Fundamental Physics from Gravitational Waves

The GW spectrum Probing GW propagation: graviton mass, Lorentz violation John Ellis GWs from first-order phase transitions GWs from cosmic strings Interpreting GW hint from NANOGrav PTA



Gravitational Wave Spectrum



Gravitational Wave Spectrum



- Gap between ground-based optical interferometers & LISA
 - Formation of supermassive black holes (SMBHs)?
 - Electroweak phase transition? Cosmic strings?
- Also gaps between LISA & pulsar timing arrays (PTAs) and between PTAs and CMB

Probing General Relativity

Using GWs from Astrophysical Sources



Mass ~ 6.5×10^9 solar masses

How to Make a Supermassive BH?

SMBHs from mergers of intermediate-mass BHs (IMBHs)?



How to Make a Supermassive BH?

- SMBHs from mergers of intermediate-mass BHs (IMBHs)?
- Estimated merger rates: most at z < 10



Erickcek, Kamionkowski & Benson, astro-ph/0604281

AION Collaboration (Badurina, ..., JE et al): arXiv:1911.11755

Gravitational Waves from IMBH Mergers



Probe formation of SMBHs Synergies between GW experiments (LIGO, AION, LISA), test GR



• Current LIGO/Virgo limit: 1.76 × 10⁻²³ eV



 With merger of heavier BHs?
Lower frequencies

JE & Vaskonen: arXiv:2003.13480



LIGO/Virgo: arXiv:2010.14529

Constraints on Graviton Mass

- AION 1-km:
- 10⁻²⁴ eV with LIGO/Virgo-like event
- 2 × 10⁻²⁵ eV with heavier BHs
- AEDGE:
- Order of magnitude more sensitive



Lorentz Violation

- Modified dispersion relation: $E^2 = p^2 + Ap^{\alpha}$ $m_1 = 29M_{\odot}, m_2 = 36M_{\odot}, D_L = 420 \text{Mpc}$ $m_1 = 29M_{\odot}, m_2 = 36M_{\odot}, D_L = 420$
 - GCR, A < 0

• AION 1-km: sensitivity 10 × LIGO/Virgo for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$

2

3

5

3

• AEDGE: sensitivity 1000 × LIGO/Virgo for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$

10-60

0

Probing Extensions of the Standard Model

Simulation of bubble collisions – D. Weir

Dark Matter Effects in Neutron-Star Mergers?



(Very) large DM fraction could have measurable effect on equation of state, give additional feature in GW spectrum

E, Hektor, Hütsi, Kannike, Marzola, Raidal & Vaskonen: arXiv:1710.05540, arXiv:1804.01418

GWs from a First-Order Phase Transition

- Transition by percolation of bubbles of new vacuum
- Bubbles grow and collide
- Possible sources of GWs:
 - Bubble collisions
 - Turbulence and sound waves in plasma
- Models studied:
 - Standard Model + H^6/Λ^2 interaction
 - Standard Model + $U(1)_{B-L} Z'$
- These also have prospective collider signatures

Gravitational Waves from U(1)_{B-L} Phase Transition



AEDGE: Bertoldi, ..., JE et al: arXiv:1908.00802

AION GW SNR in U(1)_{B-L} Model



Discovery of GW possible with AION 1km

Above red line: transition before vacuum energy dominates Right of orange line: period of matter domination

JE, Lewicki, No & Vaskonen, arXiv:1903.09642

AEDGE: Abou El-Neaj, ..., JE et al: arXiv:1908.00802

Sensitivity to U(1)_{B-L} Z'



GW Signal in H⁶ Model

• Strongest signal for which percolation is assured



AEDGE and LISA sensitivities very similar

JE, Lewicki, No & Vaskonen, arXiv:1903.09642

Gravitational Wave Sensitivity to Scale of H⁶ Interaction



Modification of Triple-H Coupling

- Current LHC data insensitive to H^6/Λ^2 coupling
- Future collider sensitivity via modification of triple-Higgs coupling λ_3



Collider sensitivity will be > gravitational waves

Probing Cosmic Strings Hint from the NANOGrav pulsar timing array?



AEDGE: Bertoldi, ..., JE et al: arXiv:1908.00802

Gravitational Waves from Cosmic Strings



AEDGE: Abou El-Neaj, ..., JE et al: arXiv:1908.00802

Gravitational Waves from Cosmic Strings



Perspectives for Future Experiments



AION Collaboration (Badurina, ..., JE et al): arXiv:1911.11755

Pulsar Timing Arrays

NANOGRav has observed 47 pulsars over 12.5 yrs ...

NANOGrav Collaboration: arXiv:2009.04496

NANOGrav Collaboration: arXiv:2009.04496

of supermassive BHs

Pulsar Timing Data from NANOGrav



model

signal detected

NANOGrav Collaboration: arXiv:2009.04496

Pulsar Timing Data from NANOGrav



Calculation of GWs from Cosmic Strings

- Network of strings produces small loops: $\ell = \alpha_{\ell} t_i - \Gamma G \mu (t - t_i)$
- Loops emit at normal mode frequencies:

$$f = \frac{a(t)}{a(t_0)} \frac{2k}{\alpha_\ell t_i - \Gamma G \mu(\tilde{t} - t_i)}$$

• Density of GWs: $\Omega_{GW}^{CS}(f) = \sum_{k} \Omega_{GW}^{(k)}(f)$ where

 $\Omega_{GW}^{(k)}(f) = \frac{16\pi k}{3H_0^2 f} \frac{(0.1) \Gamma_k(G\mu)^2}{\alpha_\ell(\alpha_\ell + \Gamma G\mu)} \int_{t_F}^{t_0} d\tilde{t} \ \frac{C_{eff}(t_i)}{t_i^4} \left(\frac{a(\tilde{t})}{a(t_0)}\right)^5 \left(\frac{a(t_i)}{a(\tilde{t})}\right)^3 \Theta(t_i - t_F)$ and $\Gamma^{(k)} = \Gamma k^{-\frac{4}{3}} / (\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-\frac{4}{3}})$ with $\Gamma = 50$ & where $C_{eff} = 5.4$ and 0.39 during radiation and matter domination (from Velocity-dependent One-

Scale Model), factor 0.1 from simulations

Cosmic String Interpretation of NANOGrav



 "Rainbow curve"
is cosmic string prediction as a function of the cosmic string tension Gµ
Vertical line is naïve SMBH merger prediction Previous PTA upper limits for this value of γ

Fits to NANOGrav signal at 1σ (68%), 2σ (95%) levels Compared to previous upper limits (previous NANOGrav superseded)

E & Lewicki: arXiv:2009.06555

Cosmic String Interpretation of NANOGrav



Cosmic string prediction can be tested in several upcoming experiments (not LIGO)

See also Blasi, Vrdar & Schmitz: arXiv:2009.06607v2

Fundamental Physics Beyond Gravitational Waves

- Atom interferometers can search for ultralight dark matter
- High-precision measurement of the gravitational redshift, probes of Bell inequalities and the equivalence principle
- Probing fundamental "constants", chameleons, dark energy
- Fundamental (≠ environmental) decoherence?
- •