# Theory perspective on the flavour anomalies

#### Monika Blanke





Planck 2021 Durham/Zoom – June 30, 2021

### New Physics, where are you?

#### After a decade of LHC operation

- discovery of Higgs boson
  - ➤ apparent completion of Standard Model
- Higgs, electroweak and top measurements in impressive agreement with SM
- no evidence for TeV-scale new particles, increasingly stringent bounds

> huge success of the Standard Model!



### The quest for high precision

Possible paths to New Physics

#### Direct searches – energy frontier

- increased luminosity
- higher energies (➤ new collider)
- new observables

Indirect probes - precision frontier

- rare processes
- theoretically clean
- experimentally under control

complementarity & interplay

### Flavour physics at the precision frontier

#### Quark flavour physics

- SM flavour violation strongly suppressed by CKM hierarchy
- additional GIM suppression for neutral current processes
- plethora of measurable meson (and baryon) decays
- many processes theoretically well understood
- overall good agreement with SM predictions

#### Lepton flavour physics

Lepton flavour violation

- $\bullet$  absent in the SM
- unambiguous sign of New Physics
- small rates, no interference with SM contribution

#### Lepton flavour universality

- approximately conserved in the SM, broken only by small Yukawa couplings
- theoretically clean
- measurable rates

### (Not-so-)Recent news from lepton flavour universality tests

- R(D<sup>(\*)</sup>) anomaly 3.1σ anomaly in charged current semi-tauonic B decays, exhibiting LFU violation
- R(K<sup>(\*)</sup>) anomaly various consistent 2 3σ deviations in neutral current semi-leptonic B decays
- $(g-2)_{\mu}$  anomaly  $-4.2\sigma$  tension between SM prediction and data in anomalous magnetic moment of the muon
- Cabibbo angle anomaly  $-3\sigma$  deviation from first-row CKM unitarity, hinting at possible violation of LFU in charged-current transitions



# The $R(D^{(*)})$ anomaly

#### Test of lepton flavour universality in semi-tauonic B decays



 $> 3.1\sigma$  discrepancy with SM

$$R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\mathsf{BR}(B \to D^{(*)}\tau\nu)}{\mathsf{BR}(B \to D^{(*)}\ell\nu)} \qquad (\ell = e, \mu)$$

- theoretically clean, as hadronic uncertainties largely cancel in ratio
- measurements by BaBar, Belle, LHCb ( $\mathcal{R}(D^*)$  only)
- model-independent sum-rule relating values of  $R(D), R(D^*)$  and  $R(\Lambda_c)$ 
  - experimental consistency check

MB, Crivellin, de Boer, Kitahara, Moscati, Nierste, Nišandžić (2018), (2019)

## Effective Hamiltonian for b ightarrow c au u

New Physics above  ${\cal B}$  meson scale described model-independently by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{NP}} = 2\sqrt{2}G_F V_{cb} \Big[ (1+C_V^L)O_V^L + C_S^R O_S^R + C_S^L O_S^L + C_T O_T \Big]$$

with

$$O_V^L = (\bar{c}\gamma^{\mu}P_Lb)(\bar{\tau}\gamma_{\mu}P_L\nu_{\tau}) \qquad O_S^R = (\bar{c}P_Rb)(\bar{\tau}P_L\nu_{\tau}) O_T = (\bar{c}\sigma^{\mu\nu}P_Lb)(\bar{\tau}\sigma_{\mu\nu}P_L\nu_{\tau}) \qquad O_S^L = (\bar{c}P_Lb)(\bar{\tau}P_L\nu_{\tau})$$

#### Possible (tree-level) NP scenarios:

• charged Higgs contributions >  $C_S^{L,R} \neq 0$ 

Kalinowski (1990); Hou (1993) Crivellin, Kokulu, Greub (2013)...

- charged vector boson  $W' \ge C_V^L \neq 0$  He, Valencia (2012); Greljo, Isidori, Marzocca (2015)...
- (scalar or vector) leptoquark > various  $C_j \neq 0$  (depending on model)

see e. g. TANAKA, WATANABE (2012); DESHPANDE, MENON (2012); KOSNIK (2012); FREYTSIS ET AL (2015) ALONSO ET AL (2015); CALIBBI ET AL (2015); FAJFER, KOSNIK (2015); BECIREVIC ET AL (2016),(2018)

### Single particle scenarios



MB, CRIVELLIN, KITAHARA, MOSCATI, NIERSTE, NIŠANDŽIĆ (2019) see also Murgui et al (2019); Shi et al (2019)

#### Main results

- W' solution disfavoured by LHC direct searches FAROUGHY, GRELJO, KAMENIK (2016)
- significant improvement possible with various leptoquark scenarios
- charged Higgs scenario predicts very large  $BR(B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu) \simeq 50\%$ see Alonso, Grinstein, Martin Camalich (2016)

Akeroyd, Chen (2017); MB et al (2018) Aebischer, Grinstein (2021)

• constraints from LHC mono- $\tau$  constraints

GRELJO, MARTIN CAMALICH, RUIZ-ALVAREZ (2018)

## More flavour observables to test NP in $R(D^{(*)})$

#### Direct probes of NP structure

•  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)} \tau \nu$  differential distributions, angular and polarisation observables

NIERSTE ET AL (2008); CELIS ET AL (2016); BECIREVIC ET AL (2016) IGURO ET AL (2018); MB, CRIVELLIN ET AL (2018); ALONSO ET AL (2018; BECIREVIC ET AL (2019)

### Additionally: implied by $SU(2)_L$ symmetry

• large impact on  $B \to K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$ ,  $B_s \to \tau^+ \tau^-$ ,  $B \to K \tau^+ \tau^-$ 

CRIVELLIN, MÜLLER, OTA (2017) Aloni et al. (2017)

ullet contributions to  $\Upsilon o au^+ au^-$  and  $\psi o au^+ au^-$ 

#### Complementary probes in high- $p_T$ searches

• strong constraints from  $b\bar{b} 
ightarrow au ar{ au}$  and mono-au at ATLAS and CMS

Faroughy, Greljo, Kamenik (2016); Altmannshofer, Dev, Soni (2017) Greljo, Martin Camalich, Ruiz-Alvarez (2018)

### ▶ full NP resolution of $R(D^{(*)})$ anomaly challenging

# The $R(K^{(*)})$ anomaly

Test of LFU in  $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$  transitions

$$R(K^{(*)}) = \frac{\mathsf{BR}(B \to K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-)}{\mathsf{BR}(B \to K^{(*)}e^+e^-)}$$

- recent LHCb update lifted R(K) anomaly above  $3\sigma$
- $R(K^*)$  and R(Kp) hint in same direction

Anomalies seen in various  $b \rightarrow s \mu^+ \mu^-$  observables

- angular distribution of  $B \to K^* \mu^+ \mu^-$  (mainly  $P_5'$ )
- less significant tensions in other decays, e. g.  $B_s \to \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$ ,  $B_s \to \mu^+ \mu^-$



### New Physics in $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$

Effective  $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$  Hamiltonian:  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}}V_{tb}^*V_{ts}\frac{e^2}{16\pi^2}\sum_i (C_i\mathcal{O}_i + C_i'\mathcal{O}_i') + h.c.$ 

with the operators most sensitive to New Physics



electromagnetic dipole operators  $O_7^{(\prime)}$ 

- $\bullet\,$  govern inclusive and exclusive  $b\to s\gamma$  transitions
- $\bullet$  enhanced contribution to  $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$  in low  $q^2$  region

semileptonic four-fermion operators 
$$O_9^{(\prime)}, O_{10}^{(\prime)}$$

• loop-suppressed in the SM, but potentially tree level in the presence of NP

### Status of global fits



Altmannshofer, Stangl (2021) see also Geng, Grinstein, Jäger, Li, M. Camalich, Shi (2021)

#### Main results

• best 1D fit solutions ( $\sim 6\sigma$  pulls):

• 
$$C_9^{bs\mu\mu} \simeq -0.80$$

• 
$$C_9^{bs\mu\mu} = -C_{10}^{bs\mu\mu} \simeq -0.41$$

- non-zero  $C_{10}^{bs\mu\mu}$  preferred by deviation in  ${\rm BR}(B_s\to\mu^+\mu^-)$
- small flavour-universal contribution to  $C_9$ possibly generated by RGE effects  $(b \rightarrow s\tau\tau)$ (or non-perturbative SM charm loops)

see also Crivellin et al (2018)

## **Popular NP models**

#### Variety of NP models on the market

```
    tree-level flavour changing Z' ALTMANNSHOFER, STRAUB (2013); GAULD ET AL (2013)
ALTMANNSHOFER ET AL (2014); CRIVELLIN ET AL (2015)...
    loop-induced NP BELANGER ET AL (2015); GRIPAIOS ET AL (2015); ARNAN ET AL (2016)
KAMENIK ET AL (2017)
    leptoquarks HILLER, SCHMALTZ (2014); ALONSO ET AL (2015); CRIVELLIN ET AL (2015)
```

### Most popular (subject to personal taste): $SU(2)_L$ -singlet vector leptoquark $U_1$

- least constrained by complementary data (e.g.  $B_s$  mixing, direct searches)
- potential common origin of  $R(K^{(*)})$  and  $R(D^{(*)})$  anomalies
- contained in the Pati-Salam gauge group  $SU(4) \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$

#### > plenty of model-building effort for UV-complete model

BARBIERI, MURPHY, SENIA (2016); DI LUZIO, GRELJO, NARDECCHIA (2017); CALIBBI, CRIVELLIN, LI (2017) BORDONE, CORNELLA, FUENTES-MARTIN, ISIDORI (2017); MB, CRIVELLIN (2018); GRELJO, STEFANEK (2018) HEECK, TERESI (2018); BALAJI, FOOT, SCHMIDT (2018)...

FAJFER, KOSNIK (2015); BECIREVIC ET AL (2016)...

## PS<sup>3</sup> – a leptoquark model for flavour hierarchies

Bordone, Cornella, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori (2017) model sketch from Isidori, CKM'18

### **PS<sup>3</sup>** in a nutshell

- three copies of PS gauge group for each fermion generation
- cascade of symmetry breakings generates flavour hierarchy in leptoquark couplings
- SM Yukawa couplings governed by same hierarchies as U<sub>1</sub> couplings



## Complementary $U_1$ leptoquark signatures – flavour physics

Cornella, Faroughy, Fuentes-Martin, Isidori, Neubert (2021) see also Angelescu et al. (2021)

#### UV-insensitive observables

- Lepton flavour violating decays  $B \to K^{(*)} \tau \mu$ ,  $B_s \to \mu^+ \tau^-$ ,  $\tau \to \mu \gamma \dots$
- di-tau final states  $B_s \to \tau^+\tau^-, \ B \to K^{(*)}\tau^+\tau^-$

#### Depending on UV-completion (loop-induced)

- $B_s \bar{B}_s$  mixing
- $B \to K^{(*)} \nu \bar{\nu}$
- $D \bar{D}$  mixing



## Complementary $U_1$ leptoquark signatures – LHC



HAISCH, POLESELLO (2020)

Cornella et al. (2021)

## The Cabibbo angle anomaly

#### Test of first-row CKM unitarity

$$|V_{ud}|^2 + |V_{us}|^2 + |V_{ub}|^2 < 1 \qquad (\sim 3\sigma)$$

#### Possible NP influence

- New Physics in nuclear  $\beta$  decay
- New Physics in  $G_F$  from  $\mu \to e \nu \bar{\nu}$
- $\bullet$  violation of LFU in  $W\mu\nu$  coupling
- $\succ$  connection to  $R(K^{(*)})$ ?



Belfatto et al. (2019); Grossman, Passemar, Schacht (2019) Kirk (2020); Crivellin, Hoferichter, Manzari (2021) ...

## Common origin of the Cabibbo angle and $R(K^{(*)})$ anomalies?

CAPDEVILA, CRIVELLIN, MANZARI, MONTULL (2020)

Simplified model spin-1 SU(2)-triplet with flavour-specific couplings

- W-W' mixing modifies  $W\mu\nu$  coupling
- significant Z' contribution to  $b \to s \ell^+ \ell^-$
- parameter regions resolving anomalies overlap: good overall fit
- $\succ$  correlations predicted between observables e.g.  $R(K^*)$  and  $\pi \to \mu \nu / e \nu$



# The $(g-2)_{\mu}$ anomaly



#### $4.2\sigma$ tension in muon (g-2)

#### Experiment

- recent FNAL result confirmed BNL result
- significant reduction of uncertainties with larger dataset
- $\bullet$  upcoming J-PARC experiment to measure g-2 with different method

#### SM prediction

- consensus by g-2 theory initiative > whitepaper 2020
- tension reduced by recent lattice determination of hadronic vacuum polarisation, but inconsistent with global EW fit CRIVELLIN ET AL. (2020)

## New Physics options for $(g-2)_{\mu}$

Observed anomaly requires NP contribution of similar size as SM EW contribution

Heavy ( $\gtrsim$ EW scale) New Physics chiral enhancement required to avoid  $m_{\mu}$  suppression

- SUSY: enhancement by  $\tan\beta \sim 50$
- leptoquarks: enhancement by  $m_t/m_\mu \sim 1600$

Light New Physics enhanced by scale ratio  $\Lambda_{\text{EW}}/\Lambda_{\text{NP}}$ 

- axion/ALP models
- light scalars
- light Z'
- . . .

**•** . . .

## $(g-2)_{\mu}$ – a no-lose theorem for muon colliders

### Path to NP discovery

- discover/falsify low-scale EW singlet scenario at fixed-target experiments & Belle II
- discover/falsify any singlet scenario at 3 TeV muon collider
- probe unitarity ceiling ( $\lesssim 100\,{\rm TeV}$ ) through  $\mu^+\mu^- \to h\gamma$

CAPDEVILLA, CURTIN, KAHN, KRNJAC (2021)



**Note:** muon collider also tests NP in  $b \rightarrow s\mu^+\mu^-!$ 

### Towards combined explanations – model building 101



see also CRIVELLIN, LHCP'21

### Towards combined explanations – model building 101



### Summary & outlook

- various intriguing anomalies in observables testing lepton flavour universality
- resolution requires TeV-scale New Physics (or lighter)
- complementary probes in
  - ➤ related flavour observables
  - > high- $p_T$  collider data
  - Dark Matter phenomenology

distinguish between underlying NP scenarios

### Summary & outlook

- various intriguing anomalies in observables testing lepton flavour universality
- resolution requires TeV-scale New Physics (or lighter)
- complementary probes in
  - > related flavour observables
  - > high- $p_T$  collider data
  - Dark Matter phenomenology

distinguish between underlying NP scenarios

