

Angular observables as a probe of new physics in the Vh sector

J Y Reiness

Based on work with S Banerjee, R S Gupta & M Spannowsky Arxiv: 1912.07628, 1905.02728

Motivation 1



The trajectory of particle physics:

- Going to higher E and L
- Time to move from total rates
- More sophisticated observables available

$$\sigma \rightarrow \frac{d\sigma}{dEd\varphi d\Theta d\theta}$$





The aim:

- Can analytic knowledge instruct observables?
- Make smart choices of differential variables
- Increase sensitivity by capturing full angular info







Higgs-strahlung: W





6





The 3 angles





New physics at higher energies



- No new resonances at LHC
- Still sensitive to higher-energy physics
- Effects from higher scales are suppressed

 \rightarrow show as perturbations to SM result

Social scale distancing









- Effective Field Theories are handy
- Integrate out heavy physics for tower of higher-dimension operators

$$\mathcal{L}_{L}(\psi_{L}) + \mathcal{L}_{H}(\psi_{L}, \psi_{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{L}(\psi_{L}) + \sum_{i} c_{i} \frac{\mathcal{O}_{i}}{\Lambda^{\dim(\mathcal{O}_{i})-4}}$$

– Can truncate series to dim 6 if $E \ll \Lambda_{\rm NP}$

SMEFT



Standard Model Effective Field Theory offers framework for parameterising effects of higher-energy physics

- Mostly model-independent
- Mass-dimension 6 operators
- Warsaw basis [1008.4844]
- Get 59 (2499) operators for 1 (3) gens

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \mathcal{L}^{(6)} + \dots$$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(6)} = \sum_{i} \frac{\mathbf{c}_{i}}{\Lambda^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{(6)}$$

The *hVV**/*hVff*' vertex



We have 4 tensor structures of interest:

- $h V^{\mu} V_{\mu}$ [SM-like]
- $h V_{\mu} (\bar{f} \gamma^{\mu} f')$
- $h V^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu}$
- $h V^{\mu\nu} \tilde{V}_{\mu\nu}$

- [contact term]
- [CP even transverse]
- [CP odd transverse]





In the parameterisation of [1405.0181],

$$\Delta \mathcal{L}_{6}^{h\nu\bar{f}f} \supset \delta \hat{g}_{VV}^{h} \frac{2m_{V}^{2}}{v} h \frac{V^{\mu}V_{\mu}}{2} + \sum_{f} g_{Vf}^{h} \frac{h}{v} V_{\mu} \bar{f} \gamma^{\mu} f$$
$$+ \kappa_{VV} \frac{h}{2v} V^{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\kappa}_{VV} \frac{h}{2v} V^{\mu\nu} \tilde{V}_{\mu\nu}$$



Operators that rescale the $hb\bar{b}$ and $V\bar{f}f'$ contribute

Also have to account for $Z\gamma$ terms

$$\kappa_{Z\gamma} \frac{h}{Z} A^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\kappa}_{Z\gamma} \frac{h}{Z} A^{\mu\nu} \tilde{Z}_{\mu\nu}$$

For $\hat{s} \gg m_Z^2$ just shifts parameters:

 $\kappa_{ZZ} \to \kappa_{ZZ} + 0.3 \; \kappa_{Z\gamma} \qquad \tilde{\kappa}_{ZZ} \to \tilde{\kappa}_{ZZ} + 0.3 \; \tilde{\kappa}_{Z\gamma}$





Which Warsaw basis SMEFT operators contribute to this vertex?

12 CP-even and 3 CP-odd (pre EWSB)

See [1008.4844] for operator notation.

$$\mathcal{O}_{H\Box} = (H^{\dagger}H)\Box(H^{\dagger}H) \qquad \mathcal{O}_{HL}^{(3)} = iH^{\dagger}\sigma^{a}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}H\overline{L}\sigma^{a}\gamma^{\mu}L$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{HD} = (H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H)^{*}(H^{\dagger}D_{\mu}H) \qquad \mathcal{O}_{HB} = |H|^{2}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{Hu} = iH^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}H\overline{u}_{R}\gamma^{\mu}u_{R} \qquad \mathcal{O}_{HWB} = H^{\dagger}\sigma^{a}HW_{\mu\nu}^{a}B^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{Hd} = iH^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}H\overline{d}_{R}\gamma^{\mu}d_{R} \qquad \mathcal{O}_{HW} = |H|^{2}W_{\mu\nu}W^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{He} = iH^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}H\overline{e}_{R}\gamma^{\mu}e_{R} \qquad \mathcal{O}_{H\overline{B}} = |H|^{2}B_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{HQ}^{(1)} = iH^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}H\overline{Q}\gamma^{\mu}Q \qquad \mathcal{O}_{H\widetilde{W}B} = H^{\dagger}\sigma^{a}HW_{\mu\nu}^{a}\widetilde{B}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{HQ}^{(3)} = iH^{\dagger}\sigma^{a}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}H\overline{Q}\sigma^{a}\gamma^{\mu}Q \qquad \mathcal{O}_{H\widetilde{W}} = |H|^{2}W_{\mu\nu}\widetilde{W}^{a\mu\nu}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{HL}^{(1)} = iH^{\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}H\overline{L}\gamma^{\mu}L$$



These contribute to the earlier parameters:

$$\begin{split} \delta \hat{\boldsymbol{g}}_{VV}^{h} = & \frac{\boldsymbol{v}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{c}_{H\Box} + \frac{3\boldsymbol{c}_{HD}}{4} \right) \\ \boldsymbol{g}_{Vf}^{h} = & -\frac{2\boldsymbol{g}}{\boldsymbol{c}_{\theta_{W}}} \frac{\boldsymbol{v}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} (|\boldsymbol{T}_{3}^{f}| \boldsymbol{c}_{HF}^{(1)} - \boldsymbol{T}_{3}^{f} \boldsymbol{c}_{HF}^{(3)} + (1/2 - |\boldsymbol{T}_{3}^{f}|) \boldsymbol{c}_{Hf}) \\ \kappa_{VV} = & \frac{2\boldsymbol{v}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} (\boldsymbol{c}_{\theta_{W}}^{2} \boldsymbol{c}_{HW} + \boldsymbol{s}_{\theta_{W}}^{2} \boldsymbol{c}_{HB} + \boldsymbol{s}_{\theta_{W}} \boldsymbol{c}_{\theta_{W}} \boldsymbol{c}_{HWB}) \\ \tilde{\kappa}_{VV} = & \frac{2\boldsymbol{v}^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}} (\boldsymbol{c}_{\theta_{W}}^{2} \boldsymbol{c}_{H\tilde{W}} + \boldsymbol{s}_{\theta_{W}}^{2} \boldsymbol{c}_{H\tilde{B}} + \boldsymbol{s}_{\theta_{W}} \boldsymbol{c}_{\theta_{W}} \boldsymbol{c}_{H\tilde{WB}}) \end{split}$$





How do we extract information about these corrections?

By using knowledge of the amplitude's analytic structure...

The analytic amplitude



Begin with $2 \rightarrow 2$ process $f(\sigma)\overline{f}(-\sigma) \rightarrow V(\lambda)h$ in the helicity amplitude formalism:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\lambda=\pm} = \sigma \frac{1 + \sigma \lambda \cos \Theta}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{g g_{f}^{V}}{c_{\theta_{W}}} \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[1 + 2\gamma^{2} \left(\frac{g_{Vf}^{h}}{g_{f}^{V}} + \kappa_{VV} - i\lambda \tilde{\kappa}_{VV} \right) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\lambda=0} = -\sin\Theta \frac{gg_{f}^{V}}{2\boldsymbol{c}_{\theta_{W}}} \left[1 + \delta \hat{g}_{VV}^{h} + 2\kappa_{VV} + \frac{g_{Vf}^{h}}{g_{f}^{V}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + 2\gamma^{2} \right) \right]$$

Neglect terms subdominant* in $\left|\gamma = \sqrt{\hat{\mathsf{s}}}/(2m_{\mathsf{V}})\right|$





Leading SM is **longitudinal** $(\lambda = 0)$

Leading effect of κ_W and $\tilde{\kappa}_W$ is in **transverse** ($\lambda = \pm 1$)

The LT interference term vanishes if we're not careful...





Full amplitude can be written as:

$$\mathcal{A}_{h}(\hat{\boldsymbol{s}},\Theta,\hat{\theta},\hat{\varphi}) = \frac{-i\sqrt{2}\boldsymbol{g}_{\ell}^{\boldsymbol{V}}}{\Gamma_{\boldsymbol{V}}}\sum_{\lambda}\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}^{\lambda}(\hat{\boldsymbol{s}},\Theta)\boldsymbol{d}_{\lambda,1}^{\boldsymbol{J}=1}(\hat{\theta})\boldsymbol{e}^{i\lambda\hat{\varphi}}$$

Wigner functions $d_{\lambda,1}^{J=1}(\hat{\theta})$, hats indicate positive helicity leptons rather than positive charge [1708.07823].

The 3 angles









Squared amplitude for *Vh* can be written as:

$$\sum_{L,R} |\mathcal{A}(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \Theta, \theta, \varphi)|^2 = \alpha_L |\mathcal{A}_h(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \Theta, \theta, \varphi)|^2 + \alpha_R |\mathcal{A}_h(\hat{\mathbf{s}}, \Theta, \pi - \theta, \pi + \varphi)|^2$$

with
$$\alpha_{L,R} = (\boldsymbol{g}_{I_{L,R}}^{V})^2 / [(\boldsymbol{g}_{I_L}^{V})^2 + (\boldsymbol{g}_{I_R}^{V})^2]$$

Also define $\epsilon_{LR} = \alpha_L - \alpha_R \approx 0.16, 1 \text{ for } \boldsymbol{Z}, \boldsymbol{W}$



and thus 9 angular observables.



Summary

25



The analytic amplitude



LT interference term dominated by:

$$\sim \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{LT}}^2}{4} \cos \varphi \sin 2\theta \sin 2\Theta + \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{LT}}^2}{4} \sin \varphi \sin 2\theta \sin 2\Theta$$

NB: these terms vanish on integration of ANY angle!

Summary of sensitivity





Total rate sensitivity









- Use MadGraph-Pythia-ROOT to generate and cut samples for SM (NLO) and EFT (NLO) and BGs (K-factor)
- Design angular method to extract *a_i* parameters
- Perform χ^2 tests to establish 1σ bounds

But are these angular structures kept in tact?

Proof of concept: Zh



How to probe κ_{ZZ} and $\tilde{\kappa}_{ZZ}$?

Flip signs in regions to maintain positive $\sin 2\theta \sin 2\Theta$

$$\sim \frac{\mathbf{a}_{LT}^2}{4} \cos \varphi \sin 2\theta \sin 2\Theta + \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{LT}^2}{4} \sin \varphi \sin 2\theta \sin 2\Theta$$

→ expect $\cos \varphi$ distribution for CP even → expect $\sin \varphi$ distribution for CP odd

Proof of concept: Zh





32





In *Wh* we have to deal with ambiguity in leptonic geometry

Comes from ambiguity in sign of neutrino's p_z







Method of moments 1



We have a square amplitude $|\mathcal{A}|^2 = \sum_i a_i(E) f_i(\varphi, \Theta, \theta)$

– Look for weight functions $w_i(\varphi, \Theta, \theta)$ such that:

$$\langle \mathbf{w}_i | \mathbf{f}_j \rangle = \int \mathbf{d}(\varphi, \Theta, \theta) \, \mathbf{w}_i \mathbf{f}_j = \delta_{ij}$$

– Can then pick out angular moments a_i :

$$\int \boldsymbol{d}(\varphi,\Theta,\theta) \, \boldsymbol{w}_i |\mathcal{A}|^2 = \boldsymbol{a}_i$$



Method of moments 2

Look at matrix $M_{ij} = \langle f_i | f_j \rangle$:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{512\pi}{225} & 0 & \frac{128\pi}{25} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{8\pi}{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{128\pi}{25} & 0 & \frac{6272\pi}{225} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{16\pi}{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{16\pi}{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{16\pi}{9} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{16\pi}{225} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{16\pi}{225} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{256\pi}{225} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{256\pi}{225} \end{pmatrix}$$

Method of moments 3



- Find that $w_i \propto f_i$ for all except i = 1, 3
- Rotate the (1,3) system to an orthogonal basis
- We just use discrete method:

$$\boldsymbol{a}_{i} = \frac{\hat{N}}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} W_{i}(\Theta_{n}, \theta_{n}, \varphi_{n})$$





- Limit to interference terms
- Contact term constrained by quadratic *E*-dependence
- Implement method of moments to constrain other couplings
- All results for int. luminosity 3 ab^{-1} (HL-LHC)

Summary of sensitivity







Bounds on contact terms:

- Quadratic EFT contact term in a_{LL} dominates at high E
- Need to use highest-energy bins: too sparse for MoM
- Ensure EFT validity
- Get bounds:

$$|g_{WQ}^{h}| < 6 \times 10^{-4}, \qquad |g_{Zp}^{h}| < 4 \times 10^{-4}.$$





Bounds on other *Zh* couplings:

- Use method of moments
- Get percent-level bounds on κ_{ZZ} in $(\kappa_{ZZ}, \delta g^h_{ZZ})$ plane
- Bounds are competitive and complimentary
- For independent CP odd coupling get bound:

 $|\tilde{\kappa}_{ZZ}^{\mathbf{p}}| < 0.03.$

Results: **Zh**









Bounds on other Wh couplings:

- Use method of moments
- Get percent-level bounds on κ_{WW} in $(\kappa_{WW}, \delta g^h_{WW})$ plane
- Bounds are competitive and complimentary
- For independent CP odd coupling get bound:

 $\left|\tilde{\kappa}_{WW}\right| < 0.04.$

Results: Wh







- Can combine Zh and Wh results
- This assumes EW symmetry is linearly-realised
- Use correlations
- Get tighter bounds

Results: combination







- If EW is linearly-realised, can probe this plane with WW/WZ
- For more details see paper and refs therein
- Can use the relations as a test of EW realisation





- Move to more sophisticated observables
- Use full differential information to resurrect elusive effects
- Rely on preservation of structures
- Get competitive and complimentary bounds
- Future: extend to more processes \rightarrow global fit

For more, see [1912.07628, 1905.02728]

Stay home, stay safe, stay positive







Back up: simulation





51

Back up: backgrounds

Zh:

- Zbb
- ggF-h-ZZ
- ggBox-Zh
- Z+jets

Wh:

- Wbb
- tt-WWbb SL
- tt-WWbb FL
- W+jets

