# NNLO QCD corrections to top-quark production and decay

TOP workshop 2020

Rene Poncelet

in collaboration with M. Czakon and A. Mitov. Based on arXiv:2008.11133

14th September 2020

Cavendish Laboratory





Fixed-order NNLO QCD predictions for top-quark pair production in the Narrow-Width-Approximation (NWA) compared to LHC measurements

arXiv:1901.05407 and arXiv:2008.11133

Comprehensive analysis featuring fiducial/inclusive phase spaces:

- NEW: CMS, including cuts on *b*-jets
- ATLAS, inclusive in the hadronic radiation
- $\rightarrow$  Implications for  $\Delta\Phi(\ell\bar\ell)$  in fiducial phase spaces
  - NEW: Spin-Density-Matrix

# All calculations presented here have been performed with a in-house implementation of the sector-improved residue subtraction scheme.

Czakon '10 Czakon Heymes '14 Czakon et. al. '14 - '20

 $\rightarrow$  Details about the NWA implementation: arXiv:2008.11133, arXiv:1901.05407

# **CMS** fiducial analysis

# arXiv:1811.06625 A classic tt analysis

- Truly fiducial analysis: Requirements on leptons and *b*-jets
- Appealing: Possibility to reconstruct top-quarks, little phase space extrapolation
- Sensitive to jet-modelling:
  - Full MC: parton-shower+hadronization+decays Decays into neutrinos → loss of jet momentum
  - Fixed-order prediction: inclusive QCD jets, no EW decays, only partons.
  - $\rightarrow$  not compatible!



arXiv:1811.06625 A classic tt analysis

Fiducial phase space definition in our computation:

- $p_T(\ell) \ge 20$  GeV and  $|\eta(\ell)| \le 2.4$  for both charged leptons.
- $m(\ell \bar{\ell}) \geq 20$  GeV.
- 2 anti-k<sub>T</sub>, R = 0.4 jets with p<sub>T</sub> ≥ 30 GeV, |y| ≤ 2.4 and b-tag which are well separated from the leptons ΔR(j, ℓ) ≥ 0.4. A b-tagged jet has non-vanishing bottomness.

## Jet modelling for comparison:

 $\rightarrow\,$  CMS re-performed analysis with  $\nu$  momenta included in jets

Thanks to CMS for this collaboration!



## NEW: CMS fiducial analysis, b-jets

#### arXiv:2008.11133, data: arXiv:1811.06625



- Significant differences in normalization and shape between jet definitions
- Excellent description of reanalysed data (black)
- NNLO QCD shows small scale dependence and PDF errors (black band in lower panel)
- Top-quark mass dependence (lower panel) might be used to extract *m<sub>t</sub>* parameter.

## NEW: CMS fiducial analysis, b-jets

#### arXiv:2008.11133, data: arXiv:1811.06625



- ! Significant differences in normalization and shape between jet definitions
- Excellent description of reanalysed data (black)
- NNLO QCD shows small scale dependence and PDF errors (black band in lower panel)
- Top-quark mass dependence (lower panel) might be used to extract *m<sub>t</sub>* parameter.

## NEW: CMS fiducial analysis, b-jets

#### arXiv:2008.11133, data: arXiv:1811.06625



- ! Significant differences in normalization and shape between jet definitions
- Excellent description of reanalysed data (black)
- NNLO QCD shows small scale dependence and PDF errors (black band in lower panel)
- Top-quark mass dependence (lower panel) might be used to extract *m<sub>t</sub>* parameter.

#### arXiv:2008.11133, data: arXiv:1811.06625



- Low m(b<sub>1</sub>b<sub>2</sub>) spectrum not well described
- A variety of sources can be excluded: NWA, statistical uncertainty, *m*<sub>t</sub>.
- → kinematic effect from *b*-jet mass, pronounced in fixed order theory with  $m_b = 0$ .
- → arXiv:1811.06625 : Better description in full fledged MC due to additional soft/collinear radiation in parton-showers → better jet-mass description.

## NEW: CMS fiducial analysis, leptons

- Normalization of lepton distributions affected by change in jet definition due to change in acceptance
- Typical pattern of NNLO corrections: smaller K-factor w.r.t. NLO. Strongly reduced scale dependence.
- Overall very good description of data.
- ! Shift  $\Delta m_t = 1$  GeV comparable with theory uncertainty
- Many more plots in the paper!





## NEW: CMS fiducial analysis, leptons

- Normalization of lepton distributions affected by change in jet definition due to change in acceptance
- Typical pattern of NNLO corrections: smaller K-factor w.r.t. NLO. Strongly reduced scale dependence.
- Overall very good description of data.
- ! Shift  $\Delta m_t = 1$  GeV comparable with theory uncertainty
- Many more plots in the paper!





# **ATLAS** fiducial analysis

- ATLAS arXiv:1910.08819: Multi-differential measurements
- Different approach: Extrapolation to hadronically inclusive phase space **Fiducial phase space definition:** 
  - $p_T(\ell) \ge 20 \text{ GeV}$
  - $|\eta(\ell)| \leq 2.5$
- Lepton observables only
- High experimental precision
- $\rightarrow\,$  study of top-mass sensitivity @ NNLO QCD



arXiv:2008.11133, data: arXiv:1910.08819

- Excellent description of data with NNLO. Significantly reduced scale dependence.
- $m(\ell \bar{\ell})$  as a proxy for  $m(t\bar{t}) \rightarrow$  mass sensitive in lower range,  $\Delta m_t = 1$  GeV  $\Leftrightarrow$  scale dependence @ NNLO

(more observables in the paper!)

LHC 13 TeV  $m_t = 171.5 \text{ GeV}$ LHC 13 TeV  $m_t = 172.5 \text{ GeV}$ Scale:  $H_T/4$  PDF: NNPDF31 Scale: H<sub>T</sub>/4 PDF: NNPDF31  $b\sigma/dm(\ell\bar{\ell}) ~[pb/GeV]$  $d\sigma/dm(\ell\bar{\ell}) [pb/GeV]$ - NNLO - NNLO 10 NLO - ATLAS NLO - ATLAS 1.10 ratio to NLO ratio to NLO 0.85 200 300 200  $m(\ell \bar{\ell})$  [GeV]  $m(\ell \bar{\ell})$  [GeV]

arXiv:2008.11133, data: arXiv:1910.08819

- Excellent description of data with NNLO. Significantly reduced scale dependence.
- $m(\ell \bar{\ell})$  as a proxy for  $m(t\bar{t}) \rightarrow$  mass sensitive in lower range,  $\Delta m_t = 1$  GeV  $\Leftrightarrow$  scale dependence @ NNLO

(more observables in the paper!)



#### arXiv:2008.11133, data: arXiv:1910.08819

- 2D-distributions are described accurately.
- $\Delta \Phi(\ell \bar{\ell})$  sensitive to top-quark spin correlations
- Similar to the study of spin-correlation as a function of  $m(t\bar{t}) \rightarrow$  using  $m(\ell \bar{\ell})$  as a proxy.

(more observables in the paper!)

Fixed order predictions can very well describe differential distributions in fiducial phase spaces, including jets

- $\Downarrow$
- Having a comparable jet definition is essential for these studies
- $\rightarrow\,$  Impact of undetected neutrino momenta
- $\rightarrow\,$  Certainly more questions to investigate here

# $\Delta \Phi(\ell \bar{\ell})$ in fiducial phase spaces

Fixed-order predictions can describe excellently  $\Delta \Phi(\ell \bar{\ell})$  fiducial differential distributions with (and without) jet requirements



#### CMS arXiv:1811.06625

### ATLAS arXiv:1910.08819



### ATLAS arXiv:1903.07570



Plots: arXiv:2008.11133, arXiv:1901.05407

- Visible shape in data/NNLO in inclusive (extrapolated) phase space
- Flat data/NNLO in fiducial phase space
- $\rightarrow\,$  Effect of Extrapolation? Should be investigated in more detail.



### ATLAS arXiv:1903.07570

CMS fiducial analysis top-quark reconstruction

NWA: top-quark momenta are known in computation, in experiment not

### Top-quark reconstruction

- Assuming W momenta known ⇒ reconstruct top-quarks from b-jet momenta, p<sub>t</sub> = p<sub>W</sub> + p<sub>b</sub>
- Assignment of *b*-jets based on the minimization of  $|p_t^2 m_t^2| + |p_t^2 m_t^2|$ .
- ightarrow top-quark momenta  $p_{t_r}, p_{ar{t}_r}$ 
  - Possibility to compare against 'true' (MC-truth) top-quarks

# CMS analysis, invariant mass of top-quarks

- Threshold region sensitive to *m<sub>t</sub>*
- Resummation and mass dependence for threshold region
  ⇒ Yang's talk Wed
- ! Large sensitivity to jet-modelling (with (black) vs. without (grey) neutrinos)
- Comparison between MC-truth vs. reconstruction → threshold region is sensible to higher order corrections



#### arXiv:2008.11133, data: arXiv:1811.06625



## CMS analysis, top-quarks

New aspects for  $p_T$  spectrum

- Good description in fiducial phase space in particular in high p<sub>T</sub> tails. NNLO fixes the slope difference.
- $\rightarrow \ {\sf Future \ study}$ 
  - Inclusive phase space: Stronger slope between NNLO and data (extrapolation from arXiv:1811.06625) in p<sub>T</sub>. Indicates that NNLO can improve fiducial analysis → improved extrapolation?



#### arXiv:2008.11133, data: arXiv:1811.06625

New aspects for  $p_T$  spectrum

- Good description in fiducial phase space in particular in high p<sub>T</sub> tails. NNLO fixes the slope difference.
- $\rightarrow \ {\sf Future \ study}$ 
  - Inclusive phase space: Stronger slope between NNLO and data (extrapolation from arXiv:1811.06625) in p<sub>T</sub>. Indicates that NNLO can improve fiducial analysis → improved extrapolation?



# **NEW: Spin-density-matrix**

• The spin correlation can be represented through the spin density matrix:

$$\begin{split} &|\mathcal{M}(pp \to t\bar{t} \to (\ell^+\ell - \nu\bar{\nu}b\bar{b})|^2 \sim \mathrm{Tr}[\rho R\bar{\rho}] \\ R \sim \underbrace{\bar{A}\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}}_{\mathrm{spin-averaged}} + \underbrace{\bar{B}_i^+ \sigma^i \otimes \mathbb{1} + \bar{B}_i^- \mathbb{1} \otimes \sigma^i}_{\mathrm{top-quark polarization}} + \underbrace{\bar{C}_{ij} \sigma^i \otimes \sigma^j}_{\mathrm{spin-correlation}} \end{split}$$

• Recent measurement: arXiv:1907.03729

F

- Translates to similar defined coefficients for the decay-products:
  - Proportional to angular distribution with respect to top-quark directions
  - Spin-analysing power (charged leptons best choice)
- Small to tiny corrections due to NNLO QCD  $\rightarrow$  confirms previous findings  $_{\rm arXiv:1901.05407}$
- Perfect agreement between measurements and theory predictions.
- However: studies dominated by systematic experimental uncertainties.

## Spin-density matrix



Numbers for extracted coefficients in arXiv:2008.11133

# Summary and Outlook

- Precision top-quark physics in the fiducial phase space @ NNLO QCD.
- Suitable jet definition allows comparisons between fixed-order calculations and fiducial data.
- Improvements in terms of scale dependence through NNLO corrections push the precision of theory predictions. Theory uncertainties comparable to  $\Delta m_t = 1$  GeV variation. Promising measurement approach!
- Implications for various observables:  $\Delta \Phi$ ,  $p_T(t)$ ,  $m(t\bar{t})$  and extrapolation to inclusive top-quark pair phase spaces.

### • Future:

more studies of observables like  $p_T(t_r)$  and extrapolation effects Extension of decay channels (jet+lepton) fragmentation of b-hadrons in top-quark decays  $\rightarrow$  Alex' talk on Wed