Differential $t\bar{t}$ cross-sections and EFT limit extraction in boosted events at ATLAS

Jonathan Jamieson on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

University of Glasgow

Analysis strategy

- Differential cross-section measurements of highly boosted $t\bar{t}$ events with additional jets at $139\ \text{fb}^{-1}$
- Select events in lepton+jets channel with:
  2 b-tagged jets,
  ≥ 1 high p_T re-clustered jet with $120 < m$ [GeV] < 220
- Reduce jet energy scale (JES) uncertainty using Jet Scale Factor (JSF) method
- Unfold distributions to particle-level and compare to NLO+PS generators
- Extract limits on two $t\bar{t}$ sensitive EFT operators (O_{tG}, $O^{(8)}_{tq}$) using hadronic top p_T distribution

Uncertainty reduction

- Use known top-quark mass and top-tagged jet mass ($m^{t,h}$) to reduce impact of JES uncertainties
- Scale jet energies, measure $m^{t,h}$ and derive linear parameterisation between $\overline{m^{t,h}}$ and scaling factor
- Read off value of JSF_{data} and re-run analysis applying scale-factor to all jet energies
- Significantly reduces impact of JES at expense of increased statistical and $m^{t,h}$ modelling uncertainties
- Cut on $m_{\ell,b} < 180$GeV reduces single-top background uncertainties at high top p_T (by up to 70%)
- Total uncertainty of only **4.2%** on inclusive cross-section (improved from 7.9% at $36\ \text{fb}^{-1}$ [Eur.Phys.J. C 80 (2020) 1092 (pp.71)])











Test of unfolding stability (unfolded/particle-level) in presence of injected EFT contributions



$t\bar{t}$ cross-section in bins of hadronic top p_T



Evolution of 95% credibility area as bins are progressively added to interpretation (Λ^{-2})



- Correct for detector effects using iterative Bayesian unfolding (IBU) and propagate uncertainties
- Validate unfolding by injecting moderate EFT contributions and recovering modified particle-level
- Differential cross-section measurements compared to NLO simulation and NLO re-weighted to NNLO
- Re-weighting observed to improve the agreement between data and theory
- Systematics dominated, leading uncertainties: $t\bar{t}$ modelling, flavour tagging, small-R jets

Unfolded differential cross-section measurements

- Probe sensitivity to new physics at high energy scale using EFTs ($\Lambda = 1$TeV)
- Use differential distribution to disentangle and constrain two sensitive Wilson coefficients; C_{tG} and $C^{(8)}_{tq}$
- Build function of cross-section in terms of Wilson coefficients and fit to data using EFTfitter
- Observe no evidence for new physics and excellent sensitivity to $C^{(8)}_{tq}$, stronger limits than global fit

[Eur.Phys.J. C 80 (2020) 1092 (pp.71)]

Model	C_i $(\Lambda/\text{TeV})^2$	Marginalised 95% intervals Expected	Marginalised 95% intervals Observed	Individual 95% intervals Expected	Individual 95% intervals Observed	Global fit 95% limits [2]
Λ^{-4}	C_{tG}	[−0.44, 0.35]	[−0.53, 0.21]	[−0.44, 0.28]	[−0.52, 0.15]	[0.006, 0.107]
	$C^{(8)}_{tq}$	[−0.57, 0.17]	[−0.60, 0.13]	[−0.57, 0.18]	[−0.64, 0.12]	[−0.48, 0.39]
Λ^{-2}	C_{tG}	[−0.44, 0.44]	[−0.68, 0.21]	[−0.41, 0.42]	[−0.63, 0.20]	[0.007, 0.111]
	$C^{(8)}_{tq}$	[−0.35, 0.35]	[−0.30, 0.36]	[−0.35, 0.36]	[−0.34, 0.27]	[−0.40, 0.61]

Differential EFT limit extraction