phi|^{2} + \lambda|\Phi|^{4} + \dots$$

Where the mass depends on the temperature.



and this...



The Higgs sector of the Standard Model involves the Higgs field and the gauge fields

$$\begin{split} H & W^a_\mu \\ \text{The Lagrangian for this theory is} \\ \mathcal{L} &= \left| (\partial_\mu + ig\sigma^a W^a_\mu) H \right|^2 \\ &+ m^2(T) |H|^2 - \lambda(T) |H|^4 + \dots \end{split}$$

This is just the relativistic non-Abelian version of Ginzburg-Landau.

Perhaps you are proud of this Lagrangian? I think it's a bit of a mess. So many parameters, interactions. But most arbitrariness is linked to one, lonely, scalar field...



If this is what the ultimate fundamental theory looks like then I'm going home...

Ginzburg-Landau is a phenomenological model, with no explanation of parameters.



Fortunately, however, we can understand the origins of superconductivity from the detailed microscopic BCS theory (Gor'kov).

Ginzburg-Landau is a phenomenological model, with no explanation of parameters.



Fortunately, however, we can understand the origins of superconductivity from the detailed microscopic BCS theory (Gor'kov).

Like GL, the Higgs sector is a phenomenological model, with no explanation of parameters.



Unlike GL, we have no understanding of the origins of the Higgs sector (no BCS for the Higgs sector, yet...).



There is no problem in having a scalar field with mass arbitrarily far below the microscopic scale, since the mass can be forbidden by a simple shift symmetry:

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi + \text{constant}$$

This is also consistent with having interactions

$${\cal L}_{
m Int}={\cal O}^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\phi$$

Being a scalar does not mean being heavy and this gives no clues to the scale...

As with pions, if there are parameters which break the shift symmetry nothing forbids mass generation:

$$m_\pi^2 \propto m_q \Lambda$$

The Higgs also has interactions which break any potential shift symmetry. The biggest is:

 $\lambda_t H Q_3 U_3^c$

So we expect:

$$M_H^2 \propto \hbar \lambda_t^2 \Lambda^2$$

dimensionally, where Planck's constant is usually accompanied by factors of π 's.

We expect the Higgs model is phenomenological, just like G-L, or pions. But something totally different seems to be going on.



There is a hierarchy between the model parameters and the microscopic parameters. Furthermore, this hierarchy is not protected by any symmetry: Quantum corrections do not respect such a hierarchy.

Since we know

 $\hbar \lambda_t^2 \sim 1$

then we expect the microscopic physics and Higgs mass cannot be too greatly separated.

This is the hierarchy problem and the top Yukawa is right at the heart of it!

This is why theories that solve this problem have top partners, modified Yukawas, etc...

Model builders are tearing their hair out...



because of the top Yukawa coupling. Without it the Higgs could still be relatively natural.



Measurements of TTH directly probe the biggest clue we have for the scale of microscopic origins of the Higgs sector...

We have a lot to look forward to...



Top Partners

In the Standard Model there is no symmetry protecting from corrections which scale as:

$$M_H^2 \propto \hbar \lambda_t^2 \Lambda^2$$

However, we can extend the symmetries of the Standard Model to forbid these corrections.

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{SM}} + \dots$$

Fields must fall in <u>complete</u> representations of symmetry, thus extending the symmetries means extending the field content:

Top Partners

In SUSY the top coupling is compatible since large corrections are cancelled by stops.



But...

Top Partners

[GeV]

mass limit |

95% (



Neutral Naturalness

Could there be totally hidden states which tame sensitivity to physics at the cutoff?

----h + h--

Much attention now to alternative ideas:





Neutral Naturalness

Naturalness not hidden, just look in new places...



Neutral Naturalness

Naturalness not hidden, just look in new places...



Curtin, CV 1506.06141

Measurements of the top Yukawa and searches for exotic top-quark final states are crucial for address the question:

What is the microscopic origin of the Standard Model Higgs sector?

2. Four tops

Evidence for $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ production in the multilepton final state in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration

Why are these recent results crucial steps forward for our understanding of the Higgs boson?

Search for production of four top quarks in final states with same-sign or multiple leptons in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*



To understand the origin and nature of the Higgs boson, we need to study how it behaves. $\mathcal{O}_T = \frac{c_T}{2M^2} (H^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} H)^2 \qquad \mathcal{O}_W = \frac{ig \, c_W}{2M^2} (H^{\dagger} \sigma^a \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} H) D^{\nu} W^a_{\mu\nu} \qquad \mathcal{O}_{2B} = -\frac{c_{2B}}{4M^2} (\partial_{\rho} B_{\mu\nu})^2$ $\mathcal{O}_{2G} = -\frac{c_{2G}}{4M^2} (D_{\rho} G^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \quad \mathcal{O}_{\Box} = \frac{c_{\Box}}{M^2} |\Box H|^2 \quad \mathcal{O}_{WW} = \frac{g^2 c_{WW}}{M^2} |H|^2 W^{a \, \mu\nu} W^a_{\mu\nu}$ $\mathcal{O}_B = \frac{ig' c_B}{2M^2} (H^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} H) \partial^{\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \qquad \mathcal{O}_6 = \frac{c_6}{M^2} |H|^6 \qquad \mathcal{O}_{GG} = \frac{g_s^2 c_{GG}}{M^2} |H|^2 G^{a,\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu}$ $\mathcal{O}_{H} = \frac{c_{H}}{2M^{2}} \left(\partial^{\mu} |H|^{2}\right)^{2} \qquad \mathcal{O}_{R} = \frac{c_{R}}{M^{2}} |H|^{2} |D^{\mu}H|^{2}$ $\mathcal{O}_{BB} = \frac{g^{\prime 2} \, c_{BB}}{M^2} |H|^2 B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$ $\mathcal{O}_{2W} = -\frac{c_{2W}}{4M^2} (D_{\rho} W^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \qquad \mathcal{O}_{WB} = \frac{gg' c_{WB}}{M^2} H^{\dagger} \sigma^a H B^{\mu\nu} W^a_{\mu\nu}$ Operators like those above capture leading effects of heavy physics beyond the standard model. Probing them could reveal origins.

Naïve dimensional analysis:

$$[H] = [A_{\mu}] = \frac{1}{LC} \quad , \quad [\psi] = \frac{1}{L^{3/2}C}$$

Fields carry not only dimension of inverse length, but also inverse coupling.







$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\boldsymbol{g}_{*}^{\boldsymbol{0}}] & [\boldsymbol{g}_{*}^{\boldsymbol{2}}] & [\boldsymbol{g}_{*}^{\boldsymbol{4}}] \\ \mathcal{O}_{\Box} = \frac{c_{\Box}}{M^{2}} |\Box H|^{2} & \mathcal{O}_{H} = \frac{c_{H}}{2M^{2}} \left(\partial^{\mu} |H|^{2}\right)^{2} & \mathcal{O}_{6} = \frac{c_{6}}{M^{2}} |H|^{6} \\ \mathcal{O}_{T} = \frac{c_{T}}{2M^{2}} (H^{\dagger} \overleftarrow{D}^{\mu} H)^{2} \\ \mathcal{O}_{R} = \frac{c_{R}}{M^{2}} |H|^{2} |D^{\mu} H|^{2} \end{array}$$

Gauge Only

$$\mathcal{O}_{2G} = -\frac{c_{2G}}{4M^2} (D_{\rho} G^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{2W} = -\frac{c_{2W}}{4M^2} (D_{\rho} W^a_{\mu\nu})^2 \qquad \qquad \mathcal{O}_{2B} = -\frac{c_{2B}}{4M^2} (\partial_{\rho} B_{\mu\nu})^2$$

Mixed

 $\mathcal{O}_B = \frac{ig' c_B}{2M^2} (H^{\dagger} \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} H) \partial^{\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$ $\mathcal{O}_W = \frac{ig c_W}{2M^2} (H^{\dagger} \sigma^a \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\mu} H) D^{\nu} W^a_{\mu\nu}$

$$\mathcal{O}_{GG} = \frac{g_s^2 c_{GG}}{M^2} |H|^2 G^{a,\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{WB} = \frac{gg' c_{WB}}{M^2} H^{\dagger} \sigma^a H B^{\mu\nu} W^a_{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{WW} = \frac{g^2 c_{WW}}{M^2} |H|^2 W^{a\,\mu\nu} W^a_{\mu\nu}$$
$$\mathcal{O}_{BB} = \frac{g'^2 c_{BB}}{M^2} |H|^2 B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}$$




Oblique corrections have been a formidable toolkit in the effort to explore the electroweak sector.

- S-parameter
- T-parameter
- W-parameter
- Y-parameter



The latter two contribute to amplitudes in an "energy-growing" manner:

$$\Delta_W(p^2) \approx \frac{1}{p^2 - M_W^2} - \frac{\hat{W}}{M_W^2}$$

Making these oblique parameters an excellent target for hadron colliders...

Makes sense to extend to the Higgs sector. Especially since the Higgs can easily interact with new states...



This also contributes to amplitudes in an "energygrowing" manner:

$$\Delta_H(p^2) \approx \frac{1}{p^2 - m_h^2} - \frac{\dot{H}}{m_h^2} + \dots$$

However, one needs to take the Higgs off-shell, which isn't easy...

Most promising avenue to take this Higgs off-shell is through four-top production:



We may relate this Wilson coefficient to the scale of new physics as: \hat{H}

$$\frac{H}{m_h^2} = \frac{c_{\Box}}{M^2}$$



A Unique Operator

CMS did better than our estimates:

Abstract

1908.06463

The standard model (SM) production of four top quarks $(t\bar{t}t\bar{t})$ in proton-proton collision is studied by the CMS Collaboration. The data sample, collected during the 2016-2018 data taking of the LHC, corresponds to an integrated luminosity of $137 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$ at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV. The events are required to contain two same-sign charged leptons (electrons or muons) or at least three leptons, and jets. The observed and expected significances for the $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ signal are respectively 2.6 and 2.7 standard deviations, and the $t\bar{t}t\bar{t}$ cross section is measured to be $12.6^{+5.8}_{-5.2}$ fb. The results are used to constrain the Yukawa coupling of the top quark to the Higgs boson, y_t , yielding a limit of $|y_t/y_t^{\text{SM}}| < 1.7$ at 95% confidence level, where y_t^{SM} is the SM value of y_t . They are also used to constrain the oblique parameter of the Higgs boson in an effective field theory framework, $\hat{H} < 0.12$. Limits are set on the production of a heavy scalar or pseudoscalar boson in Type-II two-Higgs-doublet and simplified dark matter models, with exclusion limits reaching 350-470 GeV and 350-550 GeV for scalar and pseudoscalar bosons, respectively. Upper bounds are also set on couplings of the top quark to new light particles.

A robust understanding of four-top physics, both theoretically and experimentally, will be necessary to answer a simple, fundamental, question:



How does the Higgs move?

3. Top mass

Measurement of the jet mass distribution and top quark mass in hadronic decays of boosted top quarks in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

The CMS Collaboration*

The fate of the Universe written in these papers.

Measurement of the top-quark mass in $t\bar{t}$ + 1-jet events collected with the ATLAS detector in ppcollisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV

The ATLAS Collaboration

It was pointed out a long time ago that the Universe could have a lower-energy vacuum

state:



It was pointed out a long time ago that the Universe could have a lower-energy vacuum state:

Limitation of the fermion mass in gauge theories of weak and electromagnetic interactions META Krasnikov, N.V. (AN SSSR, Moscow. Inst. Yadernykh Issledovanij) Abstract [en] It is shown that in gauge models of weak and electromagnetic interactions the fermion (quark, lepton) mass is no more than the most heavy boson mass in the order of magnitude. In the SU(2)XU(1) gauge model of weak and electromagnetic interactions with one isodoublet of scalar fields the fermion mass may not be more than 750 GeV **Original Title** Ogranichenie na massu fermionov v kalibrovochnykh teoriyakh slabogo i ehlektromagnitnogo vzaimodejstvij **Primary Subject** PHYSICS OF ELEMENTARY PARTICLES AND FIELDS (A2100) Source For English translation see the journal Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **Record Type** Journal Article Journal Yadernaya Fizika; v. 28(2); p. 549-551 Country of USSR publication

It was pointed out a long time ago that the Universe could have a lower-energy vacuum

Papers followed which considered the Standard Model explicitly. **Original Title** vzaimodejstvij **Primary Subject** PHYSICS OF ELEMENTARY PARTICLES AND FIELDS (A2100) Source For English translation see the journal Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **Record Type** Journal Article Journal Yadernaya Fizika; v. 28(2); p. 549-551

Country of publication

USSR

sot c

It was pointed out a long time ago that the Universe could have a lower-energy vacuum state:

Limitation of the fermion mass in gauge theories of weak and electromagnetic interactions META Krasnikov, N.V. (AN SSSR, Moscow. Inst. Yadernykh Issledovanij) Abstract [en] It is shown that in gauge models of weak and electromagnetic interactions the fermion (quark, lepton) mass is no more than the most heavy boson mass in the order of magnitude. In the SU(2)XU(1) gauge model of weak and electromagnetic interactions with one isodoublet of scalar fields the fermion mass may not be more than 750 GeV What??? **Original Title** Ogranichenie na massu fermionov v kalibrovochnykh teoriyakh slabogo i ehlektromagnitnogo vzaimodejstvij **Primary Subject** PHYSICS OF ELEMENTARY PARTICLES AND FIELDS (A2100) Source For English translation see the journal Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **Record Type** Journal Article Journal Yadernaya Fizika; v. 28(2); p. 549-551 Country of USSR publication

The modern incarnation of our Understanding of the vacuum structure of the Universe is:



Which follows from:



The dominant uncertainty for predicting our longterm future isn't the US elections, it's the top quark mass...



Conclusions

The Top-Higgs Relationship

The top quark played a crucial role in Higgs physics right from the start, dominating the Higgs discovery...



and this relationship will continue for as long as we strive to understand the Higgs.

What are the microscopic origins of the Standard Model?

How does the Higgs behave at short distances?

What is the destiny of the Universe?

Ask the Top Quark.